COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.:</u> 5562-02 <u>Bill No.:</u> HB 2242

Subject: State Treasurer; Cities, Towns, and Villages; Public Records, Public Meetings

Type: Original

Date: February 6, 2018

Bill Summary: This proposal establishes the Missouri Municipal Government

Expenditure Database.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
General Revenue	Less than (\$2,919,556)	Less than (\$783,743)	Less than (\$799,416)
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	Less than (\$2,919,556)	Less than (\$783,743)	Less than (\$799,416)

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 12 pages.

L.R. No. 5562-02 Bill No. HB 2242 Page 2 of 12 February 6, 2018

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
General Revenue	3 FTE	3 FTE	3 FTE
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	3 FTE	3 FTE	3 FTE

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any Of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ES	TIMATED NET EFFE	ECT ON LOCAL FUNI	OS
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
Local Government	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)

L.R. No. 5562-02 Bill No. HB 2242 Page 3 of 12 February 6, 2018

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Office of Administration-Information Technology Services Division (OA-ITSD)** assume the proposal requires the creation of a "Missouri Municipal Government Expenditure Database" to be maintained by the State Treasurer in conjunction with the Office of Administration. The database would be available on the state treasurer's website and would include information about expenditures made in each fiscal year beginning on or after June 1, 2019. The database shall be accessible by members of the public without charge. It is assumed that ITSD would assist in the development and maintenance of the database.

ITSD assumes that the best solution would be to contract for cloud-based services. The State currently posts state expenditures on cloud based services and it appears that 5 Missouri municipalities are using the service. The State of Ohio is using the same type of services to allow municipalities to report expenditure data as well as state government information. It was reported that Ohio planned to spend \$2.7 million to implement the system. ITSD estimated \$2.7 million to implement the system and 20% on-going maintenance. It is also assumed that municipalities would pay for licenses if required.

Cost estimates include the following consolidated agencies:

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education

Department of Higher Education

Department of Revenue

Office of Administration

Department of Agriculture

Department of Natural Resources

Department of Economic Development

Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration

Department of Labor and Industrial Relations

Department of Public Safety

Department of Corrections

Department of Mental Health

Department of Health and Senior Services

Department of Social Services

L.R. No. 5562-02 Bill No. HB 2242 Page 4 of 12 February 6, 2018

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

Officials from the **Office of the State Treasurer (STO)** assume the proposal would require:

30.491 - The website will be housed on STO's website.

30.493 - While the municipalities are required to submit expenditure data, the lack of the specified form will require FTEs to ensure a conversion. STO will need 3 FTE: 2 Information Technologist I (\$50,112 annually) and 1 Information Support Coordinator (\$39,708 annually), to manage and administer the website, as well as coordinate with the municipalities and refer delinquent municipalities to DOR.

In summary, STO assumes a cost of approximately \$230,000 per year for the three additional FTE and related expenses.

Oversight notes that according to ballotpedia.org, there are 955 total municipalities in Missouri.

Officials from the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** assume any potential cost arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources.

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** assume many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the Secretary of State's Office for Administrative Rules is less than \$2,500. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the office can sustain with the core budget. Therefore, SOS reserves the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

Oversight assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could request funding through the appropriation process.

Officials from the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules** assume the proposal is not anticipated to cause a fiscal impact beyond its current appropriation.

L.R. No. 5562-02 Bill No. HB 2242 Page 5 of 12 February 6, 2018

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** assume there may be some impact but there is no way to quantify that currently. Any significant changes will be reflected in future budget requests.

Officials from the **Missouri Department of Conservation** assume the proposal could potentially have a negative fiscal impact of less than \$100,000 annually due to the possibility of extra work required to meet requirements of the legislation and the unknown nature of penalties.

Officials from the **Attorney General's Office** assume that any potential costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources. Attorney General's Office may seek additional appropriations if there is a significant increase in litigation.

Officials from the **City of O'Fallon** assume the proposal is an unfunded mandate that will require municipalities to create or purchase a system and maintain it in order to upload information to the state. This will also require staff time to manage such a system.

