COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.:5840-01Bill No.:SB 824Subject:Counties; County Officials; Criminal Procedure; Law Enforcement Officers and
AgenciesType:OriginalDate:March 7, 2014

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies provisions relating to county prosecuting attorneys.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	
General Revenue*	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	
Office of Prosecution Services Fund*	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

*Revenues and Cost net to zero.

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 8 pages.

L.R. No. 5840-01 Bill No. SB 824 Page 2 of 8 March 7, 2014

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).

□ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	
Local Government*	\$0	\$0	\$0 to Unknown	

Revenues and costs net to zero.

L.R. No. 5840-01 Bill No. SB 824 Page 3 of 8 March 7, 2014

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials at the Office of Prosecution Services and the Department of Social Services did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact.

Officials at the **Office of the State Public Defender (MSPD)** assume the proposed legislation would provide for prosecuting attorneys to collect MSPD debt at a cost of 20% to the Public Defender System. MSPD has recently sought out private collection agencies to collect outstanding Public Defender fees. It was determined that the population of public defender clients as a whole are paying what can be paid. Private collection agencies declined to take on MSPD debt as they believed MSPD would not see any increase in the total amounts collected. If collections would not increase, the cost would be 20% of the collections or approximately \$300,000 to MSPD. In addition, in many instances the prosecutor would have a conflict of interest in trying to collect fees on one public defender case, while the same client may have other cases pending where the prosecutor is prosecuting the client.

Oversight assumes the additional revenue in any given year is unknown for MSPD. This revenue is then transferred out and will eventually net to zero. Therefore, Oversight will show a \$0 net impact overall for this proposal.

Officials at the **Office of the State Courts Administrator** assume the proposed legislation modifies provisions relating to county prosecuting attorneys. There may be some impact but there is no way to quantify that currently. Any significant changes will be reflected in future budget requests.

Officials at the **Office of the Secretary of State** and the **Department of Revenue** each assume no fiscal impact to their respective agencies from this proposal.

Officials at the **City of Columbia**, **St. Louis County**, the **St. Louis County Board of Election Commission**, the **Kansas City Board of Election Commission** and the **City of Jefferson** each assume no fiscal impact to their respective organizations from this proposal.

Officials at the following boards of election commissions: St. Louis City Board of Election Commission, Clay County Board of Election Commission, Jackson County Board of Election Commission and Platte County Board of Election Commission did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact.

NM:LR:OD

L.R. No. 5840-01 Bill No. SB 824 Page 4 of 8 March 7, 2014

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials at the following counties: Andrew, Audrain, Barry, Bates, Boone, Buchanan, Callaway, Camden, Cape Girardeau, Carroll, Cass, Clay, Cole, Cooper, DeKalb, Franklin, Greene, Holt, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Knox, Laclede, Lawrence, Lincoln, Marion, Miller, Moniteau, Monroe, Montgomery, New Madrid, Nodaway, Ozark, Perry, Pettis, Phelps, Platte, Pulaski, Scott, St. Charles, St. Francois, Taney, Warren, Wayne and Worth did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact.

Officials at the following cities: Ashland, Belton, Bernie, Bonne Terre, Boonville, California, Cape Girardeau, Clayton, Dardenne Prairie, Excelsior Springs, Florissant, Frontenac, Fulton, Gladstone, Grandview, Harrisonville, Independence, Joplin, Kansas City, Kearney, Knob Noster, Ladue, Lake Ozark, Lebanon, Lee Summit, Liberty, Louisiana, Maryland Heights, Maryville, Mexico, Monett, Neosho, O'Fallon, Pacific, Peculiar, Popular Bluff, Raytown, Republic, Richmond, Rolla, Sedalia, Springfield, St. Charles, St. Joseph, St. Louis, St. Robert, Sugar Creek, Sullivan, Warrensburg, Warrenton, Webb City, Weldon Spring and West Plains did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact. L.R. No. 5840-01 Bill No. SB 824 Page 5 of 8 March 7, 2014

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2015 (10 Mo.)	FY 2016	FY 2017
GENERAL REVENUE			
<u>Additional Revenue</u> - Office of the State Public Defender - additional revenue from collection fees	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
<u>Transfer Out</u> - 50% of additional revenue to Office of Prosecution Services fund	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
<u>Transfer Out</u> - 50% of additional revenue to County Treasurers	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON			
GENERAL REVENUE	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
GENERAL REVENUE OFFICE OF PROSECUTION	<u>\$0</u> Unknown	<u>\$0</u> Unknown	<u>\$0</u> Unknown
GENERAL REVENUE OFFICE OF PROSECUTION SERVICES FUND <u>Transfer In</u> - from Office of the State		_	_

L.R. No. 5840-01 Bill No. SB 824 Page 6 of 8 March 7, 2014

FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2015 (10 Mo.)	FY 2016	FY 2017
LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS			
<u>Savings</u> - Counties - Cost sharing of DA costs between multiple counties	\$0	\$0	\$0 to Unknown
<u>Transfer In</u> - from the Office of the State Public Defender - 50% of collection fees	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
<u>Costs</u> - County Treasurers - reimbursement of personnel costs	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0 to Unknown</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This act provides a method for the governing bodies of two or more contiguous counties in a judicial circuit to join together to form a prosecutorial district and share a district attorney upon the adoption of a resolution or charter amendment and the approval of a joint agreement. Additional counties in the judicial circuit may join a prosecutorial district by the consent of each county participating in the district. The election to join a prosecutorial district is irrevocable. The district attorney for a multi-county prosecutorial district is to be elected to an initial two-year term at the 2016 general election, and to four-year terms starting in 2018.

The governing body of a county in a single-county judicial circuit may convert the office of prosecuting attorney to a district attorney office by resolution or charter amendment. In a single-county circuit, the prosecuting attorney must immediately begin performing the additional duties required of a district attorney upon approval of the resolution or the amendment, but the election for the new district attorney position will not occur until the next regular election. This act repeals a provision of law requiring prosecuting attorneys to represent the state in appeals of misdemeanor cases and a provision allowing counties to make their part-time prosecutor position a full-time position.

NM:LR:OD

L.R. No. 5840-01 Bill No. SB 824 Page 7 of 8 March 7, 2014

FISCAL DESCRIPTION (continued)

In addition to the duties required by current law for prosecuting attorneys, district attorneys must prosecute all juvenile cases in the district, represent state agencies in debt collection cases, and provide at least six hours of continuing education to police officers. Unless there is a different agreement, the district attorney retains 20 percent of all debt collected on behalf of state agencies with one-half of the fee to go to the Missouri Office of Prosecution Services Fund and one-half to go to the county treasurer of each county in the district on a pro rata basis.

Under this act, district attorneys must receive compensation equal to that of a circuit judge, which is prorated among the counties. This act provides that part-time prosecuting attorneys can be compensated according to the assessed valuation scale provided under current law or they may receive half the compensation of a full-time district attorney if the part-time prosecutor represents the juvenile officer in all juvenile court cases.

Current law provides that \$2,000 of a prosecuting attorney's salary is payable only if the prosecutor has completed at least 20 hours of classroom instruction each calendar year. This act provides that \$10,000 of the salary is payable only if the prosecutor has completed at least 30 hours of instruction.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 5840-01 Bill No. SB 824 Page 8 of 8 March 7, 2014

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the State Public Defender Office of the State Courts Administrator Office of the Secretary of State Department of Revenue City of Columbia St. Louis County St. Louis County Board of Election Commission Kansas City Board of Election Commission City of Jefferson

Mickey Wilen

Mickey Wilson, CPA Director March 7, 2014

Ross Strope Assistant Director March 7, 2014