COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 4731-02

Bill No.: HCS for HJR 56

Subject: Appropriations; Revenue, Department of; Taxation and Revenue - General

Type: Original

<u>Date</u>: March 2, 2016

Bill Summary: This proposal would submit to the voters a constitutional amendment to

limit general revenue appropriations and mandate state income tax rate

reductions in certain situations.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019		
General Revenue	\$0 or (More than \$7,100,000)	\$0	\$0 or (\$50,410,000)		
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	\$0 or (More than \$7,100,000)	\$0	\$0 or (\$50,410,000)		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019		
Budget Reserve	\$0	\$0 or (\$373,300,000)	\$0 or \$63,950,000		
Cash Operating Reserve	\$0	\$0 or \$373,300,000	\$0 or (\$13,540,000)		
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0 or \$50,410,000		

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 11 pages.

Page 2 of 11 March 2, 2016

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019		
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019		
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0		

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED FY 2017 FY 2018 FY 201					
Local Government * \$0 \$0					

^{*} Net of election costs and state reimbursements.

L.R. No. 4731-02 Bill No. HCS for HJR 56 Page 3 of 11 March 2, 2016

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Limit on Appropriations and Income Tax Rate Reductions

In response to a previous version, officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** provided the following response.

Each year, a number of joint resolutions that would refer to a vote of the people a constitutional amendment and bills that would refer to a vote of the people the statutory issue in the legislation may be considered by the General Assembly.

Unless a special election is called for the purpose, a joint resolution proposing a constitutional amendment is submitted to a vote of the people at the next general election. The Missouri Constitution authorizes the Governor to order a special election for constitutional amendments referred to the people. If a special election is called to submit a Joint Resolution to a vote of the people, state law requires the state to pay the costs. The cost of the special election has been estimated at \$7.1 million based on the cost of the 2012 Presidential Preference Primary. This figure was determined through analyzing and totaling expense reports from the 2012 Presidential Preference Primary received from local election authorities.

The SOS is required to pay for publishing in local newspapers the full text of each statewide ballot measure as directed by the Missouri Constitution and state law. The SOS is provided with core funding for a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. This funding is adjusted each year depending upon the election cycle, with \$1.3 million historically appropriated in odd numbered fiscal years and \$100,000 appropriated in even numbered fiscal years.

The funding has historically been an estimated appropriation because the final cost is dependent on the number of ballot measures approved by the General Assembly, and the initiative petitions certified for the ballot. In FY 2013, at the August and November elections, there were 5 statewide Constitutional Amendments or ballot propositions that cost \$2.17 million to publish (an average of \$434,000 per issue). In FY 2015, the General Assembly changed the appropriation so that it was not longer an estimated appropriation and the SOS was appropriated \$1.19 million to publish the full text of the measures. Due to this reduced funding, the SOS reduced the scope of the publication of these measures. In FY 2015, at the August and November elections, there were 9 statewide Constitutional Amendments or ballot propositions that cost \$1.1 million to publish (an average of \$122,000 per issue). Despite the FY 2015 reduction, SOS will continue to assume, for the purposes of this fiscal note, that it should have

L.R. No. 4731-02 Bill No. HCS for HJR 56 Page 4 of 11 March 2, 2016

ASSUMPTION (continued)

the full appropriation authority it needs to meet the publishing requirements. Because these publications are mandatory, SOS reserves the right to request funding to meet the cost of our publishing requirements if the Governor and the General Assembly change the amount or continue to not designate it as an estimated appropriation.

Oversight has reflected in this fiscal note, the potential cost to the state of reimbursing local political subdivisions the cost of having this joint resolution voted on during a special election in fiscal year 2017. This reflects the decision made by the Joint Committee on Legislative Research, that the cost of the elections should be shown in the fiscal note. The next scheduled general election is in November 2016 (FY 2017). It is assumed the subject within this proposal could be on that ballot; however, it could also be on a special election called for by the Governor. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a potential election cost reimbursement to local political subdivisions in FY 2017.

Officials from the **Office of Administration - Division of Budget and Planning (B&P)** assume this proposal will have a fiscal impact on their organization as follows.

B&P officials assume they would need to contract for certain current functions of the OA Economist to allow time to complete the resolution requirements. Contract costs are estimated to be about \$50,000.

