COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

| <u>L.R. No.:</u> | 5818-02 |
|------------------|---|
| Bill No.: | SB 835 |
| Subject: | Counties; County Government; County Officials; Law Enforcement Officers and |
| | Agencies |
| <u>Type</u> : | Original |
| Date: | March 4, 2014 |
| | |

Bill Summary: This proposal requires county salary commissions to provide pay increases to sheriffs to be paid for from a fund in which certain fees received by the sheriff are deposited.

FISCAL SUMMARY

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND | | | | | |
|---|-----|-----|------------|--|--|
| FUND AFFECTED FY 2015 FY 2016 FY 2017 | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue | | | | | |
| Fund | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS | | | | | |
|--|---------|---------|---------|--|--|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2015 | FY 2016 | FY 2017 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 8 pages.

L.R. No. 5818-02 Bill No. SB 835 Page 2 of 8 March 4, 2014

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS | | | | | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|--|--|
| FUND AFFECTED FY 2015 FY 2016 FY 20 | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE) | | | | | |
|--|---------|---------|---------|--|--|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2015 | FY 2016 | FY 2017 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |

Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).

□ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| FUND AFFECTED FY 2015 FY 2016 FY 2017 | | | | | | |
| Local Government | (Unknown greater than \$162,841) | (Unknown greater than \$212,287) | (Unknown greater than \$238,322) | | | |

L.R. No. 5818-02 Bill No. SB 835 Page 3 of 8 March 4, 2014

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials at the **Boone County Sheriff's Department** assume the Department currently uses the civil process fund for services and equipment to support the Department's operations. Losses experienced would be the same as the costs noted in the chart below.

Officials at **Cole County** assume this legislation would reduce funding currently being spent on equipment and other related expenses of the Sheriff's Department by shifting those funds to salary payments for the Sheriff. The resultant loss of funding would require expenditures from the County's General Revenue Fund to maintain the status quo.

Similar Legislation from this year on HB 1726

Officials at **Holt County** assume the ongoing 5% increases will capitalize on the salary thereafter and concerns remain related to an increase in assessed valuation which could impact the base immediately and the subsequent percentage application rate would increase. Overall, Holt County's Sheriff Civil Fee fund is limited on resources and typically expends the yearly revenue remaining stable and neutral for loss/gain. The redirection of fee funds to salary under this proposal would create a shortfall in the fund, directly impacting the ongoing needs of the Sheriff's Department and highly likely to force a movement of those expenditures to General Revenue.

Officials at **Pettis County** assume no additional revenues are anticipated or guaranteed and the funds are now used by the sheriff to purchase services and equipment to support the operation of the sheriff's office.

Officials at **Lincoln County** assume an unknown cost because the County can never be certain of the amount of revenues and amount of fund balance that will be available in the Sheriff Civil Fee fund. The budget is set and funds are used at the Sheriff's discretion, so it is unknown how much more the sheriff would request in the budget from the Law Enforcement Trust fund which currently gets a transfer from the general fund of around \$300,000 - \$400,000 per year.

Officials at **Laclede County** assume an unknown cost from this proposal. These funds are currently used for the Sheriff and jail expenses, no additional revenues are created and a loss of funds from operations would occur.

NM:LR:OD

L.R. No. 5818-02 Bill No. SB 835 Page 4 of 8 March 4, 2014

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials at the following counties listed below responded to our request for fiscal impact for this proposal. This chart shows the cost per fiscal year.

| | 2015 Total | 2016 Total | 2017 Total |
|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | Costs of Change | Cost of Change | Costs of Change |
| | in Salary and Fringe | in Salary and Fringe | in Salary and Fringe |
| Cooper County | \$13,506 | \$21,951 | \$24,899 |
| Atchison County | 11,172 | 18,159 | 20,597 |
| Johnson County | 12,069 | 19,616 | 22,250 |
| Scotland County | 7,466 | 12,134 | 13,763 |
| Polk County | 9,611 | 15,706 | 17,816 |
| Dent County | 6,180 | 8,812 | 10,437 |
| Taney County | 7,961 | 11,493 | 13,641 |
| Nodaway County | 14,025 | 22,796 | 25,857 |
| Lewis County | 10,972 | 17,833 | 20,227 |
| Scott County | 12,203 | 19,834 | 22,498 |
| Linn County | 7,855 | 14,050 | 15,937 |
| Holt County | 4,469 | 7,885 | 10,619 |
| Cole County | 10,142 | 4,484 | 4,708 |
| Pettis County | 14,100 | 6,150 | 4,613 |
| Boone County | 15,287 | 6,759 | 7,097 |
| Lincoln County | 5,824 | 4,624 | 3,363 |
| TOTAL | <u>\$162,841</u> | <u>\$212,287</u> | <u>\$238,322</u> |

