COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 4707-02 <u>Bill No.</u>: SB 752

Subject: Transportation; Transportation Dept.; Roads and Highways; Motor Vehicles

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: February 21, 2012

Bill Summary: This proposal authorizes the Missouri Highways and Transportation

Commission to form a Public-Private Partnership by contract with private partners to finance, develop and operate Interstate 70 as a toll facility.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 6 pages.

L.R. No. 4707-02 Bill No. SB 752 Page 2 of 6 February 21, 2012

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the Missouri Senate, Joint Committee on Administrative Rules, Governor's Office, Office of State Courts Administrator, Department of Corrections, Missouri Highway Patrol and Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration assume there will be no impact to their agencies.

Officials from the **Office of Administration - Division of Budget and Planning** assume this proposal should not result in additional costs or savings to the Division of Budget and Planning. However, the fees generated from this proposal are likely to exceed the current constitutional cap of \$80.2 million established by Article X, Section18e, of the Missouri Constitution, conflicting with the portion of this constitutional provision requiring a public vote. Conflict with Article X, sections 1 and 2, could also be an issue if toll road user fees are considered a state tax.

Officials from the **City of Columbia** cannot determine the impact, including the level of assistance that may be available from the City; the impact of construction worker payroll on City funds; or the impact of fund losses if drivers choose roads other than I-70 to avoid tolls.

Officials from **St. Louis County** assume there will be no fiscal impact to their county.

Officials from the **Division of Labor and Industrial Relations (DOLIR)** assume the proposal authorizes the Missouri Highways and Transportation Commission to form a Public-Private Partnership by contract with private partners to finance, develop and operate Interstate 70 as a toll facility.

The DOLIR has approximately 50 - 100 employees that travel I-70 to conduct work related matters on a regular basis. The DOLIR would incur a cost associated with adding a toll facility to this Interstate each time an employee used the road to conduct business related matters. The DOLIR is unable to provide an exact fiscal impact at this time; however, anticipates that it would be less than \$100,000 a fiscal year.

Oversight assumes this is beyond the scope of the fiscal note therefore, the initial impact would be \$0. The proposal merely authorizes the Missouri Highways and Transportation Commission to form a Public-Private Partnership by contract with private partners to develop and operate Interstate 70 as a toll facility. **Oversight** also assumes state agencies may not be charged tolls when using a state vehicle and therefore, if this occurs the impact would also be \$0.

L.R. No. 4707-02 Bill No. SB 752 Page 4 of 6 February 21, 2012

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

Officials from the **Department of Transportation (MoDOT)** anticipate no fiscal impact because the proposal gives the MoDOT the authority to enter into an agreement but the MoDOT has no such agreement at the present time.

Officials from the **Department of Revenue** assume the following potential tax impacts:

Section 227.745:

Exempts interest on bonds from state taxation. This would be similar to the tax exempt status of municipal bonds, which are already exempt unless the income was received from a non Missouri source. It is anticipated that this would not have an impact.

Section 227.746:

Excludes revenues from any state tax. This would not necessarily reduce total state income, but if the revenues were not exempt it would have a positive impact on total state income. However, any public entity would generally be exempt from any tax, so this basically extends that exemption to the private entity that is completing this project under the direction of the Commission. It is anticipated that this would not have an impact.

Section 227.746:

Also excludes sales tax on purchases made by the private partner to complete the project. This would not necessarily reduce total state income, but if the purchases were not exempt it would have a positive impact on total state revenue. There is a similar exemption in 144.054 for sections 227.600 to 227.669. It is anticipated that this would not have an impact.

Officials from the **City of Kansas City** assume there should be no loss in revenue experienced by this proposal.

L.R. No. 4707-02 Bill No. SB 752 Page 5 of 6 February 21, 2012

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2013 (10 Mo.)	FY 2014	FY 2015
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2013 (10 Mo.)	FY 2014	FY 2015
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 4707-02 Bill No. SB 752 Page 6 of 6 February 21, 2012

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of Administration Division of Budget & Planning
State Treasurer's Office
Governor's Office
Joint Committee on Administrative Rules
Missouri Senate
Office of State Courts Administrator
Department of Revenue
City of Kansas City

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Director

February 21, 2012