

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH  
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

**FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 4510-01  
Bill No.: HB 1818  
Subject: Lobbying; Ethics; Public Officers  
Type: Original  
Date: January 8, 2018

---

Bill Summary: This proposal prohibits elected officials from accepting lobbyist gifts and prohibits lobbyists from providing gifts to such elected officials.

**FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

☐ Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
<b>Local Government</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

### ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Missouri Ethics Commission (MEC)** assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization.

The proposal would prohibit a member of the general assembly, state officer, or the spouses, dependent children, staff/employees of such official from accepting anything of value from lobbyist/lobbyist principal.

This change would require the MEC to do the following:

- Provide information to public officials and the public as specified in the Commission duties in Section 105.491, 105.955.14 (4), RSMo, about the new statutory requirements; examples include providing education, developing informational materials, telephone and email assistance.
- Provide oversight in adherence to the specific gift prohibition, including reviewing lobbyist reports as established in Section 105.959, RSMo. This oversight, it is assumed, would be primarily from receiving written complaints, as established in Section 105.955.14, RSMo, through conducting investigations and the related legal actions. It is assumed this prohibition would result in a minimal number of complaints; however, if this assumption is incorrect the Commission would require additional staff resources.

Section 105.473.13 would prohibit a lobbyist from making any contribution to, expenditure for, any candidate committee formed by a candidate for statewide office, general assembly which is not limited to but includes travel, food, entertainment, lodging, etc.

This change would require the MEC to do the following:

- Provide information to public officials and the public as specified in the Commission duties in Section 105.491, 105.955.14 (4), RSMo, about the new statutory requirements; examples include providing education, developing informational materials, telephone and email assistance.
- Provide oversight in adherence to the prohibition of contributions or expending funds for a candidate committee, including reviewing lobbyist reports and campaign finance reports as established in Section 105.959, RSMo. This oversight, it is assumed, would be primarily from receiving written complaints, as established in Section 105.955.14, RSMo, through conducting investigations and the related legal actions. It is assumed this prohibition would result in a minimal number of complaints; however, if this assumption is incorrect the Commission would require additional staff resources.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Section 105.473.14 would prohibit a lobbyist from delivering anything of value to a local or state elected official, or the spouses, dependent children, staff/employees of such official

This change would require the MEC to do the following:

- Provide information to public officials and the public as specified in the Commission duties in Section 105.491, 105.955.14 (4), RSMo, about the new statutory requirements; examples include providing education, developing informational materials, telephone and email assistance.
- Provide oversight in adherence to the specific gift prohibition, including reviewing lobbyist reports as established in Section 105.959, RSMo. This oversight, it is assumed, would be primarily from receiving written complaints, as established in Section 105.955.14, RSMo, through conducting investigations and the related legal actions. It is assumed this prohibition would result in a minimal number of complaints; however, if this assumption is incorrect the Commission would require additional staff resources.

Section 105.473.15 would prohibit a lobbyist from accepting funds from any candidate committee for reimbursement for delivery of any tangible or intangible item service or thing of value to any state elected official, or member of the general assembly or the spouses, dependent children, staff/employees of such official

This change would require the MEC to do the following:

- Provide information to public officials and the public as specified in the Commission duties in Section 105.491, 105.955.14 (4), RSMo, about the new statutory requirements; examples include providing education, developing informational materials, telephone and email assistance.
- Provide oversight in adherence to the specific gift prohibition, including reviewing lobbyist reports as established in Section 105.959, RSMo. This oversight, it is assumed, would be primarily from receiving written complaints, as established in Section 105.955.14, RSMo, through conducting investigations and the related legal actions. It is assumed this prohibition would result in a minimal number of complaints; however, if this assumption is incorrect the Commission would require additional staff resources.

It is assumed this prohibition would result in a minimal number of complaints; however, if this assumption is incorrect the MEC would require additional staff resources.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2019 (10 Mo.)	FY 2020	FY 2021
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2019 (10 Mo.)	FY 2020	FY 2021
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Missouri Ethics Commission

Ross Strobe



Acting Director  
January 8, 2018