

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 5953-01
Bill No.: HB 2405
Subject: Water Resources and Water Districts; Property, Real and Personal; Natural Resources, Department of
Type: Original
Date: February 19, 2016

Bill Summary: This proposal changes the laws regarding water rights.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

☐ Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Oversight was unable to receive some of the agency responses in a timely manner due to the short fiscal note request time. Oversight has presented this fiscal note on the best current information that we have or on prior year information regarding a similar bill. Upon the receipt of agency responses, Oversight will review to determine if an updated fiscal note should be prepared and seek the necessary approval of the chairperson of the Joint Committee on Legislative Research to publish a new fiscal note.

Officials at the **Department of Agriculture**, **Office of State Courts Administrator**, and **Department of Natural Resources** each assume this proposal will not have a fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

In response to a similar proposal from the 2015 session (HCS for HB 955), officials from the **Department of Conservation (MDC)** assumed that proposal would prohibit MDC from completing mitigation requirements as a part of MDC construction projects such as boat ramps, wetlands, lakes, etc.

MDC assumed they would be required by federal law to complete mitigation as part of an MDC construction project. MDC assumed an unknown negative fiscal impact to the Conservation Commission Fund.

In response to a similar proposal from the 2015 session (HCS for HB 955), officials from the **Department of Transportation (MoDOT)** assumed that proposal would have an unknown negative fiscal impact on the Road Fund.

Oversight showed an (Unknown) cost to the Road Fund and Conservation Commission Fund as a result of section §644.039 that was included in HCS for HB 955 but not in this proposal. Therefore, Oversight will not show a fiscal impact from this proposal to the Road Fund and Conservation Commission Fund.

Officials at the **St. Louis County** and **Mississippi County** each assume this proposal will not have a fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

Officials at the Board of Election Commissioners for **Platte County** assume this proposal will not have a fiscal impact on their organization.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials at the following counties: Andrew, Atchison, Audrain, Barry, Bollinger, Boone, Buchanan, Callaway, Camden, Cape Girardeau, Carroll, Cass, Christian, Clay, Cole, Cooper, DeKalb, Dent, Franklin, Greene, Holt, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Knox, Laclede, Lawrence, Lincoln, Maries, Marion, McDonald, Miller, Mississippi, Moniteau, Monroe, Montgomery, New Madrid, Nodaway, Ozark, Perry, Pettis, Phelps, Platte, Pulaski, Scott, Shelby, St. Charles, St. Francois, Taney, Warren, Wayne and Worth did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact.

Officials at the following board of election commissions: Kansas City Board of Election Commission, St. Louis City Board of Election Commission, Clay County Board of Election Commission, Jackson County Board of Election Commission, and St. Louis County Board of Election Commission did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2017 (10 Mo.)	FY 2018	FY 2019
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2017 (10 Mo.)	FY 2018	FY 2019
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Agriculture
Office of State Courts Administrator
Department of Natural Resources
Platte County Board of Elections Commission
St. Louis County
Mississippi County

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mickey Wilson". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mickey Wilson, CPA
Director
February 19, 2016

Ross Strobe
Assistant Director
February 19, 2016