COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 5946-02 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 2333

Subject: Taxation and Revenue - Sales and Use; Business and Commerce

Type: Original

<u>Date</u>: April 19, 2016

Bill Summary: This proposal authorizes a sales tax holiday for products made in the USA.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019		
General Revenue	\$0	(Could exceed \$4,400,000)	(Could exceed \$4,400,000)		
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue (Could exceed (Could exceed \$4,400,000) \$4,400,000					

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	
School District Trust	\$0	(Could exceed \$3,000,000)	(Could exceed \$3,000,000)	
Conservation Commission	\$0	(Could exceed \$400,000)	(Could exceed \$400,000)	
Parks, and Soil and Water	\$0	(Could exceed \$300,000)	(Could exceed \$300,000)	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	(Could exceed \$3,700,000)	(Could exceed \$3,700,000)	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 9 pages.

L.R. No. 5946-02 Bill No. HB 2333 Page 2 of 9 April 19, 2016

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019		
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019		
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0		

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019		
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0		

L.R. No. 5946-02 Bill No. HB 2333 Page 3 of 9 April 19, 2016

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Oversight was unable to receive some of the agency responses in a timely manner due to the short fiscal note request time. Oversight has presented this fiscal note on the best current information that we have or on prior year information regarding a similar bill. Upon the receipt of agency responses, Oversight will review to determine if an updated fiscal note should be prepared and seek the necessary approval of the chairperson of the Joint Committee on Legislative Research to publish a new fiscal note.

Officials from the **Office of Administration** - **Division of Budget and Planning (B&P)** noted this proposal would create a sales tax holiday for products "Made in USA" in 2017 and 2018. B&P officials assume the tax holiday would reduce Total State Revenue by \$8.1 million in FY 2018 and FY 2019. B&P officials also assume this proposal would impact the calculation required under Article X, Section 18(e) of the state constitution.

B&P officials noted that according to Federal Trade Commission guidelines, there are several categories of "Made in USA" products, including products that are entirely manufactured to those partially manufactured in the USA, and stated it is unclear from this proposal if only those products that are "all or virtually all made in the U.S." qualify for the sales tax holiday, or if a broader set of products would also qualify.

B&P officials cited a study prepared by the San Francisco Federal Reserve which provides estimates of the proportion of personal consumption comprised by various product types, as well as what portion qualifies for the "Made in USA" exemption.

Using information from the Federal Reserve report, B&P officials calculated that 11.4% of annual sales other than food would qualify for the holiday. Since the proposed holiday would last for one week, approximately 1.9% of annual qualifying sales would be exempt, or 0.22% of annual sales. Finally, B&P officials noted in FY 2015, \$2,014.4 million of sales tax was collected for the General Revenue Fund. BAP officials assume this proposal would reduce General Revenue Fund sales tax revenues by $(0.22\% \times \$2,014,400,000) = \$4,400,000$.

Using the same information and including food sales, B&P officials calculated that 18.7% of annual sales would qualify for the holiday. Again, since the proposed holiday would last for one week, B&P officials assumes 1.9% of annual qualifying sales would be exempt, or (18.7% x 1.9%) = 0.36% of annual sales.

L.R. No. 5946-02 Bill No. HB 2333 Page 4 of 9 April 19, 2016

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Oversight notes from the prior session fiscal note for a similar proposal, this calculation would provide an estimate of the reduction in sales tax revenues for other state funds that receive sales tax revenues, as follows.

Fund	2014 Revenues *	Calculated Reduction	
School District Trust	\$851,450,221	\$3,065,221	
Conservation Commission	\$107,076,440	\$385,475	
Parks, and Soil and Water	\$85,660,149	\$308,377	
* Reported by the Department of Revenue			

Oversight assumes the sales tax holiday could potentially influence the timing of larger purchases, resulting in revenue reductions larger than the B&P calculations. Oversight notes the revenue reduction could exceed the amounts calculated for the General Revenue Fund and for those other state funds which receive sales tax revenues, and will indicate a revenue reduction which could exceed the amounts calculated.

