# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

### FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.:</u>	1033-03
<u>Bill No.:</u>	SCS for HCS for HB 333
Subject:	Taxation and Revenue - General; Taxation and Revenue - Income; Banks and
	Financial Institutions
<u>Type</u> :	Original
Date:	April 26, 2019

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies provisions relating to taxation.

# FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATE	ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022		
General Revenue*	Could exceed	Could exceed	Could exceed		
	(\$54,285 to	\$2,541,591 to	\$2,541,591 to		
	\$117,143)	\$4,181,591	\$4,181,591		
Total Estimated	Could exceed	Could exceed	Could exceed		
Net Effect on	(\$54,285 to	\$2,541,591 to	\$2,541,591 to		
General Revenue	\$117,143)	\$4,181,591	\$4,181,591		

\* Oversight notes the amount of Tax Owed to the State does not change under this proposal (§143.121), this proposal only changes when the Tax is Paid to the State (cash flow).

ESTIN	ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses. This fiscal note contains 13 pages.

L.R. No. 1033-03 Bill No. SCS for HCS for HB 333 Page 2 of 13 April 26, 2019

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
Total Estimated Net Effect on All			
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATE	ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	
Local Government\$0\$0				

L.R. No. 1033-03 Bill No. SCS for HCS for HB 333 Page 3 of 13 April 26, 2019

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

### ASSUMPTION

#### §135.090 Peace Officer Surviving Spouse Tax Credit

Officials at the **Office of Administration Division of Budget and Planning** (**B&P**) assume this section would extend the sunset date for the Surviving Spouse Tax Credit from 2019 to 2027. Since the tax credit has not yet sunset, the sunset extension will not impact Total State Revenue or the calculation under Article X, Section 18(e).

**Oversight** notes this section extends the sunset date from December 31, 2019 to December 31, 2027 for the Peace Office Surviving Spouse Tax Credit. The tax credit program allows the surviving spouse of a public safety officer killed in the line of duty to claim a refundable tax credit against individual income taxes imposed by Chapter 143, in an amount equal to the property taxes paid on the surviving spouse's homestead. The surviving spouse may claim the credit each year until such time as the surviving spouse remarries. The tax credit is refundable; therefore, if the tax credit amount exceeds the amount of tax due from the surviving spouse, the excess will be refunded to the taxpayer. There is no cap on this program.

**Oversight** notes according to the Tax Credit Analysis submitted by the Department of Revenue regarding this program, the Peace Officer Surviving Spouse tax credit program had the following activity;

	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
				(projected)	(projected)
Amount Redeemed	\$117,554	\$89,502	\$66,086	\$0	\$0

**Oversight** prepared a sunset report on this program in 2018, which showed the following information:

L.R. No. 1033-03 Bill No. SCS for HCS for HB 333 Page 4 of 13 April 26, 2019

ASSUMPTION (c	ontinued)
---------------	-----------

YEAR	CLAIMS FILED	CREDITS REDEEMED	AVERAGE CREDIT PER CLAIM
FY 2009	fewer than 7	\$9,583	N.A.
FY 2010	12	\$22,363	\$1,864
FY 2011	11	\$16,861	\$1,533
FY 2012	14	\$32,793	\$2,342
FY 2013	34	\$61,132	\$1,798
FY 2014	37	\$76,533	\$2,068
FY 2015	22	\$70,941	\$3,225
FY 2016	34	\$117,554	\$3,457
FY 2017	33	\$89,502	\$2,712
FY 2018	NA	\$66,086	NA

Source: Department of Revenue

**Oversight** will show the extension of the sunset as a loss of \$84,123 (average of the past five years) annually.

<u>§143.071, §143.451 and §143.461 Corporate Income Allocation - Clean Up Language</u> Officials at the **B&P** assume these sections have no impact on B&P, General Revenue, Total State Revenue or the calculations under Article X, Section 18(e).

Officials at the **Department of Revenue** (**DOR**) assume there is no fiscal impact from these sections.

**Oversight** notes that the B&P and DOR have stated theses sections would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note for these sections.