Oversight assumes these responsibilities could be absorbed with existing resources. If unanticipated costs are incurred or if multiple proposal are implemented that increase the OA workload, resources could be requested through the budget process.

B&P officials also provided the following information regarding the estimated impact of this proposal on state revenues and operations.

Page 5 of 11 March 2, 2016

ASSUMPTION (continued)

The General Revenue Fund would be negatively impacted by a change in the fund balance percentage requirements of the Cash Operating and Budget Reserve Funds (see table below). For the purposes of this fiscal estimate, FY 2015 data were used. The calculation also requires the use of inflation and population data. For the purposes of this fiscal estimate, that data was not adjusted for outlying fiscal years as it is not possible to estimate those factors with certainty.

Cash Operating	Budget Reserve		
Fund	Fund	Total	Explanation
\$359,760,000	\$503,660,000	\$863,420,000	Required balance in the funds for FY 2017 (5% and 7%) using FY 2015 data.
\$373,300,000	\$183,900,000	\$557,200,000	Budget Reserve Fund balance to be split between the two funds.
(\$13,540,000)	\$319,760,000	\$306,220,000	Required transfer from the General Revenue Fund to the Budget Reserve Fund and Cash Operating Fund
(\$13,540,000)	\$0	(\$13,540,000)	FY 2017 Required transfer from the Cash Operating Fund to the General Revenue Fund
\$0	\$63,950,000	\$63,950,000	Required transfer from the General Revenue Fund to the Budget Reserve Fund in Years 1-5
(\$13,540,000)	\$63,950,000	\$50,410,000	Amount required to be transferred in the first year (FY 2017)

Page 6 of 11 March 2, 2016

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Cash Operating Fund	Budget Reserve Fund	Total	Explanation
\$370,910,000	\$519,270,000	\$890,180,000	Required balance in the funds for FY 2018 (5% and 7%).
\$359,760,000	\$503,660,000	\$863,420,000	Budget Reserve Fund balance to be split between the two funds.
\$11,150,000	\$15,610,000	\$26,760,000	Required transfer from the General Revenue Fund to the Budget Reserve Fund and Cash Operating Fund
\$11,150,000	\$0	\$11,150,000	Required transfer from the General Revenue Fund to the Cash operating Fund
\$0	\$3,120,000	\$3,120,000	FY 2018 General Revenue Fund Transfer to Budget Reserve Fund for Year 2
\$0	\$63,950,000	\$63,950,000	FY 2017 Required General Revenue Fund Transfer to Budget Reserve Fund in Year 2-5
\$11,150,000	\$67,070,000	\$78,220,000	Amount required to be transferred in the second year (FY 2018)

Amounts in excess of the required balances would be transferred to the General Revenue Fund until a sufficient amount exists to reach a permanent reduction of at least one quarter of one percent of all state income tax rates. Each one quarter of one percent reduction in income tax rates would reduce state revenues by an estimated \$188.4 million based on tax year 2013 data.

B&P officials assume, based on historical data, this provision would not be triggered, therefore no impact is assumed from this provision.

L.R. No. 4731-02 Bill No. HCS for HJR 56 Page 7 of 11 March 2, 2016

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

B&P officials noted the proposal could have an impact on the level of state services that can be provided as a result of the caps it would impose on General Revenue Fund appropriations and net General Revenue collections.

Oversight has no independent information regarding state revenues and fund balances, and will use the B&P response regarding the balance of the Budget Reserve Fund and the amounts of transfers required from the General Revenue Fund to the Budget Reserve Fund and the Cash Operating Reserve Fund. Oversight will reflect the potential transfer from the Budget Reserve Fund to the new Cash Operating Reserve Fund in FY 2018 and the required annual transfers beginning in FY 2019.

We are not able to estimate the required balances nor the future amounts which would be required for annual transfers among the various state funds, other than the five-year amortization of the initial amount required for the Budget Reserve Fund.

Finally, **Oversight** notes the proposal, if approved by the voters and implemented, would result in annual adjustments to the required balances in the Budget Reserve Fund and the Cash Operating Reserve Fund that can not be estimated at this time. External forces are likely to have a significant effect on state revenues which would in turn have an impact on required fund balances. If state revenue sources, other than the income tax, provided sufficient income to fund state operations and the required balances in the Budget Reserve Fund and the Cash Operating Reserve Fund, the proposal could lead to the eventual elimination of the state income tax.