Oversight will not indicate a fiscal impact to road and transportation funds since motor vehicle sales are not eligible for the sales tax exemption, and will not indicate a revenue reduction for local governments since local government adoption of the sales tax holiday would be optional.

In response to a similar proposal in the previous session, officials from the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** assumed this proposal would, beginning January 1, 2017, but ending December 31, 2018, provide a state sales tax exemption for retail sales of any "Made in USA" product during a seven day period beginning on July first and ending on July seventh, unless July first is a Sunday. If July first is a Sunday, the seven-day period would begin on July second and end on July eighth. The exemption provided in this section would only apply to the first \$15,000 of each purchase of a "Made in USA" product, and dispensed fuel would not be exempted from sales tax.

DOR officials stated they were not able to determine the amount of "Made in USA" product sales tax that is included in the total amount of sales tax reported. Based on \$3.332 billion in state sales and use tax collections for fiscal year 2014, the Department estimated daily sales and use tax collections are \$9.1 million. Providing an exemption for "Made in USA" products for a seven day period could result in an overall reduction of Total State Revenue of \$63.9 million; however, this estimate may be greatly overstated because this estimate includes products made outside of the USA. DOR officials assume the Office of Administration - Division of Budget and Planning may be able to provide more precise numbers.

L.R. No. 5946-02 Bill No. HB 2333 Page 5 of 9 April 19, 2016

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

Administrative Impact

DOR officials assumed Collections and Tax Assistance (CATA) would require two additional Revenue Processing Technicians I for additional file maintenance and contacts. Each technician would require CARES equipment and license.

Sales Tax

DOR officials also assumed the Department would require programming to establish an item tax reported on a separate sales tax holiday line on taxpayer's returns for political subdivisions that do not participate in the holiday.

DOR officials also noted the Sales Tax Holiday would impact two filing periods (July Monthly Returns and July-September Quarterly returns). Because businesses must differentiate sales tax collected in political subdivisions that do not participate, the proposal could potentially eliminate a substantial volume of the July - One and Two Location Voucher returns and the Quarterly July-September - One and Two Location Voucher Returns that can be processed without manual intervention. This would require additional hand keying by Department staff and would add to the complexity of filing for the impacted businesses.

DOR officials assumed the Department would need to notify approximately 1,500 cities, counties, and districts how to participate in the holiday; resulting in mailing and printing costs.

Letters	$0.025 \times 1500 =$	\$37.50
Envelopes	$0.040 \times 1500 =$	60.00
Postage	$0.490 \times 1500 =$	735.00
Total	$0.555 \times 1500 =$	\$832.50

Each year, the Department would send approximately 20,000 businesses a holiday notification informing them how to collect and report holiday related sales tax for locals only and how to report the exemption on their return.

Letters	$0.025 \times 20,000 =$	\$500.00
Envelopes	$0.040 \times 20,000 =$	800.00
Postage	$0.490 \times 20,000 =$	\$9,800.00
Total	$0.555 \times 20,000 =$	<u>\$11,100.00</u>

DOR officials assumed Sales Tax would require one additional Revenue Processing Technician I (Range 10, Step L) to process refund claims.

L.R. No. 5946-02 Bill No. HB 2333 Page 6 of 9 April 19, 2016

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

Integrated Revenue System

DOR officials assumed a new item code and business notification templates would be required.

In total, the DOR estimate of cost to implement a similar proposal in the previous session included three additional employees and the related benefits, equipment, mailings, and other expense totaled \$135,183 for FY 2016, \$138,361 for FY 2017, and \$127,440 for FY 2018.

Oversight assumes the notifications to cities and to retail licensees would be included in regular DOR communications; therefore, the Department of Revenue would not incur any significant additional cost for those notifications.

