

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH  
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

**FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 5459-02  
Bill No.: HCS for HB 1514  
Subject: Marriage and Divorce; Licenses - Miscellaneous; County Officials  
Type: Original  
Date: March 5, 2014

---

Bill Summary: This proposal authorizes the procedure for a recorder of deeds to issue a marriage license if one of the applicants is incarcerated and is unable to appear in the presence of the recorder.

**FISCAL SUMMARY**

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.  
This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

☐ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).

☐ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017
<b>Local Government</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

### ASSUMPTION

In response to a previous version, officials at **St. Louis County** assumed an unknown fiscal impact from this proposal. The cost of producing the proposed affidavit might be nominal. Their office assists an average between 4 to 6 incarcerated applicants per year with similar requests. Currently, the costs for printing information forms for the estimated 4 to 6 applicant requests are absorbed in the costs for purchasing bulk paper and bulk toner. Thus, it is difficult to determine any anticipated explicit costs at this time.

**Oversight** assumes counties can absorb these printing costs and therefore will show this as a no fiscal impact proposal.

In response to a previous version, officials at the **Department of Corrections** assumed no fiscal impact from this proposal.

In response to a previous version, officials at the **Jefferson City Police Department**, the **Columbia Police Department**, the **Springfield Police Department**, the **Boone County Sheriff's Department** and the **Boone County Recorder of Deeds** each assumed no fiscal impact to their respective organizations from this proposal.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2015 (10 Mo.)	FY 2016	FY 2017
	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u><b>\$0</b></u>

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2015 (10 Mo.)	FY 2016	FY 2017
	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u><b>\$0</b></u>

### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.


### FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Jefferson City Police Department  
Columbia Police Department  
Springfield Police Department  
Boone County Sheriff's Department  
Boone County Recorder of Deeds  
St. Louis County  
Department of Corrections



Mickey Wilson, CPA  
Director  
March 5, 2014

Ross Strobe  
Assistant Director  
March 5, 2014