Officials from the **City of Kansas City** assume the proposal would have a negative fiscal impact. The City already publishes expenditures on its website. It would require some reprogramming to pull the data requested in the proposed legislation. That would come at some unknown cost, both in personnel and software.

Officials from the **Shell Knob School District #78** assume the proposal would be a huge cost to the district. It would be a necessity to hire additional staff to meet requirements of legislation.

Officials from the **Summersville R2 School District** assume the proposal will have an unknown fiscal impact.

Oversight notes that there would be cost to local political subdivisions to create or reprogram systems in order to meet requirements to upload expenditure information to the state and manage the system, therefore, Oversight will reflect an unknown cost to local political subdivisions.

Officials from the **Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration, Department of Mental Health, Department of Corrections, Department of Social Services,** and **Department of Natural Resources** each defer to the Office of Administration to estimate the fiscal impact of the proposed legislation on their respective organization.

L.R. No. 5562-02 Bill No. HB 2242 Page 6 of 12 February 6, 2018

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

Officials from the **Office of Administration-Budget (OA-B&P)** and Planning assume the proposal could result in an unknown increase to TSR resulting from the new fine revenues it would authorize. OA-B&P defers to the Office of the State Treasurer and Office of Administration-Information Technology Services Division for estimates of fiscal impact on their budgets and operations.

Officials from the Office of Administration-Administrative Hearing Commission, Office of Administration-Accounting, State Tax Commission, Department of Agriculture, Office of the State Public Defender, Missouri Consolidated Health Care Plan, Missouri Lieutenant Governor, Office of Prosecution Services, Missouri Lottery Commission, Missouri Senate, Missouri Ethics Commission, Department of Elementary and Secondary Education, Office of the Governor, Missouri House of Representatives, Department of Health and Senior Services, Department of Labor and Industrial Relations, Department of Transportation, State Auditor's Office, Department of Economic Development, Department of Public Safety-State Emergency Management Agency, Department of Public Safety-Missouri Gaming Commission, Department of Public Safety-Office of the Director, Department of Public Safety-Veterans, Department of Public Safety-Capitol Police, Department of Public Safety-Division of Alcohol and Tobacco, Department of Public Safety-Division of Fire Safety, Department of Public Safety-Office of the Adjutant General, Department of Public Safety-Missouri State Highway Patrol, Department of Higher Education, and Missouri State Employees' Retirement System assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

Officials from the Platte County Board of Elections, St. Louis County Board of Election Commissioners, and Boone County each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

Officials from the **Missouri Western State University** assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization.

Officials from the **Kirksville R-III School District** assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization.

Section 30.494 allows for the Department of Revenue to collect a late fee of \$100 per day for any municipality that is late providing the information to the STO. This money is offset against sales tax collections due the municipalities and then distributed to school districts. **Oversight** will reflect an unknown amount of fine revenue into the General Revenue Fund from this provision.

L.R. No. 5562-02 Bill No. HB 2242 Page 7 of 12 February 6, 2018

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the following **cities**: Ashland, Belton, Bernie, Bonne Terre, Boonville, California, Cape Girardeau, Clayton, Columbia, Dardenne Prairie, Excelsior Springs, Florissant, Frontenac, Fulton, Grandview, Harrisonville, Independence, Jefferson City, Joplin, Kearney, Knob Noster, Ladue, Lake Ozark City, Lee Summit, Liberty, Louisiana, Maryland Heights, Maryville, Mexico, Monett, Neosho, Peculiar, Pineville, Popular Bluff, Raytown, Republic, Richmond, Rolla, Sedalia, Springfield, St. Charles City Administrator, St. Louis City Budget Division, St. Robert, Sugar Creek, Sullivan, Warrensburg, Warrenton, Webb City, Weldon Spring and West Plains did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact.

Officials from the following **counties**: Andrew, Atchison, Audrain, Barry, Benton, Bollinger, Buchanan, Callaway, Camden, Cape Girardeau, Carroll, Cass, Christian, Clay, Clinton, Cole, Cooper, Davies, Dekalb, Dent, Franklin, Greene, Holt, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Knox, Laclede, Lawrence, Lincoln, Maries, Marion, McDonald, Miller, Moniteau, Monroe, Montgomery, New Madrid, Nodaway, Ozark, Perry, Pettis, Pulaski, Scott, St. Charles, St. Francois, Taney, Warren, Wayne, and Worth did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact.