L.R. No. 1033-03 Bill No. SCS for HCS for HB 333 Page 5 of 13 April 26, 2019

### ASSUMPTION (continued)

## Section 143.121 – Interest Additions and Subtractions

Officials at the **B&P** note that the federal Tax Cut and Jobs Act (TCJA) placed a cap on the amount of business interest that could be claimed on the federal tax return in any given year. The TCJA allows any unclaimed interest expenses to be carried forward indefinitely until the full amount has been deducted. This limit and carry forward provision began for tax year 2018.

This section would allow Missouri businesses to claim the full amount of business interest in the first year it occurred by allowing businesses to subtract any remaining amount of interest not already included in the Missouri Adjusted Gross Income (MAGI). In future years, businesses would then be required to add back into their MAGI the business interest that was carried forward on their federal return. B&P notes that this would accelerate the amount of business interest claimed into the first tax year available, but would not reduce the total amount of interest claimed over time. Therefore, this proposal will not impact Total State Revenue or the calculation under Article X, Section 18(e).

B&P estimates that this section may have a significant impact on cash flow from year to year, depending on the amount of interest accelerated through this proposal. B&P is unable to estimate the potential cash flow impact as the required information is only available on federal returns and B&P does not have access to such returns.

B&P further notes that because tax year 2018 has ended and this section would not be enacted until after the start of FY 2020, any businesses impacted would have to file an amended tax year 2018 return in FY 2020. Therefore, this may have a larger cash flow impact in FY 2020 than would otherwise be typical in future fiscal years.

**Oversight** notes this proposal would become effective on August 28, 2019. Since this proposal is for tax years beginning January 1, 2018, Oversight notes that any filer who reported disallowed investment interest expense would need to amend their tax return starting August 29, 2019. Oversight assumes this would cause a loss of revenue in FY 2020 and a gain in FY 2021 and FY 2022. As stated by B&P, DOR & EPARC this proposal does not change the amount of tax a company would owe just when the tax is required to be paid.

**Oversight** currently does not have the data or resources available to produce independent revenue projections, therefore Oversight uses the same assumptions for revenue growth as the FY 2020 Consensus Revenue Estimate (CRE) for revenue growth in all future years. The CRE assumes an increase in net general revenue collections of \$192.6 million for FY 2020.

L.R. No. 1033-03 Bill No. SCS for HCS for HB 333 Page 6 of 13 April 26, 2019

### ASSUMPTION (continued)

**Oversight** notes pursuant to \$143.011 - \$143.022 (SBs 509 & 496 2014) if the previous fiscal year's net general revenue collections exceed the highest net general revenue collections of the three previous fiscal years by at least \$150 million, then there will be a reduction in the individual income tax rate by one-tenth of a percent and a reduction for individual income tax filers of 5% of "business income." These reductions will reduce net General Revenue collections by an estimated \$160.0 million annually. Oversight notes the proposed legislation may impact future net revenue collections and could impact future triggering of the rate reductions required under \$143.011 - \$143.022.

### §143.121 Income Tax Exemption for Federal Reserve Interest

Officials at the **Office of Administration Division of Budget and Planning** (**B&P**) assume this proposal would exempt interest received from deposits at a federal reserve bank from individual and corporate income tax. B&P notes that due to the ambiguous language currently in law, some taxpayers may already be claiming this exemption. For the purpose of this fiscal note, B&P assumes that all entities eligible to claim the proposed income exemption are corporate depository institutions (i.e. banks).

In 2017, the St. Louis Federal Reserve paid interest of \$3 million total to all depository institutions within its region. There are seven states that make up the St. Louis Federal Reserve region. For the purpose of this fiscal note, B&P assumes that the \$3 million in interest payments were paid evenly among all regional states. However, B&P acknowledges that some states may have larger or smaller banks than those in Missouri, resulting in more or less actual interest payments to Missouri banks than estimated here. Therefore, B&P estimates that this proposal could exempt up to \$429,000 (\$3,000,000 /7) in interest payments from the corporate income tax.

In 2017, the Kansas City Federal Reserve paid interest of \$10 million total to all depository institutions within its region. There are seven states that make up the Kansas City Federal Reserve region. For the purpose of this fiscal note, B&P assumes that the \$10 million in interest payments were paid evenly among all regional states. However, B&P acknowledges that some states may have larger or smaller banks than those in Missouri, resulting in more or less actual interest payments to Missouri banks than estimated here. Therefore, B&P estimates that this proposal could exempt up to \$1,429,000 (\$10,000,000 / 7) in interest payments from the corporate income tax.

