COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 6003-03 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 2

Subject: Economic Development; Taxation and Revenue

Type: Original Date: June 25, 2010

Bill Summary: This proposal provides tax incentives for qualified manufacturing facilities

or qualified suppliers that create or retain jobs in Missouri.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
General Revenue	(\$99,259) to (Unknown)	(\$108,949 to \$15,108,949) to (Unknown)	(\$112,217 to \$15,112,217) to (Unknown)	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	(\$99,259) to (Unknown)	(\$108,949 to \$15,108,949) to (Unknown)	(\$112,217 to \$15,112,217) to (Unknown)	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 8 pages.

L.R. No. 6003-03 Bill No. HB 2 Page 2 of 8 June 25, 2010

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
General Revenue	2 FTE	2 FTE	2 FTE	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	2 FTE	2 FTE	2 FTE	

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials for the **Office of Administration - Budget and Planning (BAP)** state the following sections could have fiscal impact to the state:

Section 620.1910.4 - allows a qualified manufacturing facility to retain 100% of the withholding tax from full-time jobs retained for a period of ten years for new products, or 50% of the withholding tax from full-time jobs retained for a period of seven years for modified products.

Section 620.1910.5 - allows a qualified supplier to retain all withholding tax from new jobs for a period of three or five years, depending upon the wage amount compared to the industry average. Qualified suppliers shall not receive benefits, for the same new jobs, under these programs: BUILD, New & Expanding Business Facility; Enterprise Zone; Rebuilding Communities; Rural Empowerment Zone; Enhanced Enterprise Zones; or Missouri Quality Jobs.

<u>Section 620.1910.6</u> - total aggregate amount of retained withholding tax under this section is capped at \$15 million per year for "qualified manufacturing companies". There is no cap specified for qualified suppliers.

<u>Section 620.1910.7</u> - prohibits qualified manufacturing facilities receiving benefits under this section from receiving Build, Business Facility Tax Credits, Enterprise Zone Tax Benefits, Rebuilding Communities Tax Credits, and Rural Empowerment Zone Tax Benefits.

BAP states this proposal will reduce general and total state revenues, to the extent that withholding retention is granted for jobs already in existence. To the extent withholding retention is granted for new jobs, this proposal may slow the growth in future revenues. This proposal may induce other economic activity, but BAP does not have data to estimate induced revenues. DED may have such an estimate.

Officials from the **Department of Economic Development (DED)** state the proposed legislation provides incentives for qualified manufacturing facilities or qualified suppliers. DED assumes the proposed legislation would result in the need for two additional FTE in Business and Community Services (BCS). These FTE would be Economic Development Incentive Specialist IIIs and would be responsible for administering the program, reviewing the tax credit applications to make sure they meet the criteria of the program, drafting and sending the tax credit awards and ensuring compliance with the program. The related costs for these FTE include one-time expenditures for systems furniture, side chairs, file cabinets, calculators and telephones and recurring costs for office supplies, computers, professional development and

RS:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 6003-03 Bill No. HB 2 Page 4 of 8 June 25, 2010

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

travel. DED assumes the cost for these FTE to total roughly \$145,000 per year.

In addition, this program has an aggregate cap of \$15 million, so there will be a negative impact in that same amount to Total State Revenue. There will also be an unknown amount of positive economic benefit that will offset this impact.

Oversight assumes DED's estimate of expense and equipment cost for the new FTEs could be overstated. If DED is able to use existing desks, file cabinets, chairs, etc., the estimate for equipment for fiscal year 2011 could be reduced by roughly \$11,800.

Officials from the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** state their response to a proposal similar to or identical to this one in a previous session indicated the department planned to absorb the administrative costs to implement the proposal. Due to budget constraints, reduction of staff and the limitations within the department's tax systems, changes cannot be made without significant impact to the department's resources and budget. Therefore, the IT portion of the fiscal impact is estimated with a level of effort valued at \$21,306 (840 FTE hours) to make programming changes to the withholding tax processing system.

Oversight assumes OA-ITSD (DOR) is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of activity each year. Oversight assumes OA-ITSD (DOR) could absorb the ITSD costs related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require additional staffing and duties at substantial costs, OA-ITSD (DOR) could request funding through the appropriation process.

DOR also assumes the need for two additional FTE to administer the changes to the withholding tax from both manufacturers as well as suppliers. DOR assumes a cost for these additional FTE of roughly \$85,000 per year.

Oversight assumes DOR's estimate of expense and equipment cost for the new FTEs could be overstated. If DOR is able to use existing desks, file cabinets, chairs, etc., the estimate for equipment for fiscal year 2011 could be reduced by roughly \$11,200.

With the narrow definition of 'qualified manufacturing facility' as well as 'qualified supplier', **Oversight** will assume enough companies will qualify for the benefits of this proposal to only justify one FTE each for the Department of Economic Development and the Department of Revenue. If the program is successful and more companies qualify for the benefits than what Oversight anticipates, Oversight assumes DED and DOR could request additional FTE through the appropriations process.

L.R. No. 6003-03 Bill No. HB 2 Page 5 of 8 June 25, 2010

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Oversight has, for fiscal note purposes only, changed the starting salary for DOR's additional employee to correspond to the second step above minimum for comparable positions in the state's merit system pay grid. This decision reflects a study of actual starting salaries and the policy of the Oversight Subcommittee of the Joint Committee on Legislative Research. Also, Oversight assumes that the relatively small number of additional staff can be located in existing office space.