Officials from the following **colleges and universities**: Crowder, East Central, Harris-Stowe, Jefferson College, Kansas City Metropolitan Community College, Lincoln University, Moberly Area Community College, Missouri Southern State University, Missouri State University, Northwest Missouri State University, Southeast Missouri State University, State Fair Community College, St. Charles Community College, State Technical College of Missouri, St. Louis Community College, Three Rivers Community College, Truman State University, the University of Central Missouri and the University of Missouri did not respond to **Oversight's** request for a statement of fiscal impact.

Officials from the following **school districts**: Alton R-IV, Arcadia Valley R-2, Ash Grove R-IV, Ava R-1, Avilla R-13, Bakersfield R-4, Belton School District #124, Benton County R-2, Bismark R-5, Bloomfield R-14, Blue Springs, Bolivar R-I, Bowling Green R-1, Bradleyville R-1, Branson, Brentwood, Bronaugh R-7, Campbell R-2, Carrollton R-7, Caruthersville, Central R-III, Chilhowee R-4, Chillicothe R-II, Clarkton C-4, Cole R-I, Columbia, Concordia R-2, Couch R-1, Crawford County R-1, Crawford County R-2, Crocker R-II, Delta C-7, East Carter R-2, East Lynne 40, East Newton R-6, Eldon R-I, Fair Play, Fayette R-3, Forsyth R-3, Fox C-6, Fredericktown R-I, Fulton, Gasconade County R-1, Glenwood R-8, Grain Valley, Green City R-1, Green Ridge R-8, Hallsville R-IV, Hancock Place, Hannibal School District #60, Harrisonburg R-8, Harrisonville, Hartville R-11, Hazelwood, Henry County R-1, Hillsboro R-3, Holcomb R-III, Hollister R-5, Humansville R-4, Hurley R-1, Independence, Jasper R-5, Jefferson City, Kansas City, Kennett #39, King City R-1, Kirbyville R-VI, Lathrop R-2, Lee Summit, Leeton R-

L.R. No. 5562-02 Bill No. HB 2242 Page 8 of 12 February 6, 2018

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

10, Lewis County C-1, Liberty, Lincoln R-2, Lindbergh, Lonedell R-14, Macon County R-1, Macon County R-IV, Madison C-3, Malta Bend, Maplewood Richmond Heights, Marshfield R-1, Maryville R-II, Maysville R-1, Meadville R-IV, Mehville School District R-9, Meramec Valley R-3, Mexico, Middle Grove C-1, Midway R-1, Milan C-2, Miller R-11, Moberly, Montgomery County R-11, Morgan County R-2, Mountain Grove R-III, Mt. Vernon R-V, New Bloomfield R-III, New Haven, Niangua R-5, Nixa, North Harrison R-3, North Kansas City, North St. Francois Co. R-1, Northeast Nodaway R-5, Northwest R-1, Oak Ridge R-6, Odessa R-VII, Oregon-Howell R-III, Orrick R-11, Osage County, Osage R-2, Osborn R-O, Parkway, Pattonville, Pettis County R-12, Pierce City R-6, Plato R-5, Polo R-VII, Prairie Home R-5, Princeton R-5, Purdy R-II, Raymore-Peculiar R-III, Raytown, Reeds Springs R-IV, Renick R-5, Republic R-III, Rich Hill R-IV, Richards R-5, Richland R-1, Richmond R-XVI, Riverview Gardens, Rockwood School District, Salisbury R-4, Sarcoxie R-2, Scotland County R-I, Scott City R-1, Sedalia, Seymour R-2, Sherwood Cass R-5, Sikeston, Silex, Smithville R-2, South Harrison R-11, Southland C-9, Sparta R-III, Special School District of St. Louis County, Spickard R-II, Spokane R-VII, Springfield, St Joseph, St Louis, St. Charles, St. Elizabeth R-4, Stanberry R-III, Stewartsville C-2, Sullivan, Sweet Springs, Trenton R-1x, Valley Park, Valley R-6, Verona R-7, Warren County R-3, Warrensburg R-6, Warsaw R-IX, Waynesville, Webster Groves, Wellsville Middletown R-1, Wentzville R-IV, West Plains R-VII, Westran R-1, Westview C-6, Willard R-2 and the Wright City R-2 School District did not respond to Oversight's request for fiscal impact.