B&P notes that while the current corporate tax rate is 6.25%, this tax rate is scheduled to decline to 4.0% beginning January 1, 2020. Therefore, B&P will adjust estimates for FY 2021 and beyond to reflect the lower corporate tax rate. B&P estimates that this proposal could reduce Total State Revenue and General Revenue by less than \$116,000 (6.25%\*(\$429,000 +

JH:LR:OD

L.R. No. 1033-03 Bill No. SCS for HCS for HB 333 Page 7 of 13 April 26, 2019

#### ASSUMPTION (continued)

1,429,000) in FY 2020. Beginning in FY 2021, and annually thereafter, this proposal could reduce Total State Revenue and General Revenue by less than 74,000 (4.0%\*(429,000 + 1,429,000)).

This proposal will impact the calculation under Article X, Section 18(e).

Officials at the **Department of Revenue** (**DOR**) assume this proposal outlines what is to be subtracted from a taxpayer's federal adjusted gross income. The proposed legislation includes interest received on deposits held at a federal reserve bank as an eligible subtraction.

There are two Federal Reserve Banks located in Missouri, the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis, and the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City.

The St. Louis Federal Reserve includes the state of Arkansas and portions of Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Mississippi, the eastern half of Missouri and West Tennessee. It has branches in Little Rock, Louisville, and Memphis. According to the 2017 Independents Auditor's Report, the St. Louis Federal Reserve Bank paid \$3 million dollars in interest to the regional depository institutions.

Seven states make reserve deposits into the St. Louis Federal Reserve Bank. The St. Louis Federal Reserve Bank is paying an estimated total of \$428,571 in interest to Missouri depositary institutions (\$3,000,000/7). Multiply that amount by Missouri's current corporate tax rate (6.25%) and that would generate roughly \$26,786 in annual revenues. If the interest earned on reserves deposits is exempt under chapter 143, Missouri could see a decrease in annual revenues in an amount up to \$26,786 in fiscal year 2020. The corporate income tax rate will be reduced to 4% for all tax years beginning on or after January 1, 2020. In fiscal years after FY 2020, if the interest earned on reserves deposits is exempt under chapter 143, Missouri could see a decrease in annual revenues in an amount up to \$17,143.

The Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City includes Colorado, Kansas, Nebraska, Oklahoma, Wyoming and portions of Missouri and New Mexico. According to the 2017 Independents Auditor's Report, the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City paid \$10 million dollars in interest to the regional depository institutions.

Seven states make reserve deposits into the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City. The Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City is paying an estimated total of \$1,428,571 in interest to Missouri depositary institutions (\$10,000,000/7). Multiply that amount by Missouri's current corporate tax rate (6.25%) and that would generate roughly \$89,286 in annual revenues. If the interest earned

JH:LR:OD

L.R. No. 1033-03 Bill No. SCS for HCS for HB 333 Page 8 of 13 April 26, 2019

#### ASSUMPTION (continued)

on reserves deposits is exempt under chapter 143, Missouri could see a decrease in annual revenues in an amount up to \$89,286 in fiscal year 2020. The corporate income tax rate will be reduced to 4% for all tax years beginning on or after January 1, 2020. In fiscal years after FY 2020, if the interest earned on reserves deposits is exempt under chapter 143, Missouri could see a decrease in annual revenues in an amount up to \$57,142.

This is a high estimate since this is assuming all corporations are currently including interest earned on reserve deposits as income on their corporate return. Line 1a on the MO-1120 Corporate Income Tax Return allows for a subtraction of interest from exempt federal obligation. A schedule, which is prepared by the company, is required. Due to the unregulated and discretionary nature of the schedules, the Department is unable to determine if interest earned on reserve deposits is included within line 1a. The Department assumes that some banks schedule the Federal Reserve deposit interest as exempt from corporate income tax and some report the interest as part of their taxable income.

