

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 4557-02
Bill No.: HCS for HB 1396
Subject: Political Subdivisions; Merchandising Practices; Cities, Towns and Villages
Type: Original
Date: January 19, 2018

Bill Summary: This proposal prohibits political subdivisions from adopting ordinances restricting the use of plastic bags or other disposable containers.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

☐ Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

In response to a previous version, officials at the **City of Kansas City** assumed this legislation could have a negative fiscal impact on the City. For instance, the City would be prohibited from imposing a special tax on water sold in plastic bottles and may be unable to impose a sales tax on cups and bags.

Oversight inquired the City of Kansas City regarding this proposal. There is no current special tax on water sold in plastic bottles, cups and/or bags. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a \$0 fiscal impact for this proposal.

Officials at the **Office of the Attorney General (AGO)** assume that any potential costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources. The AGO may seek additional appropriations if there is a significant increase in litigation.

Officials at the **Office of Administration**, the **Office of the State Courts Administrator** and the **Department of Natural Resources** each assume no fiscal impact to their respective agencies from this proposal.

In response to a previous version, officials at the **Office of Administration's Administrative Hearing Commission** assumed no fiscal impact from this proposal.

Officials at the **Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District** and **St. Louis County** each assume there is no fiscal impact from this proposal to their respective organizations.

In response to a previous version, officials at **Boone County** and the **City of Columbia** each assumed no fiscal impact to their respective entities from this proposal.

In response to similar legislation from 2017, HCS for HB 1116, officials at the **Callaway County Commission** and **Clinton County** each assumed no fiscal impact to their respective entities from this proposal.

Officials from the following **counties**: Andrew, Atchison, Audrain, Barry, Benton, Bollinger, Buchanan, Camden, Cape Girardeau, Carroll, Cass, Christian, Clay, Cole, Cooper, Davies, Dekalb, Dent, Franklin, Greene, Holt, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Knox, Laclede, Lawrence, Lincoln, Maries, Marion, McDonald, Miller, Moniteau, Monroe, Montgomery, New Madrid, Nodaway, Ozark, Perry, Pettis, Platte, Pulaski, Scott, St. Charles, St. Francois, Taney, Wayne, and Worth did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the following **cities**: Ashland, Belton, Bernie, Bonne Terre, Boonville, California, Cape Girardeau, Clayton, Dardenne Prairie, Excelsior Springs, Florissant, Frontenac, Fulton, Grandview, Harrisonville, Independence, Jefferson City, Joplin, Kearney, Knob Noster, Ladue, Lake Ozark City, Lee Summit, Liberty, Louisiana, Maryland Heights, Maryville, Mexico, Monett, Neosho, O’Fallon, Peculiar, Pineville, Popular Bluff, Raytown, Republic, Richmond, Rolla, Sedalia, Springfield, St. Charles City Administrator, St. Louis City Budget Division, St. Robert, Sugar Creek, Sullivan, Warrensburg, Warrenton, Webb City, Weldon Spring and West Plains did not respond to **Oversight’s** request for fiscal impact.

Officials at the following sewer districts: Boone County Regional Sewer District, Little Blue Valley Sewer District and the Pulaski County Sewer District did not respond to **Oversight’s** request for fiscal impact.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2019 (10 Mo.)	FY 2020	FY 2021
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2019 (10 Mo.)	FY 2020	FY 2021
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Callaway County
City of Kansas City
Department of Natural Resources
Office of Administration
 Administrative Hearing Commission
Office of the Attorney General
Office of the State Courts Administrator
St. Louis County
Boone County
Clinton County
Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District
City of Columbia

Ross Strobe

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Ross Strobe", with a stylized flourish at the end.

Acting Director
January 19, 2018