# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

### **FISCAL NOTE**

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 4418-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 1536

Subject: Education, Elementary and Secondary; Elementary and Secondary Education

Department

Type: Original

Date: February 17, 2014

Bill Summary: This proposal establishes the Student Accountability Act which requires a

student score proficient or higher on a state assessment in order to receive

a high school academic diploma.

## **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 4418-01 Bill No. HB 1536 Page 2 of 5 February 17, 2014

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- ☐ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- ☐ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 4418-01 Bill No. HB 1536 Page 3 of 5 February 17, 2014

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules (JCAR)** stated this proposed legislation is not anticipated to cause a fiscal impact to JCAR beyond its current appropriation.

According to officials from the **Office of Secretary of State (SOS)**, many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS's office is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to Secretary of State's office for Administrative Rules is less than \$2,500. SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what their office can sustain with their core budget. Therefore, SOS reserves the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

**Oversight** assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal with core funding. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could request funding through the appropriation process.

Officials from the **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education** assume no fiscal impact to their agency and defer to local school districts regarding any fiscal impact on schools.

Officials from the **Special School District of St. Louis County (SSD)** assume the proposed legislation would most likely result in a substantial reduction in the number of academic diplomas issued by SSD schools which consist of five special education schools who serve students with significant to severe disabilities. This would likely result in litigation against the state and/or the school district by parents.

**Oversight** assumes litigation expenses are speculative and, for fiscal note purposes only, will assign no fiscal impact. Oversight also assumes the proposed legislation addresses provisions for diplomas for students receiving special education services.

Officials from the **Fulton School District** are unable to project remediation costs for students not meeting minimum high school test scores.

L.R. No. 4418-01 Bill No. HB 1536 Page 4 of 5 February 17, 2014

### <u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

**Oversight** assumes the proposed legislation does not address remediation or retesting and, for fiscal note purposes only, will not assign fiscal impact to school districts.

Officials from the following school districts: Blue Springs, Branson, Caruthersville, Charleston R-I, Cole R-I, Columbia, Fair Grove, Francis Howell, Harrison R-IX, Independence, Jefferson City, Johnson County R-7, Kansas City, Kirksville, Kirbyville R-V, Lee's Summit, Malden R-I, Malta Bend, Mexico, Monroe City R-I, Nixa, Parkway, Pattonville, Raymore-Peculiar R-III, Raytown, Riverview Gardens, Sedalia, Sikeston, Silex, Spickard R-II, Springfield, St Joseph, St Louis, St. Charles, Sullivan, Warren County R-III, and Waynesville did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2015 (10 Mo.)	FY 2016	FY 2017
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2015 (10 Mo.)	FY 2016	FY 2017
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

#### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

#### FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 4418-01 Bill No. HB 1536 Page 5 of 5 February 17, 2014

# **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Elementary and Secondary Education
Joint Committee on Administrative Rules
Office of Secretary of State
 Administrative Rules Division
School Districts
Fulton
Special School District of St. Louis County

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

February 17, 2014

Ross Strope Assistant Director February 17, 2014