# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

### FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.:5239-01Bill No.:HB 1688Subject:Agriculture and Animals; VeterinariansType:OriginalDate:February 17, 2012

Bill Summary: This proposal creates liability for any organization on entity that reintroduces any species of animal into this state for damages caused by such animal species.

# FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue				
Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Conservation Commission	(Unknown greater than \$100,000)	(Unknown greater than \$100,000)	(Unknown greater than \$100,000)
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	(Unknown greater than \$100,000)	(Unknown greater than \$100,000)	(Unknown greater than \$100,000)

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 6 pages.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

⊠ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).

□ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

L.R. No. 5239-01 Bill No. HB 1688 Page 3 of 6 February 17, 2012

# FISCAL ANALYSIS

## **ASSUMPTION**

Officials at the **Department of Conservation (MDC)** assumes this proposal creates liability for any organization or entity that reintroduces any species of animal into this state for damages caused by such animal species.

MDC states the department works to restore and reintroduce animal species as part of its normal mission. The following is a list of active and past restoration and reintroduction programs implemented by MDC in Missouri.

Active	Past
Ruffed Grouse	White-Tailed Deer
Brown Trout	Wild Turkey
Walleye	Ring-Necked Pheasant
Paddlefish	Canada Goose
Lake Sturgeon	Wood Duck
Pallid Sturgeon	Eastern Collard Lizard
Muskie	Osprey
Rainbow Trout	River Otter
Stripped Bass	Trumpeter Swan
Hellbender	Peregrine Falcon
Elk	Bobwhite Quail
Greater Prairie Chicken	
Alligator Gar	

Table 1: Active and Past Restoration	<b>Reintroduction Programs</b>
--------------------------------------	--------------------------------

Source: Department of Conservation

L.R. No. 5239-01 Bill No. HB 1688 Page 4 of 6 February 17, 2012

#### ASSUMPTION (Continued)

MDC assumes an unknown fiscal impact greater than \$100,000 as the proposed legislation creates an unlimited liability for all wildlife restoration efforts.

Officials at the **Missouri State Highway Patrol** reported the following traffic crashes where deer-vehicle strikes occurred by calendar year.

CY 2010 - 3,420 CY 2009 - 3,676 CY 2008 - 3,603

**Oversight** assumes if the average claim for a traffic crash involving a white tailed deer were \$5,000 then MDC had the potential to be liable up to the following amounts over the same calendar year period related to vehicle crashes involving only white tailed deer if this proposal had been law.

CY 2010 - \$17,100,000 CY 2009 - \$18,380,000 CY 2008 - \$18,015,000

**Oversight** assumes an unknown fiscal impact greater than \$100,000 on the Conservation Commission Fund for liability costs related to any reintroduced or restored animal species that causes damage including, but not limited to, crops and pasture, fencing and other private property, motor vehicles, and losses as a result of harassment or disease spread.

Officials at the **Department of Agriculture**, **Department of Insurance**, **Financial Institutions and Professional Registration**, **Office of State Courts Administrator**, **Department of Transportation**, and **Department of Natural Resources** each assume there is no fiscal impact from this proposed legislation.

L.R. No. 5239-01 Bill No. HB 1688 Page 5 of 6 February 17, 2012

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2013 (10 Mo.)	FY 2014	FY 2015
CONSERVATION COMMISSION FUND			
<u>Cost</u> - Liability for damage caused by any reintroduced animal species	(Unknown greater than \$100,000)	(Unknown greater than <u>\$100,000)</u>	(Unknown greater than <u>\$100,000)</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON CONSERVATION COMMISSION FUND	<u>(Unknown</u> <u>greater than</u> <u>\$100,000)</u>	<u>(Unknown</u> greater than <u>\$100,000)</u>	<u>(Unknown</u> greater than <u>\$100,000)</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2013 (10 Mo.)	FY 2014	FY 2015
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

#### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

Direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal if the business is involved in the restoration and reintroduction of animal species programs in Missouri.

#### FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This bill specifies that any organization or entity that reintroduces any species of animal into Missouri must be financially responsible for any damage caused by the animal including, but not limited to, crops and pasture, fencing and other private property, motor vehicles, and losses as a result of harassment or disease spread from the reintroduced animal.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 5239-01 Bill No. HB 1688 Page 6 of 6 February 17, 2012

## SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Conservation Department of Natural Resources Office of State Courts Administrator Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration Department of Agriculture Missouri State Highway Patrol Department of Transportation

Mickey Wilen

Mickey Wilson, CPA Director February 17, 2012

KB:LR:OD