COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 0185H.01R
Bill No.: Re-Perfected HB 158
Subject: Planning And Zoning; Counties; Boards, Commissions, Committees, And Councils
Type: Original
Date: April 20, 2021

Bill Summary: This proposal changes laws relating to county bodies.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024
Total Estimated Net			
Effect on General			
Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024
Total Estimated Net			
Effect on Other State			
Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024
Total Estimated Net			
Effect on <u>All</u> Federal			
Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024	
Total Estimated Net				
Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

□ Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.

□ Estimated Net Effect (savings or increased revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024
Local Government	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)

L.R. No. 0185H.01R Bill No. Re-Perfected HB 158 Page **3** of **8** April 20, 2021

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

<u>§§64.805 & 64.870 – Compensation for county planning commissions or county zoning</u> <u>adjustment boards</u>

Officials from **Boone County** assume a cost of \$10,000 per year from this proposal.

Oversight assumes the proposal is permissive to counties and how they compensate their county planning commissioners and/or their county zoning commissioners.

House Amendment 2 - §230.205 – Alternative County Highway Commissions

Oversight notes that the agencies mentioned above have stated the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note for these agencies.

Upon review of legislation from a prior year (HB 1403, 2020), **Oversight** inquired of the **Missouri Association of Counties (MAC)** as to how many alternative county highway commissions are currently being utilized. MAC stated they are unaware of any being utilized or of any that have been utilized in the past several years.

House Amendment 3 - §137.275 – Receipt confirmations on taxpayer appeals to the County Board of Equalization

In response to similar legislation from this year (HCS for HB 718), officials from the **St. Louis County Assessor's Office** stated there will be additional costs for postage, envelopes, paper and staffing as required to track and send verification of receipt of appeal applications. Note that more appeals are received in reassessment years. Appeals are received from the first week of May through the second Monday of July each calendar year. They calculated 5,000 appeals for both 2022 and 2024 and 27,000 appeals for 2023. Staff time is calculated at \$20/hour for 100 hours in 2022 and 2024 and 350 hours in 2023. Envelopes cost \$14.87 per 1,000. A case of paper with 10 reams of 500 sheets per ream costs \$30.30 per case. Postage is \$0.55 per stamp.

FY 2022: \$4,854 FY 2023: \$23,516 FY 2024: \$4,854

If this proposal were to pass, this would increase costs and put more strain on the St Louis County Board of Equalization (BOE). The BOE already communicates with every individual that files an appeal by sending the notice of the appeal hearing date once scheduled. Taxpayers L.R. No. 0185H.01R Bill No. Re-Perfected HB 158 Page **4** of **8** April 20, 2021

also can reach the BOE office by email, phone or in-person to inquire on the status of their appeal at any time.

In response to similar legislation from this year (HCS for HB 718), officials from the **City of St. Louis** assumed the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization.

Oversight assumes this proposal could result in additional costs for some county assessors or county clerks; therefore, Oversight will show a potential unknown cost to local political subdivisions.

If passed, Oversight notes this proposal would be effective August of 2021. Appeals are received May through July; therefore, Oversight will show costs beginning in FY 2022 (May 2022).

Oversight received a limited number of responses from county assessors and county clerks related to the fiscal impact of this proposal. Oversight has presented this fiscal note on the best current information available. Upon the receipt of additional responses, Oversight will review to determine if an updated fiscal note should be prepared and seek the necessary approval to publish a new fiscal note.

House Amendment 4 - §§49.055, 49.056 & 49.057 – Recalling a County Commissioner

In response to similar legislation from this year (HCS/HB Nos. 165 & 196), officials at the **Platte County Board of Elections** assumed a cost of \$70,000 to hold a countywide recall election from this proposal.

In response to similar legislation from this year (HCS/HB Nos. 165 & 196), officials from **Boone County** stated this proposal is not without cost and that the cost, in actual expenditures, is not insubstantial. Boone County did not state a specific cost for this proposal. Therefore, **Oversight** will assume a negative unknown cost for the county.