Impact to General Revenue			
FY20 FY21 FY22			
(\$116,072)	(\$74,285)	(\$74,285)	

**Oversight** verified the data supplied by B&P and DOR as to the amount of interest paid. However, Oversight notes there was a large difference in the amount paid in interest each fiscal year partially based on the changing interest rate set by the Federal Reserve Bank. In St. Louis the interest paid in FY 2017 was \$3 million but it was only \$2 million in FY 2016. In Kansas City the interest paid in FY 2017 was \$10 million when it was only \$4 million in FY 2016. Due to the Federal Reserve Bank changing interest rates and deposit amounts there could continue to be a significant difference yearly in interest paid and therefore, Oversight will show a range in the fiscal note.

**Oversight** was also unable to verify the split of the interest among the various states. Oversight will use the methodology used by B&P and DOR of dividing the interest equally among the states. Oversight notes the corporate rate is 6.25% for FY 2020 and decreases to 4% for FY 2021 and beyond. Oversight calculated the interest as follows:

FY 2020 St. Louis \$2,000,000/7 x 6.5% = \$18,571 St. Louis, \$3,000,000/7 x 6.5% = \$27,857 L.R. No. 1033-03 Bill No. SCS for HCS for HB 333 Page 9 of 13 April 26, 2019

ASSUMPTION (continued)

FY 2021 St. Louis \$2,000,000/7 x 4% = \$11,429 St. Louis \$3,000,000/7 x 4% = \$17,143

FY 2020 Kansas City \$4,000,000/7 x 6.25% = \$35,714 Kansas City \$10,000,000/7 x 6.25% = \$89,286

FY 2021 Kansas City \$4,000,000/7 x 4% = \$22,857 Kansas City \$10,000,000/7 x 4% = \$57,143

**Oversight** will show the impact in FY 2020 as \$54,285 (\$18,571 + \$35,714) to \$117,143 (\$27,857 + \$89,286) and will show in FY 2021 and beyond as \$34,286 (\$11,429 + \$22,857) to \$74,286 (\$17,143 + \$57,143).

#### §148.064 Bank Franchise Tax

Officials at the **Department of Revenue** (**DOR**) assume this proposal places an end date on the credit authorized in §148.064.6 for all tax years beginning on or after January 1, 2020.

The Bank Franchise Tax (BFT) credit in §148.064.6 gives financial institutions a credit to reflect the previous reduction in the franchise tax rate. For tax years beginning on or after January 1, 2016, no annual franchise tax is imposed under §147.010. Section 148.064.7 creates a new credit once the franchise tax is repealed, but the current statute does not expressly repeal the credit authorized in §148.064.6. This creates a double credit which is not necessary and reduces state revenue.

The Department took the average amount of credits redeemed in the last three fiscal years to come up with the fiscal impact. The chart below reflects the total dollar amount of the bank franchise tax credits that have been issued in each fiscal year since the repeal of the corporate franchise tax.

	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	Average
BFT credit				
redeemed	\$3,098,901	\$2,701,672	\$4,372,771	\$3,391,115

L.R. No. 1033-03 Bill No. SCS for HCS for HB 333 Page 10 of 13 April 26, 2019

#### ASSUMPTION (continued)

Impact to Total State Revenue				
FY 2020 FY 2021 FY 2022				
\$1,695,558	\$3,391,115	\$3,391,115		

**Oversight** confirmed with DOR that the BFT credits redeemed listed above are only the portion claimed under §148.064.6.

Officials at the **Office of Administration Division of Budget and Planning** (**B&P**) assume this proposal would disallow the bank franchise tax credit under §148.064.6 beginning with tax year 2020. B&P notes that this proposal does not change the alternative bank tax credit allowed under Section 148.064.7.

B&P further notes that the bank franchise tax was fully phased-out by tax year 2016. However, the language in Section 148.064.6 does not rely on the calculation utilized to determine a franchise tax liability, which would have made any credit equal to zero once the franchise tax rate became zero. Rather, it is a simple asset calculation, which allows banks to claim a positive credit even without a franchise tax being levied.

The following table shows the bank franchise tax credit redemptions for the previous three fiscal years.

