# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### **FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 1433-02

Bill No.: HCS for HB 694

Subject: Highway Patrol; Department of Public Safety; Crimes and Punishment

Type: Original

Date: February 26, 2019

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies provisions relating to fingerprint-based criminal

records checks.

## **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	
General Revenue	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	
			_	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 6 pages.

L.R. No. 1433-02 Bill No. HCS for HB 694

Page 2 of 6 February 26, 2019

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

☐ Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 1433-02 Bill No. HCS for HB 694 Page 3 of 6 February 26, 2019

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

### **ASSUMPTION**

§§43.539, 43.540 and 43.548 - Fingerprint-based criminal records checks

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol** assume this proposal would not fiscally impact their agency. This bill contains corrective language in Section 43.540 to authorize federal fingerprint-based criminal record checks in order to remain compliant with federal requirements (P.L. 92-544). The Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) has indicated there is a possibility, if the language is not corrected, Missouri would be ineligible to submit federal fingerprint-based criminal record checks for licensing and employment purposes. If the FBI were to deny future submissions, no city, county, or state governmental agency would be eligible to submit federal fingerprint-based criminal record requests. The MHP receives a \$2 pass-thru fee for each fingerprint-based criminal record check completed. Based on 2018 submissions, this would have eliminated approximately 95,000 applicants and a \$190,000 (95,000 x \$2) loss of revenue to the Criminal Records System Fund.

**Oversight** assumes the purpose of this bill is to correct the language in §43.540 to authorize federal fingerprint-based criminal record checks in order to remain compliant with federal requirements. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a no impact in the fiscal note for the MHP.

For the purpose of this proposed legislation, officials from the **Office of State Public Defender** (SPD) state they cannot assume that existing staff will provide effective representation for any new cases arising where indigent persons are charged with the proposed new crime of disseminating confidential fingerprint information - a new class A misdemeanor. The Missouri State Public Defender System is currently providing legal representation in caseloads in excess of recognized standards.

While the number of new cases (or cases with increased penalties) may be too few or uncertain to request additional funding for this specific bill, the SPD will continue to request sufficient appropriations to provide effective representation in all cases where the right to counsel attaches.

**Oversight** notes over the last three fiscal years, the SPD has lapsed a total of \$152 of General Revenue appropriations (\$0 out of \$36.4 million in FY 2016; \$2 out of \$28.0 million in FY 2017; and \$150 out of \$42.5 million in FY 2018). Therefore, Oversight assumes the SPD is at maximum capacity, and the increase in workload resulting from this bill cannot be absorbed within SPD's current resources.

L.R. No. 1433-02 Bill No. HCS for HB 694 Page 4 of 6 February 26, 2019

#### ASSUMPTION (continued)

Adding one additional Assistant Public Defender 1 (APD) with a starting salary of \$47,000, will cost approximately \$74,500 per year in personal service and fringe benefit costs. One additional APD II (\$52,000 per year; eligible for consideration after 1 year of successful performance at APD I) will cost the state approximately \$81,000 per year in personal service and fringe benefit costs. When expense and equipment costs such as travel, training, furniture, equipment and supplies are included, Oversight assumes the cost for a new APD could approach \$100,000 per year.

**Oversight** assumes the SPD cannot absorb the additional caseload that may result from this proposal within their existing resources and, therefore, will reflect a potential additional cost of (Less than \$100,000) per year to the General Revenue Fund.

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** state many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$5,000. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, the SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the office can sustain with the core budget. Therefore, the SOS reserves the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

**Oversight** assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could require additional resources.

Officials from the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules (JCAR)** state the legislation is not anticipated to cause a fiscal impact to JCAR beyond its current appropriation.

Oversight notes that the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education, Department of Health and Senior Services, Department of Mental Health, Department of Social Services and Office of State Courts Administrator have stated the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organizations.

Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

L.R. No. 1433-02 Bill No. HCS for HB 694

Page 5 of 6 February 26, 2019

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
Costs - SPD (§§43.539, 43.540, 43.548) Salaries, fringe benefits, and equipment and expense	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON THE GENERAL REVENUE FUND	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

#### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

#### FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This bill allows qualified entities, under certain circumstances, to receive individuals' criminal history information from the central repository as part of the "Missouri Rap Back Program" as well as the National Rap Back Program. The Missouri program includes automatic notifications made by the State Highway Patrol about whether an individual, specifically an applicant who is employed, licensed, or otherwise under the purview of the entity, has been arrested for a reported criminal offense in the state.

The bill specifies what qualified entities are required to do before having access to the national and state programs, and it specifies the limited circumstances in which individuals' criminal history information may be used. An applicant must give consent to the qualified entity before that entity may access the applicant's fingerprints and criminal history information.

Finally, Missouri circuit courts and the Department of Social Services may require fingerprinting for applicants applying to adopt or to serve as guardian, conservator, or as another type of personal representative and the fingerprint-based record check will be forwarded to the Missouri Highway Patrol to be used to search the criminal history repository. The fingerprints will be sent to the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) for a national background check.

L.R. No. 1433-02 Bill No. HCS for HB 694 Page 6 of 6 February 26, 2019

## FISCAL DESCRIPTION (continued)

The proposal contains an emergency clause.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

## SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education
Department of Health and Senior Services
Department of Mental Health
Department of Public Safety Missouri State Highway Patrol
Department of Social Services
Joint Committee on Administrative Rules
Office of Secretary of State
Office of State Courts Administrator
State Public Defender's Office

Kyle Rieman

Director

Top Rime

February 26, 2019

Ross Strope Assistant Director February 26, 2019