COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.:</u> 5115-01 <u>Bill No.:</u> HB 2169

Subject: Agriculture; Taxation and Revenue - General; Taxation and Revenue - Income

Type: Original

Date: February 1, 2016

Bill Summary: This proposal authorizes an income tax exemption for payments from

agricultural disaster programs.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019
General Revenue	(Up to \$4,137,584)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	(Up to \$4,137,584	(Unknown)	(Unknown)

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 6 pages.

L.R. No. 5115-01 Bill No. HB 2169 Page 2 of 6 February 1, 2016

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

L.R. No. 5115-01 Bill No. HB 2169 Page 3 of 6 February 1, 2016

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Oversight was unable to receive some of the agency responses in a timely manner due to the short fiscal note request time. Oversight has presented this fiscal note on the best current information that we have or on prior year information regarding a similar bill. Upon the receipt of agency responses, Oversight will review to determine if an updated fiscal note should be prepared and seek the necessary approval of the chairperson of the Joint Committee on Legislative Research to publish a new fiscal note.

In a similar proposal (SB 641, 2016) officials from the **Office of Administration - Division of Budget and Planning (B&P)** assumed this proposal could reduce Total State Revenues (TSR) by as much as \$51.5 million and would impact the calculation required under Article X, Section 18(e) of the state constitution.

Oversight notes the similar proposal on which B&P provided and impact statement differs from this proposal in that the similar proposal had an effective date for all tax years beginning on or after January 1, 2014, while this proposal is effective for all tax years beginning on or after January 1, 2015. Both proposal would allow a taxpayer to deduct from Missouri adjusted gross income, any payments from any program that provides compensation to agricultural producers who have suffered losses due to a disaster, emergency. Oversight will adjust the calculations provided by B&P to reflect the effective date difference. B&P officials used data provided by the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) to estimate the fiscal impact.

Since deductions do not reduce taxes on a dollar for dollar basis, B&P officials assume the exemption provided for in this proposal would reduce TSR by 6% of the amount of disaster payments received by Missouri taxpayers. The proposal would allow the deduction for tax years 2015 and forward. Therefore, the impact in fiscal year 2017 could be as much as \$4,070,000. The annual revenue reduction could also vary in the future due to the impact of SB 509 (2014).

Oversight notes the B&P estimate is based on an assumption that all such payments would be subject to the maximum personal income tax rate of 6% for FY 2017, and a potentially reduced rate for FY 2018 and FY 2019. Oversight also notes the reduced rate for 2017 returns filed in FY 2018 is contingent on net general revenue collections exceeding a net general revenue collections threshold which may or may not occur and in addition, some of the payments may be received by individuals who would be taxed at a lower rate due to total taxable income less than \$9,000.

L.R. No. 5115-01 Bill No. HB 2169 Page 4 of 6 February 1, 2016

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Due to the wide fluctuations in the payments over the immediate proceeding years, it is difficult to project the future fiscal impact. **Oversight** will include an estimated revenue reduction in this fiscal note based in part on the B&P response; Oversight will indicate an amount up to \$4.1 million in FY 2017, for 2015and 2016 amended returns which would be filed in FY 2017.

Oversight has no basis upon which to base future payments of agriculture disaster amounts, and will reflect a negative unknown amount for FY 2018 and FY 2019.

In a similar proposal (SB 641, 2016) officials from the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** noted the proposal would, allow a subtraction from the federal adjusted gross income of the amount of income an agricultural producer receives to compensate for losses because of disaster, emergencies. The provisions in Subdivision (10) allow for the subtraction from the taxpayer's federal adjusted gross income to the extent the taxpayer included the payment in his or her federal adjusted gross income.

DOR officials assumed Personal Tax would require programming and form changes, and two additional Revenue Processing Technicians I for contacts on the delinquent and non-delinquent tax lines.

DOR officials provided an estimate of the administrative cost to implement the proposal including two additional employees; the total including additional employees, benefits, equipment, and expense, was \$81,681 for FY 2017, \$84,086 for FY 2018, and \$84,960 for FY 2019.

Oversight notes this proposal would change a limited number of computations on income tax returns and would not be expected to have a significant impact on the number of returns filed. Oversight notes a significantly high percentage of income tax returns are prepared online, electronically, or by paid preparers, and assumes there would not be a significant number of additional errors resulting from the changes in this proposal. Oversight assumes existing DOR staffing would be adequate to implement this proposal. If unanticipated additional costs are incurred or if multiple proposals are implemented that increase DOR costs or the workload for DOR employees, resources could be requested through the budget process.

DOR officials also provided an estimate of the IT cost to implement the proposal of \$37,584 based on 50 hours of programming at the current state contract rate of \$75 per hour to make changes to DOR systems.

L.R. No. 5115-01 Bill No. HB 2169 Page 5 of 6 February 1, 2016

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

Oversight will include the DOR estimate of the IT cost implement the proposal in this fiscal note.

In a similar proposal (SB 641, 2016) officials from **Office of the Secretary of State**, and the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules** assumed this proposal would not have a fiscal impact to their organizations.

Officials from the **Department of Agriculture** assume this proposal would not have a fiscal impact to their organizations.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2017 (10 Mo.)	FY 2018	FY 2019
GENERAL REVENUE FUND	(10 1/10.)		
Cost - DOR Computer programming	(\$37,584)	\$0	\$0
Revenue reduction - DOR Tax exemption	(Up to \$4,100,000)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND	(Up to <u>\$4,137,584)</u>	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2017 (10 Mo.)	FY 2018	FY 2019
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

Certain small agricultural businesses could be impacted by this proposal.

L.R. No. 5115-01 Bill No. HB 2169 Page 6 of 6 February 1, 2016

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This proposal would provide an income tax exemption for payments in all tax years beginning on or after January 1, 2015, for income received as payment from any program which compensates agricultural producers who have suffered a loss as a result of a disaster.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Joint Committee on Administrative Rules
Office of Administration
Division of Budget and Planning
Department of Agriculture
Office of the Secretary of State
Department of Revenue

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

February 1, 2016

Ross Strope Assistant Director February 1, 2016