COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 0984-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 572

Subject: Crimes and Punishment; Parks and Recreation; Museums; Children and Minors

Type: Original

Date: January 27, 2017

Bill Summary: This proposal prohibits registered sexual offenders from being 500 feet

from children's museums, zoological parks, or other locations with the

primary purpose of educating and entertaining children.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND						
FUND AFFECTED FY 2018 FY 2019						
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0			

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020		
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0		

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 0984-01 Bill No. HB 572 Page 2 of 5 January 27, 2017

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS							
FUND AFFECTED FY 2018 FY 2019							
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0				

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)						
FUND AFFECTED	FUND AFFECTED FY 2018 FY 2019					
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0			

☐ Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020		
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0		

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** state the bill addresses the existing offense of sex offender loitering. It extends the definition of places sex offenders may not loiter to include zoos, children's museums, and any place that has a primary purpose of entertaining and educating children.

While the bill does not create any new offenses, it does extend the definition to include more areas where sex offenders may not loiter. This could lead to the conviction of more offenders under statute 566.160 either for a first offense which is an E Felony or a second offense which is classified as a D Felony. In FY2016, there were 4 new admissions and 7 new probations under the E Felony.

The FY16 average cost of supervision is \$6.12 per offender per day or an annual cost of \$2,234 per offender. The DOC cost of incarceration is \$16.67 per day or an annual cost of \$6,085 per offender.

The DOC would assume this legislation will result in long term costs as indicated in the chart below.

							Grand Total -
						Total cost	Prison and
						for	Probation
	# to	Cost per	Total Costs	# to	Cost per	probation	(includes and
	prison	year	for prison	probation	year	and	2% inflation
			_			parole	
Year 1	1.0	(\$6,085)	(\$6,085)	1.0	(\$2,234)	(\$2,234)	(\$6,933)
Year 2	2.0	(\$6,085)	(\$12,170)	2.0	(\$2,234)	(\$4,468)	(\$16,671)
Year 3	3.0	(\$6,085)	(\$18,255)	3.0	(\$2,234)	(\$6,702)	(\$25,965)
Year 4	3.6	(\$6,085)	(\$21,906)	4.3	(\$2,234)	(\$9,606)	(\$33,441)
Year 5	3.6	(\$6,085)	(\$21,906)	5.3	(\$2,234)	(\$11,840)	(\$36,528)

Oversight assumes the DOC could implement the provisions of this proposal within existing appropriations and will not show a fiscal impact on their agency.

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol**, the **Office of the State Courts Administrator**, and the **Office of the State Public Defender** each assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

L.R. No. 0984-01 Bill No. HB 572 Page 4 of 5 January 27, 2017

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the **Office of Prosecution Services (OPS)** assume the proposal would not have a measurable fiscal impact on their agency. The creation of a new crime creates additional responsibilities for county prosecutors which may, in turn, result in additional costs which are difficult to determine.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2018 (10 Mo.)	FY 2019	FY 2020
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2018 (10 Mo.)	FY 2019	FY 2020
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 0984-01 Bill No. HB 572 Page 5 of 5 January 27, 2017

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Public Safety
Office of Prosecution Services
Office of the State Public Defender
Department of Corrections
Office of the State Courts Administrator

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

January 27, 2017

Ross Strope Assistant Director January 27, 2017