COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 4566-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 1893

Subject: Firearms; Crimes and Punishment

Type: Original

<u>Date</u>: March 3, 2020

Bill Summary: This proposal repeals sections 571.030, 571.107, and 571.215, RSMo, and

enact in lieu thereof three new sections relating to Blair's law, with penalty

provisions.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	
General Revenue	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 4566-01 Bill No. HB 1893 Page 2 of 5 March 3, 2020

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

☐ Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 4566-01 Bill No. HB 1893 Page 3 of 5 March 3, 2020

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

§§571.030, 571.107, and 571.215 - Blair's law

For the purpose of this proposed legislation, officials from the **Office of State Public Defender** (**SPD**) state they cannot assume that existing staff will provide effective representation for any new cases arising where indigent persons are charged with the newly defined crime of Unlawful Use of a Weapon which carries differing levels of penalties. The Missouri State Public Defender System is currently providing legal representation in caseloads in excess of recognized standards.

In Fiscal Year 2019, SPD's Trial Division opened 609 cases under charge code 571.030 of the 62,002 total cases opened.

While the number of new cases (or cases with increased penalties) may be too few or uncertain to request additional funding for this specific bill, the SPD will continue to request sufficient appropriations to provide effective representation in all cases where the right to counsel attaches.

Oversight notes over the last three fiscal years, the SPD has lapsed a total of \$153 of General Revenue appropriations (\$2 out of \$28.0 million in FY 2017; \$150 out of \$42.5 million in FY 2018; and \$1 out of \$46.0 million in FY 2019). Therefore, Oversight assumes the SPD is at maximum capacity, and the increase in workload resulting from this bill cannot be absorbed with SPD's current resources.

Adding one additional Assistant Public Defender 1 (APD) with a starting salary of \$47,000, will cost approximately \$74,500 per year in personal service and fringe benefit costs. One additional APD II (\$52,000 per year; eligible for consideration after 1 year of successful performance at APD I) will cost the state approximately \$81,000 per year in personal service and fringe benefit costs. When expense and equipment costs such as travel, training, furniture, equipment and supplies are included, Oversight assumes the cost for a new APD could approach \$100,000 per year.

Oversight assumes the SPD cannot absorb the additional caseload that may result from this proposal within their existing resources and, therefore, will reflect a potential additional cost of (Less than \$100,000) per year to the General Revenue Fund.

Officials from the **Missouri Office of Prosecution Services (MOPS)** assume the proposal will have no measurable fiscal impact on MOPS. The creation of a new crime creates additional responsibilities for county prosecutors which may, in turn, result in additional costs, which are difficult to determine.

L.R. No. 4566-01 Bill No. HB 1893 Page 4 of 5 March 3, 2020

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety - Missouri State Highway Patrol** has stated the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. The MHP states the legislation does not address the use of a firearm to humanely kill an animal, other than by an animal control officer. Troopers, deputies, and police officers are not typically considered animal control officers, but routinely perform the duties as one, such as when an animal is struck by a vehicle.

Oversight notes the Department of Corrections, the Office of State Courts Administrator, the St. Louis County Police Department, and the St. Louis County Department of Justice Services have each stated the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

Oversight only reflects the responses that we have received from state agencies and political subdivisions; however, other police and sheriff's departments were requested to respond to this proposed legislation but did not. A general listing of political subdivisions included in our database is available upon request.

	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2021 (10 Mo.)	FY 2022	FY 2023
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON THE GENERAL REVENUE FUND	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)
Cost - SPD (§571.030) Salaries, fringe benefits, and equipment and expense	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)
GENERAL REVENUE FUND	(10 Mo.)		
FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023

L.R. No. 4566-01 Bill No. HB 1893 Page 5 of 5 March 3, 2020

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This bill establishes "Blair's Law" which specifies that a person commits the crime of unlawful use of a weapon if, with criminal negligence, he or she discharges a firearm within or into the limits of any municipality. Any such person shall be guilty of a class A misdemeanor. These provisions will not apply if the firearm is discharged under the circumstances listed in the bill.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Corrections

Department of Public Safety Missouri State Highway Patrol

Missouri Office of Prosecution Services

Office of State Courts Administrator

State Public Defender's Office

St. Louis County Police Department

St. Louis County Department of Justice Services

Julie Morff Director

March 3, 2020

Ross Strope Assistant Director March 3, 2020