L.R. No. 5562-02 Bill No. HB 2242 Page 9 of 12 February 6, 2018

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2019 (10 Mo.)	FY 2020	FY 2021
GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
<u>Income</u> - DOR - §30.494 - \$100 per day late fee	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
Cost - STO Salaries Fringe Benefits Equipment and Expense Total Cost - STO FTE Change - STO Cost - OA-ITSD	(\$116,610) (\$60,496) (\$42,450) (\$219,556) 3 FTE (\$2,700,000)	(\$141,331) (\$73,012) (\$15,900) (\$230,243) 3 FTE (\$553,500)	(\$142,745) (\$73,433) (\$15,900) (\$232,078) 3 FTE (\$567,338)
Cloud-based services ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON	<u>Less than</u>	Less than	Less than
	<u>Less than</u> (\$2,919,556)	<u>Less than</u> (\$783,743)	<u>Less than</u> (\$799,416)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON			
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	(\$2,919,556) FY 2019	(\$783,743)	<u>(\$799,416)</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

L.R. No. 5562-02 Bill No. HB 2242 Page 10 of 12 February 6, 2018

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This proposal establishes the "Missouri Municipal Government Expenditure Database," to be maintained by the State Treasurer in conjunction with the Office of Administration. For each fiscal year beginning on or after June 1, 2019, the database must include extensive information about a given municipality's expenditures and the vendors to whom payments were made. The database must be accessible by the public without charge and have multiple ways to search and filter the information. Municipalities with websites must provide a link to the database.

A municipality must provide the information to the Treasurer on a quarterly basis or it will be fined \$100 per day after 14 days. The fine will be collected by offsetting sales and use tax distributions due to the municipality and will be distributed to the schools of the county in the same manner that penalties, forfeitures, and fines for breaches of penal laws are distributed.

Other duties and responsibilities of the Treasurer regarding the database are detailed in the proposal.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the State Treasurer
Office of Administration-Info

Office of Administration-Information Technology Services Division

Office of the Secretary of State

Joint Committee on Administrative Rules

Office of State Courts Administrator

City of O'Fallon

City of Kansas City

Shell Knob School District #78

Summersville R2 School District

Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration

Department of Mental Health

Department of Natural Resources

Office of Administration-Administrative Hearing Commission

L.R. No. 5562-02 Bill No. HB 2242 Page 11 of 12 February 6, 2018

SOURCES OF INFORMATION (continued)

Office of Administration-Accounting

Missouri Tax Commission

Department of Agriculture

Office of the State Public Defender

Missouri Consolidated Health Care Plan

Missouri Lieutenant Governor

Office of Prosecution Services

Missouri Lottery Commission

Missouri Senate

Missouri Ethics Commission

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education

Office of the Governor

Missouri House of Representatives

Department of Health and Senior Services

Department of Labor and Industrial Relations

Department of Transportation

State Auditor's Office

Department of Social Services

Department of Economic Development

Department of Public Safety

State Emergency Management Agency

Missouri Gaming Commission

Office of the Director

Veteran

Capitol Police

Division of Alcohol and Tobacco Control

Division of Fire Safety

Office of Adjutant General

Missouri State Highway Patrol

Missouri State Employees' Retirement System

Department of Corrections

Office of Administration-Budget and Planning

Missouri Department of Conservation

Department of Higher Education

Platte County Board of Elections

St. Louis County Board of Election Commissioners

Boone County

Missouri Western State University

L.R. No. 5562-02 Bill No. HB 2242 Page 12 of 12 February 6, 2018

SOURCES OF INFORMATION (continued)

Kirksville R-III School District Macon County R-IV School District MoDOT and Patrol Employees Retirement System Attorney General's Office Department of Revenue

Ross Strope

Acting Director February 6, 2018

Com Al