

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH  
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

**FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 4415-03  
Bill No.: SCS for HB 1705  
Subject: Counties; Political Subdivisions; Sewers and Sewer Districts  
Type: Original  
Date: April 16, 2010

---

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies provisions pertaining to sewer districts in certain counties.

**FISCAL SUMMARY**

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.  
This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

☐ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).

☐ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
<b>Local Government</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

### ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Natural Resources** assume there would be no fiscal impact to their agency.

In response to a previous version of this bill (4415-02), officials from the **State Tax Commission**, and **Cass County** assumed there would be no fiscal impact to their respective agencies.

In response to a similar proposal from this session (3289-02, HB 1263), officials from the **Department of Economic Development, Public Service Commission, Office of Public Counsel, St. Louis County**, and the **Public Water Supply District No. 2 of St. Charles County** assumed there would be no fiscal impact to their organizations.

In response to a similar proposal from this session (3289-01), officials from **Little Blue Valley Sewer District** assumed there would be no fiscal impact to their organization.

Officials from **St. Louis Metro Sewer District** did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact.

The following counties did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact: **Jackson, St. Charles**, and **Jefferson**.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2011 (10 Mo.)	FY 2012	FY 2013
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2011 (10 Mo.)	FY 2012	FY 2013
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.


This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Natural Resources  
State Tax Commission  
Cass County  
Department of Economic Development  
Public Service Commission  
Office of Public Counsel  
St. Louis County  
Public Water Supply District No. 2 of St. Charles County  
Little Blue Valley Sewer District

NOT RESPONDING

**St. Louis Metro Sewer District**  
**Jackson County**  
**St. Charles County**  
**Jefferson County**



Mickey Wilson, CPA  
Director  
April 16, 2010