Fiscal Year	Redemptions under		
	Section 148.064.6		
2016	\$3,227,364		
2017	\$2,756,090		
2018	\$4,347,236		
3-year average	\$3,443,563		

Therefore, B&P estimates that this proposal will increase Total State Revenue and General Revenue by \$3.4 million annually, beginning in FY 2021.

This proposal will impact the calculation under Article X, Section 18(e).

Officials at the **Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration** assume there is no fiscal impact from this proposal. L.R. No. 1033-03 Bill No. SCS for HCS for HB 333 Page 11 of 13 April 26, 2019

#### ASSUMPTION (continued)

**Oversight** notes the changes in this proposal would be effective beginning January 1, 2020, and the first income tax returns would be filed reflecting these changes in January, 2021 (FY 2021). For fiscal note purposes, Oversight includes the revenue reductions in the year in which the affected tax returns would be filed; therefore, the first year this proposal would have an impact would be FY 2021.

Based on data provided on DOR's website, Oversight was able to confirm the bank franchise redemption data presented by B&P. Due to the significant difference in redemption data from year to year, Oversight assumes an increase of \$2.7 million to \$4.3 million to General Revenue annually from the elimination of the bank franchise tax credit.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE	Could exceed (\$54,285 to <u>\$117,143)</u>	Could exceed \$2,541,591 to <u>\$4,181,591</u>	Could exceed \$2,541,591 to <u>\$4,181,591</u>
<u>Revenue Reduction</u> - DOR §135.090 extension of the sunset date on surviving spouse tax credit from 2019 to 2027 p. 4	<u>\$0</u>	<u>(\$84,123)</u>	<u>(\$84,123)</u>
Additional Revenue - DOR - §148.064.6 elimination of the bank franchise tax credit p. 11	\$0	\$2,700,000 to \$4,300,000	\$2,700,000 to \$4,300,000
<u>Revenue Reduction</u> - DOR - §143.121 - subtraction of interest on deposits held at the Federal Reserve from Adjusted Gross Income p. 9	(\$54,285 to \$117,143)	(\$34,286 to \$74,286)	(\$34,286 to \$74,286)
<u>Revenue</u> - DOR §143.121 change in when interest income is paid* p. 5	(Unknown)	Unknown	Unknown
GENERAL REVENUE	(10 Mo.)		
FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022

\* Oversight notes the amount of Tax Owed to the State does not change under this proposal, this proposal only changes when the Tax is Paid to the State (cash flow).

L.R. No. 1033-03 Bill No. SCS for HCS for HB 333 Page 12 of 13 April 26, 2019

FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2020 (10 Mo.)	FY 2021	FY 2022
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

## FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

This may impact small banks, if they are not already claiming the exemption granted in this proposal.

### FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This act provides that, for all tax years beginning on or after January 1, 2018, interest expenses paid or accrued in a previous taxable year, but allowed as a deduction in the current taxable year for federal tax purposes by reason of the carryforward of disallowed business interest provisions of federal law, shall be added to a taxpayer's federal adjusted gross income for the purposes of the calculation of Missouri adjusted gross income. (§143.121)

This act also provides that, for all tax years beginning on or after January 1, 2018, interest expenses paid or accrued in the current taxable year, but not allowed as a deduction for federal tax purposes, shall be subtracted from a taxpayer's federal adjusted gross income for the purposes of the calculation of Missouri adjusted gross income. (§143.121)

This bill subtracts interest received on deposits held at a Federal Reserve Bank from a taxpayer's Missouri adjusted gross income. (§143.121)

Current law provides for a tax credit for banking institutions to compensate for franchise taxes paid by banking institutions, as well as a tax credit that may be claimed in the event the corporate franchise tax is repealed by the General Assembly. Because the corporate franchise tax was repealed beginning January 1, 2016, for all tax years beginning on or after January 1, 2020, this act disallows the tax credit designed to compensate for the franchise tax. (§148.064)

Provides for the extension of the sunset on the Peace Officer Surviving Spouse tax credit from December 31, 2019 to December 31, 2027. (§135.090)

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 1033-03 Bill No. SCS for HCS for HB 333 Page 13 of 13 April 26, 2019

## SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration Department of Revenue Office of Administration Division of Budget and Planning

Kge Rion

Kyle Rieman Director April 26, 2019

Ross Strope Assistant Director April 26, 2019