COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.:</u> 5359-01 <u>Bill No.:</u> HB 1576

Subject: Waste - Solid; Environmental Protection; Political Subdivisions; Cities, Towns,

and Villages

Type: Original

Date: February 11, 2014

Bill Summary: This proposal requires a city or political subdivision which intends to

expand solid waste collection services into certain areas to put the intent to

a vote of the people in the expanded area.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 5359-01 Bill No. HB 1576 Page 2 of 5 February 11, 2014

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- ☐ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- ☐ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	
Local Government	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	

L.R. No. 5359-01 Bill No. HB 1576 Page 3 of 5 February 11, 2014

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials at the **City of Kansas City** assume an increase in costs could be experienced by this bill, however that amount cannot be determined at this time. Kansas City currently collects solid waste in some parts of its boundaries and contracts with private entities to do the same in other parts. The first cost will come from any vote of the people this bill requires if Kansas City chooses to expand the areas where it self-collects, as Kansas City would be responsible for the costs of the vote.

If Kansas City loses the vote, additional costs would be experienced. Kansas City would likely only seek to expand its self-collection if it were more cost efficient than contracting for the service. Therefore, the amount expended for the contract over what it would cost the City to self-collect would be a cost. These costs would be based on the bids received and related to the amount of area covered, and can not be determined at this time.

Officials at the **City of O'Fallon** assume an unknown fiscal impact from this proposal. There would be a problem with any property annexed and, not only would the City have to have a vote of any property being annexed to see if the people approve the City trash service, but then over time the City would end up with pockets of non-city trash services which would make things hard to manage.

Officials at the **Department of Natural Resources** and the **Office of the Secretary of State** each assume no fiscal impact to their respective agencies from this proposal.

Officials at the **City of Jefferson** and the **City of Frontenac** each assume no fiscal impact to their respective organizations from this proposal.

Officials at the following cities: Ashland, Belton, Bernie, Bonne Terre, Boonville, California, Cape Girardeau, Clayton, Columbia, Dardenne Prairie, Excelsior Springs, Florissant, Fulton, Gladstone, Grandview, Harrisonville, Independence, Joplin, Kearney, Knob Noster, Ladue, Lake Ozark, Lebanon, Lee Summit, Liberty, Louisiana, Maryland Heights, Maryville, Mexico, Monett, Neosho, Pacific, Peculiar, Popular Bluff, Raytown, Republic, Richmond, Rolla, Sedalia, Springfield, St. Charles, St. Joseph, St. Louis, St. Robert, Sugar Creek, Sullivan, Warrensburg, Warrenton, Webb City, Weldon Spring and West Plains did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact.

L.R. No. 5359-01 Bill No. HB 1576 Page 4 of 5 February 11, 2014

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2015 (10 Mo.)	FY 2016	FY 2017
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2015 (10 Mo.)	FY 2016	FY 2017
LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS			
<u>Costs</u> - Local Political Subdivisions - election costs on solid waste collection	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	<u>(Unknown)</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

A direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This bill requires a city or political subdivision which intends to expand solid waste collection services into an area where the services are presently being provided by one or more private entities to put the intent to a vote of the people in the expanded area.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 5359-01 Bill No. HB 1576 Page 5 of 5 February 11, 2014

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

City of Kansas City City of O'Fallon Department of Natural Resources Jefferson City City of Frontenac Office of the Secretary of State

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

February 11, 2014

Ross Strope Assistant Director February 11, 2014