Oversight assumes that the chart above represents the additional cost by county (of those counties who responded to Oversight's request) by fiscal year of the sheriff salary and benefits. Counties work on a calendar year basis, therefore Oversight needed to convert the counties numbers above. As such, FY 2015 is Sept 2014 through June 2015. FY 2016 and 2017 are for July 2015 through June 2016 and July 2016 through June 2017 respectively. Oversight will include the impact as Unknown greater than each years total above for the Local Political Subdivisions for a cost for this proposal.

Officials at **Pike County** responded to our request, however they did not provide us with a response on fiscal impact.

L.R. No. 5818-02 Bill No. SB 835 Page 5 of 8 March 4, 2014

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Oversight assumes that the information above from HB 1726 is similar and will reflect the information in the Local Political Subdivisions.

Officials at the **Office of the State Courts Administrator** and the **Office of the State Treasurer** each assume no fiscal impact to their respective agencies from this proposal.

Officials at **St. Louis County** and **St. Charles County** each assume no fiscal impact to their respective organizations from this proposal.

Officials at the following counties: Andrew, Audrain, Barry, Bates, Boone, Buchanan, Callaway, Camden, Cape Girardeau, Carroll, Cass, Clay, DeKalb, Franklin, Greene, Jackson, Jefferson, Knox, Lawrence, Marion, Miller, Moniteau, Monroe, Montgomery, New Madrid, Ozark, Perry, Phelps, Platte, Pulaski, St. Francois, Warren, Wayne and Worth did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact.

Officials from the Buchanan County Sheriff's Department, Clark County Sheriff's Department, Cole County Sheriff Department, Jackson County Sheriff Department and the Platte County Sheriff's Department did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact.

| | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|------------|------------|
| FISCAL IMPACT - State Government | FY 2015 (10 Mo.) | FY 2016 | FY 2017 |

L.R. No. 5818-02 Bill No. SB 835 Page 6 of 8 March 4, 2014

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS | (Unknown greater than <u>\$162,841)</u> | (Unknown greater than <u>\$212,287)</u> | (Unknown greater than <u>\$238,322)</u> |
|---|---|---|---|
| <u>Cost</u> - Local Political Subdivisions - Increase in salary and benefits for sheriff | (Unknown greater than <u>\$162,841)</u> | (Unknown greater than <u>\$212,287)</u> | (Unknown greater than <u>\$238,322)</u> |
| LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS | | | |
| FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government | FY 2015 (10 Mo.) | FY 2016 | FY 2017 |

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This act requires county salary commissions to increase sheriffs' salaries by 15 percent on September 1, 2014, by 10 percent on January 1, 2015, and then by five percent every year thereafter. This act specifies that the salary increases are to be paid for with money in a fund established by the county treasurer that contains up to \$50,000 received by the sheriff for serving court orders in civil cases and assisting in property sales.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 5818-02 Bill No. SB 835 Page 7 of 8 March 4, 2014

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the State Treasurer Office of the State Courts Administrator Boone County Sheriff's Department St. Louis County Cole County St. Charles County Holt County Pettis County Lincoln County Laclede County Cooper County Atchison County Johnson County Scotland County Polk County Dent County Taney County Nodaway County Lewis County Scott County Linn County Pike County

Mickey Wilen

Mickey Wilson, CPA Director March 4, 2014

Ross Strope Assistant Director March 4, 2014 L.R. No. 5818-02 Bill No. SB 835 Page 8 of 8 March 4, 2014

NM:LR:OD