# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

## FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.:0841-02Bill No.:SCS for HB 260 with SA 1Subject:Animals; Civil Penalties; Department of ConservationType:OriginalDate:May 1, 2019

Bill Summary: This proposal imposes civil penalties for poaching certain animals.

# FISCAL SUMMARY

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND        |                          |                          |                          |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| FUND AFFECTED                                       | FY 2020                  | FY 2021                  | FY 2022                  |
| General Revenue                                     | Less than \$2,095,000    | Less than \$2,514,000    | Less than<br>\$2,514,000 |
| Total Estimated<br>Net Effect on<br>General Revenue | Less than<br>\$2,095,000 | Less than<br>\$2,514,000 | Less than<br>\$2,514,000 |

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS                    |         |         |         |  |
|--|---------|---------|---------|--|
| FUND AFFECTED  | FY 2020 | FY 2021 | FY 2022 |  |
| Conservation<br>Commission (0609)*                           | \$0     | \$0     | \$0     |  |
| State School Moneys (0616)*                                  | \$0     | \$0     | \$0     |  |
| Total Estimated<br>Net Effect on <u>Other</u><br>State Funds | \$0     | \$0     | \$0     |  |

\*Revenues/Transfers In and Costs/Transfers Out net to zero

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 6 pages.

L.R. No. 0841-02 Bill No. SCS for HB 260 with SA 1 Page 2 of 6 May 1, 2019

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS                        |         |         |         |  |
|--|---------|---------|---------|--|
| FUND AFFECTED  | FY 2020 | FY 2021 | FY 2022 |  |
|  |         |         |         |  |
|  |         |         |         |  |
| Total Estimated<br>Net Effect on <u>All</u><br>Federal Funds | \$0     | \$0     | \$0     |  |

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE) |         |         |         |  |
|--|---------|---------|---------|--|
| FUND AFFECTED                                      | FY 2020 | FY 2021 | FY 2022 |  |
|  |         |         |         |  |
|  |         |         |         |  |
| Total Estimated<br>Net Effect on<br>FTE            | 0       | 0       | 0       |  |

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS |     |     |     |  |
|-------------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|--|
| FUND AFFECTEDFY 2020FY 2021FY 20    |     |     |     |  |
| Local Government                    | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |

L.R. No. 0841-02 Bill No. SCS for HB 260 with SA 1 Page 3 of 6 May 1, 2019

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

## ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Missouri Department of Conservation (MDC)** assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization. MDC notes the following violations were issued in CYs 2017 & 2018:

| Type of Animal<br>Poached | Number of<br>Violations<br>CY 2017 | Number of<br>Violations<br>CY 2018 | New Proposed Restitution      |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Wild Turkey               | 273                                | 274                                | Between \$500 and \$1,000     |
| Paddlefish                | 42                                 | 16                                 | Between \$500 and \$1,000     |
| White-tailed Deer         | 2,362                              | 2,369                              | Between \$1,000 and \$5,000   |
| Black Bear                | 1                                  | 0                                  | Between \$10,000 and \$15,000 |
| Elk                       | 0                                  | 0                                  | Between \$10,000 and \$15,000 |

Source: MDC

**Oversight** notes from MDC's website that a point system is used to assist staff in identifying serious and repeat offenders of the Wildlife Code. Points are assigned to offenses based on the severity of the violation. When a violation occurs, an MDC agent will issue a ticket. If there is a conviction, the court may assess a fine (which goes to the county) and/or time in jail. Oversight will use the information in the table below to estimate the amount of civil penalty revenue the state may collect from these provisions

| Type of Animal Poached | Assumed Number of<br>Violations | Minimum Fine<br>Amount | Assumed Amount of<br>Fine Revenue |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Wild Turkey            | 274                             | \$500                  | \$137,000                         |
| Paddlefish             | 16                              | \$500                  | \$8,000                           |
| White-tailed Deer      | 2,369                           | \$1,000                | \$2,369,000                       |
| Black Bear             | 0                               | \$10,000               | \$0                               |
| Elk                    | 0                               | \$10,000               | \$0                               |
| Total                  |                                 |                        | \$2,514,000                       |

L.R. No. 0841-02 Bill No. SCS for HB 260 with SA 1 Page 4 of 6 May 1, 2019

#### ASSUMPTION (continued)

**Oversight** assumes a court may or may not assess the fines listed in the proposal. Additionally, the new civil penalty amounts may deter people from poaching these animals. Oversight notes there were 1,349 misdemeanor charges issued in FY 2018 for taking wildlife illegally (§252.040).