Officials from the, Office of the Governor, the Office of the State Treasurer, the Missouri Senate, the Department of Revenue, the Joint Committee on Administrative Rules, the Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration and the Department of Economic Development assume this proposal would have no impact on their organizations.

In response to a previous version, officials from the **Missouri House of Representatives** assumed this proposal would have no impact on the organization.

L.R. No. 4731-02

Bill No. HCS for HJR 56

Page 8 of 11 March 2, 2016

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2017 (10 Mo.)	FY 2018	FY 2019
GENERAL REVENUE FUND	,		
Transfer in - from the Cash Operating Reserve Fund Section 27(c) 6 - if approved by the voters	\$0	\$0	\$0 or \$13,540,000
Transfer Out - SOS Reimbursement of local election authority election costs - if a special election is called by the Governor	\$0 or (More than \$7,100,000)	\$0	\$0
Transfer out - to the Budget Reserve Fund Section 27(c) 6 - if approved by voters	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	\$0 or (\$63,950,000)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND	\$0 or (More than <u>\$7,100,000)</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0 or</u> (\$50,410,000)

Page 9 of 11 March 2, 2016

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government (Continued)	FY 2017 (10 Mo.)	FY 2018	FY 2019
BUDGET RESERVE FUND			
Transfer in - from the General Revenue Fund Section 27(c) 6 - if approved by the voters	\$0	\$0	\$0 or \$63,950,000
Transfer Out - to the Cash Operating Reserve Fund Section 27(a) 1 - if approved by the voters	<u>\$0</u>	\$0 or (\$373,300,000)	<u>\$0</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON BUDGET RESERVE FUND	<u>\$0</u>	\$0 or (\$373,300,000)	\$0 or \$63,950,000
CASH OPERATING RESERVE FUND			
Transfer In - from the Budget Reserve Fund Section 27(a) 1 - if approved by voters	\$0	\$0 or \$373,300,000	\$0
Transfer out - to the General Revenue Fund Section 27(a) 1 - if approved by voters	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	\$0 or (\$13,540,000)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON CASH OPERATING RESERVE FUND	<u>\$0</u>	\$0 or <u>\$373,300,000</u>	\$0 or (<u>\$13,540,000</u>)

Page 10 of 11 March 2, 2016

FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2017 (10 Mo.)	FY 2018	FY 2019
LOCAL GOVERNMENTS			
<u>Transfer In</u> - Local Election Authorities - reimbursement of special election costs by the State for a special election	\$0 or More than \$7,100,000	\$0	\$0
<u>Cost</u> - Local Election Authorities - cost of the special election	\$0 or (More than \$7,100,000)	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

Small businesses and small business owners could pay less in taxes if this proposal is implemented.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation would submit to the voters a constitutional amendment to limit general revenue appropriations in any fiscal year from exceeding the total state general revenue appropriations form the previous year by more than the appropriations growth limit.

In any fiscal year when the net general revenue collections are more than 1% of the total state general revenue appropriations allowed, the excess moneys must be transferred to the Budget Reserve Fund.

Total state general revenue appropriations for any fiscal year may exceed the appropriations limit only if the Governor declares an emergency and the General Assembly, by a two-thirds majority, enacts and the Governor approves an appropriation bill to meet the emergency.

New or increased revenues or fees receiving voter approval will be exempt from the calculation of the appropriations growth limit of the year in which they are passed.

Page 11 of 11 March 2, 2016

Fiscal Description (continued)

If the balance in the Budget Reserve Fund at the end of a fiscal year exceeds 7% of the net general revenue collections for the previous year, the commissioner must transfer the excess funds to the General Revenue Fund. The full amount of any funds appropriated and expended form the Budget Reserve Fund for specified emergency appropriations must be paid back within five years from the date of the original transfer.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the Governor
Office of the Secretary of State
Office of the State Treasurer
Missouri Senate
Missouri House of Representatives

Missouri House of Representatives Joint Committee on Administrative Rules Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and

Professional Registration

Office of Administration

Division of Budget and Planning

Department of Revenue

Department of Economic Development

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

March 2, 2016

Ross Strope Assistant Director March 2, 2016