Officials from the **Department of Insurance**, **Financial Institutions and Professional Registration (DIFP)** assume the proposal will not fiscally impact their agency.

In response to a similar proposal (HB 1675 of the 2010 regular session), officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** assumed many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the Secretary of State's Office for Administrative Rules is less than \$2,500. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, we also recognize that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what our office can sustain with our core budget. Therefore, we reserve the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

Oversight assumes there would be some positive economic benefit to the state as a result of the new programs in this proposal, however, Oversight considers these benefits to be indirect and therefore, have not reflected them in the fiscal note.

This proposal has an annual limit of \$15 million in withholding benefits that may be awarded to qualified manufacturing companies under this program, no earlier than January 1, 2012; therefore, Oversight will assume a range of \$0 (no companies qualify for the program) to a potential annual loss of \$15 million in withholding tax revenue for manufacturing companies. Qualified suppliers are also allowed to retain withholding taxes; however, this substitute does not state an annual limit or a start date for this part of the program. Therefore, Oversight will assume an unknown loss of withheld taxes for qualified suppliers. Oversight also assumes the proposal would have an effective date of August 28, 2010.

This proposal may decrease Total State Revenues.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2011 (10 Mo.)	FY 2012	FY 2013
GENERAL REVENUE			
Costs - Department of Economic			
Development			
Personal Service (1 FTE)	(\$35,803)	(\$44,253)	(\$45,580)
Fringe Benefits	(\$18,775)	(\$23,206)	(\$23,902)
Expense and Equipment	<u>(\$8,983)</u>	<u>(\$4,293)</u>	<u>(\$4,422)</u>
<u>Total Costs</u> - DED	(\$63,561)	(\$71,752)	(\$73,904)
FTE Change - DED	1 FTE	1 FTE	1 FTE
Costs - Department of Revenue			
Personal Service (1 FTE)	(\$19,467)	(\$24,061)	(\$24,783)
Fringe Benefits	(\$10,208)	(\$12,618)	(\$12,996)
Expense and Equipment	(\$6,023)	<u>(\$518)</u>	<u>(\$534)</u>
<u>Total Costs</u> - DOR	(\$35,698)	(\$37,197)	(\$38,313)
FTE Change - DOR	1 FTE	1 FTE	1 FTE
Loss - DED			
Retained withholding tax from qualified		\$0 to	\$0 to
manufacturing facilities	\$0	(\$15,000,000)	(\$15,000,000)
Loss - DED			
Retained withholding tax from qualified	\$0 to	\$0 to	\$0 to
suppliers	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
		(\$108,949 to	(\$112,217 to
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO	(\$99,259) to	\$15,108,949) to	\$15,112,217) to
GENERAL REVENUE FUND	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
Estimated Net FTE Change for General			
Revenue Fund	2 FTE	2 FTE	2 FTE

L.R. No. 6003-03 Bill No. HB 2 Page 7 of 8 June 25, 2010

	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2011 (10 Mo.)	FY 2012	FY 2013

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

Small businesses that qualify for this program would be positively impacted from this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This act establishes the Manufacturing Jobs Act which allows qualified suppliers or manufacturing facilities that create or retain Missouri jobs to retain employee withholding taxes for a period of years. The total amount of withholding taxes retained by all qualified manufacturing companies under the program is limited to no more than fifteen million dollars annually.

A "qualified manufacturing facility" is defined as a business which manufactures goods in Missouri and commits to make an additional capital investment of at least \$75,000 per full-time employee retained at the facility. The act defines a "qualified supplier" as a company which derives more than 10% of its total annual revenues from sales to a qualified manufacturing facility, adds five or more new jobs, pays wages for new jobs that are equal to or exceed the industry average wage for Missouri, and provides health insurance to employees and pays at least 50% of the insurance premiums.

The Department of Economic Development must respond to a qualified manufacturing facility or qualified supplier who provides a notice of intent to receive benefits under the program with either an approval or rejection within 30 days of receiving such notice. Failure of the department to respond will result in the notice of intent being deemed an approval.

Upon approval of a notice of intent by the department and the execution of an agreement with the department which memorializes the contents of the notice of intent including recapture and repayment provisions, a qualified manufacturing facility that manufactures a new product may retain 100% of the withholding taxes from retained jobs for 10 years. A qualified manufacturing facility that modifies or expands the manufacture of an existing product may retain 50% of the withholding taxes from retained full-time jobs for 7 years. Qualified manufacturing facilities that participate in this program remain eligible to participate in the Missouri Quality Jobs Program.

RS:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 6003-03 Bill No. HB 2 Page 8 of 8 June 25, 2010

FISCAL DESCRIPTION (continued)

Upon approval of a notice of intent by the department, a qualified supplier may retain 100% of the withholding taxes from new jobs for three years, if the supplier pays wages for such new jobs that are equal to the lesser of the county average wage or the industry average wage for Missouri provided such wage is not lower than sixty percent of the statewide average wage.

The department must submit an annual report on the manufacturing jobs program to the General Assembly by March first. The report must provide participating facilities and suppliers, the amount of benefits provided, the net state fiscal impact, and the number of new and retained jobs.

The provisions of the act will expire six years from the effective date.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Economic Development
Department of Revenue
Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration
Office of Administration - Budget and Planning
Office of the Secretary of State

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director June 25, 2010