Based on the information provided in the table above, Oversight assumes the amount of fine revenue could exceed \$2,514,000 and will reflect that on the fiscal note.

While the foundation formula was fully funded in FY 2018, Oversight is unable to predict whether it will be fully funded in future fiscal years. Oversight notes that if the foundation formula is fully funded an increase in the State School Moneys fund would be offset by a reduction in General Revenue and have no impact on school districts.

If the foundation formula is not fully funded then an increase in the State School Moneys fund would not necessarily be offset by a reduction in General Revenue. If there is not a corresponding decrease in General Revenue, then an increase in the State Schools Money fund would result in an increase to the school districts.

Oversight will reflect the new civil penalty revenue as a savings to General Revenue due to the foundation formula currently being fully funded.

Officials from the **Office of the State Courts Administrator** assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization.

#### Senate Amendment 1:

**Oversight** notes Senate Amendment 1 specifies that white-tailed deer include only antlered white-tailed deer, and excludes does. Therefore, Oversight assumes the deer totals presented on page 3 and included in the fiscal impact on page 5 could be overstated. Officials from the MDC assume the majority of the deer poached are antlered; and therefore, the amounts presented would not be materially altered by Senate Amendment 1. Due to time constraints, with this amendment and the prospect of these civil penalties being effective in deterring poaching, Oversight will reflect revenue from this proposal as "less than" the totals from page 3.

L.R. No. 0841-02 Bill No. SCS for HB 260 with SA 1 Page 5 of 6 May 1, 2019

| FISCAL IMPACT - State Government  | FY 2020<br>(10 Mo.)             | FY 2021                         | FY 2022                         |
|---|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| GENERAL REVENUE FUND  |                                 |                                 |                                 |
| <u>Savings</u> - restitution for poaching certain<br>animals (not transferred to local school<br>districts because foundation formula is<br>fully funded) | Less than <u>\$2,095,000</u>    | Less than <u>\$2,514,000</u>    | Less than <u>\$2,514,000</u>    |
| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON THE<br>GENERAL REVENUE FUND   | Less than<br><u>\$2,095,000</u> | Less than<br><u>\$2,514,000</u> | Less than<br><u>\$2,514,000</u> |
| CONSERVATION COMMISSION<br>FUND   |                                 |                                 |                                 |
| <u>Revenue</u> - MDC - Restitution for poaching certain animals   | Less than \$2,095,000           | Less than \$2,514,000           | Less than \$2,514,000           |
| <u>Transfer Out</u> - to State School Moneys<br>Fund  | Less than (\$2,095,000)         | Less than<br>(\$2,514,000)      | Less than<br>(\$2,514,000)      |
| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON THE<br>CONSERVATION COMMISSION<br>FUND  | <u>\$0</u>                      | <u>\$0</u>                      | <u>\$0</u>                      |
| STATE SCHOOL MONEYS FUND  |                                 |                                 |                                 |
| <u>Transfer In</u> - from Conservation<br>Commission Fund for restitution for<br>poaching   | Less than \$2,095,000           | Less than \$2,514,000           | Less than \$2,514,000           |
| <u>Loss</u> - savings to the General Revenue<br>Fund due to the foundation formula being<br>fully funded  | Less than<br>(\$2,095,000)      | Less than<br>(\$2,514,000)      | Less than<br>(\$2,514,000)      |
| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON<br>STATE SCHOOL MONEYS FUND   | <u>\$0</u>                      | <u>\$0</u>                      | <u>\$0</u>                      |

L.R. No. 0841-02 Bill No. SCS for HB 260 with SA 1 Page 6 of 6 May 1, 2019

|                                  | <u>\$0</u>          | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|------------|------------|
| FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government | FY 2020<br>(10 Mo.) | FY 2021    | FY 2022    |

## FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

## FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This bill specifies that the court may require any person found guilty of chasing, pursuing, taking, transporting, killing, processing, or disposing of certain wildlife in violation of the Missouri Conservation Commission's rules and regulations to make restitution to the state. The moneys collected will be transferred to the State School Moneys Fund.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

#### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Missouri Department of Conservation Office of the State Courts Administrator

Kpc Rime

Kyle Rieman Director May 1, 2019

Ross Strope Assistant Director May 1, 2019