Oversight notes this proposal would provide two brief sales tax holidays; the first week of July in 2017 (FY 2018) and 2018 (FY 2019). Although the holidays could result in consumers delaying purchases for a limited time before the holiday, Oversight will assume the holidays would only impact taxable sales in FY 2018 and FY 2019. Accordingly, Oversight assumes any additional cost to DOR from this proposal would be minimal and could be absorbed with existing resources. If unanticipated additional costs are incurred, or if multiple proposals are implemented which increase the DOR workload, resources could be requested through the budget process.

Officials from the **Department of Natural Resources (DNR)** assume the proposal will decrease the amount of funding available in the Parks and Soils Sales Tax Fund and defers to the Department of Revenue and Office of Administration - Division of Budget and Planning for a more detailed account of the fiscal impact of this proposal

In response to a similar proposal, officials from the **Department of Conservation (MDC)** assumed this proposal would have an unknown negative fiscal impact to their organization, but greater than \$100,000, and deferred to the Department of Revenue for an estimate of the anticipated fiscal impact for this proposal.

Officials from **Kansas City** assumed the proposal would decrease sales tax revenue of an undeterminable amount, if the City would choose to participate in the sales tax holiday.

Officials from **Columbia** assumed they would not participate in the sales tax holiday and therefore the proposal would not have a fiscal impact.

Officials from the **St. Louis County Directors of Elections** and the **Platte County Board of Elections** assumed no fiscal impact to their respective organizations.

L.R. No. 5946-02 Bill No. HB 2333 Page 7 of 9 April 19, 2016

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government GENERAL REVENUE FUND	FY 2017 (10 Mo.)	FY 2018	FY 2019
Revenue reduction Sales tax holiday §144.059	<u>\$0</u>	(Could exceed \$4,400,000)	(Could exceed \$4,400,000)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND	<u>\$0</u>	(Could exceed <u>\$4,400,000)</u>	(Could exceed <u>\$4,400,000)</u>
SCHOOL DISTRICT TRUST FUND			
Revenue reduction - DOR Sales tax holiday Section 144.059 ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON	<u>\$0</u>	(Could exceed \$3,000,000) (Could exceed	(Could exceed \$3,000,000) (Could exceed
SCHOOL DISTRICT TRUST FUND	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$3,000,000)</u>	<u>\$3,000,000)</u>
CONSERVATION COMMISSION FUND			
Revenue reduction Sales tax holiday Section 144.059	<u>\$0</u>	(Could exceed \$400,000)	(Could exceed \$400,000)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON CONSERVATION COMMISSION FUND	<u>\$0</u>	(Could exceed <u>\$400,000)</u>	(Could exceed <u>\$400,000)</u>

L.R. No. 5946-02 Bill No. HB 2333 Page 8 of 9 April 19, 2016

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government

(Continued)

PARKS, AND SOIL AND WATER FUNDS

Sales tax holiday Section 144.059	<u>\$0</u>	(Could exceed \$300,000)	(Could exceed \$300,000)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON PARKS, AND SOIL AND WATER FUNDS	<u>\$0</u>	(Could exceed <u>\$300,000)</u>	(Could exceed <u>\$300,000)</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

Small retail businesses would have to account for the Made in the USA sales tax holiday in their collection and reporting of sales taxes.

(10 Mo.)

<u>\$0</u>

<u>\$0</u>

<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This proposal would authorize a sales tax exemption for products that are made in the USA during the sales tax holiday in July with specified exceptions.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 5946-02 Bill No. HB 2333 Page 9 of 9 April 19, 2016

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of Administration—Division of Budget and Planning Department of Revenue Department of Natural Resources Kansas City Columbia
St. Louis County Director of Elections Platte County Board of Elections

Mickey Wilson, CPA Director

Mickey Wilen

April 19, 2016

Ross Strope Assistant Director April 19, 2016