## COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### **FISCAL NOTE**

<u>L.R. No.:</u> 4139-01 <u>Bill No.:</u> HB 1741

Subject: Crimes and Punishment; Department of Mental Health

<u>Type</u>: Original

<u>Date</u>: March 3, 2014

Bill Summary: This proposal allows a sexually violent offense conviction in another state

to be considered when determining whether a person is a sexually violent

predator for purposes of confinement and treatment.

## **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	
General Revenue	(Could exceed \$100,000)	(Could exceed \$100,000)	(Could exceed \$100,000)	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	(Could exceed \$100,000)	(Could exceed \$100,000)	(Could exceed \$100,000)	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 4139-01 Bill No. HB 1741 Page 2 of 5 March 3, 2014

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- ☐ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	

### **FISCAL ANALYSIS**

#### ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Office of the State Courts Administrator** assume the proposal would not fiscally impact the courts.

Officials from the **Attorney General's Office** assume that any potential costs arising from this proposal could be absorbed with existing resources.

Officials from the **Department of Mental Health** state to the extent that referrals for sexually violent predator (SVP) in Missouri as a result of convictions in other states is increased, the fiscal impact will increase. The fiscal impact is unknown.

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** state currently, they cannot predict the number of new commitments or extended incarcerations which may result from the expansion of a sexually violent predator as outlined in this proposal. An increase in commitments depends on the utilization by prosecutors and the actual sentences imposed by the court.

If additional persons are sentenced to the custody of the DOC due to the provisions of this legislation, the DOC will incur a corresponding increase in direct offender cost either through incarceration (FY12 average of \$17.059 per offender, per day, or an annual cost of \$6,227 per inmate) or through supervision provided by the Board of Probation and Parole (FY12 average of \$4.960 per offender, per day, or an annual cost of \$1,810 per offender).

In summary, supervision by the DOC through probation or incarceration would result in additional costs to the department and the exact fiscal impact is unknown.

Officials from the **Office of the State Public Defender (SPD)** state clients charged as sexually violent offenders are extremely expensive to defend. The average cost of each SVP case opened by the SPD was approximately \$20,000. If just 5 additional SVP cases were assigned to the SPD, the resulting cost would be \$100,000 per year.

Officials from the Office of Prosecution Services did not respond to our request for fiscal impact.

L.R. No. 4139-01 Bill No. HB 1741 Page 4 of 5 March 3, 2014

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government  GENERAL REVENUE FUND	FY 2015 (10 Mo.)	FY 2016	FY 2017
GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
<u>Costs</u> - Department of Mental Health potential treatment of additional SVP cases because of additional referrals	(Could exceed \$100,000)	(Could exceed \$100,000)	(Could exceed \$100,000)
<u>Costs</u> - Department of Corrections potential additional incarceration expense for additional SVP referrals	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
<u>Costs</u> - Office of the State Public Defender - potential for increased SVP- defense cases	(Could exceed \$100,000)	(Could exceed \$100,000)	(Could exceed \$100,000)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO THE GENERAL REVENUE FUND	(Could exceed <u>\$100,000)</u>	(Could exceed <u>\$100,000)</u>	(Could exceed <u>\$100,000)</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2015 (10 Mo.)	FY 2016	FY 2017
	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u><b>\$0</b></u>

#### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

## FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This proposals specifies that a conviction in this state or any other jurisdiction for a sexually violent offense can be considered when determining if a person is a sexually violent predator for purposes of confinement and treatment.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 4139-01 Bill No. HB 1741 Page 5 of 5 March 3, 2014

## **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Department of Corrections
Department of Mental Health
Attorney General's Office
Office of the State Courts Administrator
Office of the State Public Defender

# **Not Responding:**

Office of Prosecution Services

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director March 3, 2014

Ross Strope Assistant Director March 3, 2014