In response to similar legislation from 2019, HB 580, officials at **Greene County** assumed a cost to hold a special election of \$110,000 from this proposal.

Oversight will reflect a ranged fiscal impact from \$0 (no recall petitions filed) to an unknown impact to counties for the potential costs involved in verifying signatures and holding a special election.

In response to similar legislation from this year (HCS/HB Nos. 165 & 196), officials from the **St. Louis City Board of Elections** assumed the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for this agency.

House Amendment 5 - §§214.160 & 214.270 – Cemetery Trust Funds

Oversight notes the amendment allows county commissions which have oversight over trust funds may use the principal of the trust fund to maintain and beautify cemeteries as they deem necessary. It allows a commission to utilize additional funds not previously allowed in order to maintain and beautify cemeteries as it deems necessary. Oversight sees this as a change in policy for county commissions and will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note.

Responses regarding the proposed legislation as a whole

Officials from the **Department of Commerce and Insurance**, the **Department of Revenue**, the **Missouri Department of Transportation**, the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules**, the **Office of the State Courts Administrator**, the **Office of the Secretary of State**, the **State Tax Commission**, the **Jackson County Board of Elections**, **Kansas City**, the **City of Springfield**, the **Ste. Genevieve Assessor's Office**, the **St. Louis County Board of Elections** and the **Lincoln County Assessor's Office** each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

Oversight only reflects the responses that we have received from state agencies and political subdivisions; however, other cities, counties, local election authorities and county assessors were requested to respond to this proposed legislation but did not. A general listing of political subdivisions included in our database is available upon request.

FISCAL IMPACT -	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024
State Government	(10 Mo.)		
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

L.R. No. 0185H.01R Bill No. Re-Perfected HB 158 Page **6** of **8** April 20, 2021

FISCAL IMPACT –	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024
Local Government	(10 Mo.)	1 1 2025	112021
	(10 100.)		
LOCAL			
POLITICAL			
SUBDIVISIONS			
Costs – HA3 -			
postage, materials			
and staff time to send			
confirmation notice	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)
of appeal (§137.275)			
p. 3-4			
•			
Costs – HA 4 - First			
Class Counties -			
potential costs from			
signature verification			
and/or special			
election for recall			
petition of	<u>\$0 or (Unknown)</u>	<u>\$0 or (Unknown)</u>	<u>\$0 or (Unknown)</u>
commissioner(s) or			
county executive			
(§§49.055, 49.056,			
49.057) p. 4			
ESTIMATED NET			
EFFECT ON			
LOCAL			
POLITICAL			
SUBDIVISIONS	<u>\$0 or (Unknown)</u>	<u>\$0 or (Unknown)</u>	<u>\$0 or (Unknown)</u>

FISCAL IMPACT – Small Business

This proposal should not have a direct fiscal impact on small businesses.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

§§49.055, 49.056, 49.057 – Recalling a County Commissioner

This bill establishes a petition procedure for recalling county commissioners or presiding county commissioners in counties of the first classification. The commissioner to be recalled must have held office for at least six months and cannot be a candidate to succeed himself or herself at the

L.R. No. 0185H.01R Bill No. Re-Perfected HB 158 Page **7** of **8** April 20, 2021

election to fill the vacancy. Recall elections must also be held at least nine months before the end of a commissioner's term.

The petition is required to have a number of signatures equal to at least 10% of the total number of registered voters in the county voting in the last election at which the commissioner was elected, and must state the reason for which the recall is sought: misconduct in office; incompetence; or failure to perform duties prescribed by law. Additional procedural requirements are set out in the bill.

\$137.275 - Receipt confirmations on taxpayer appeals to the County Board of EqualizationThis bill requires a County Board of Equalization to promptly issue a notification to a personlodging an appeal of a property assessment confirming that the appeal has been received.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Boone County Missouri Department of Transportation Office of the Secretary of State State Tax Commission Department of Revenue St. Louis County Assessor's Office City of St. Louis St. Genevieve County Assessor's Office Lincoln County Assessor's Office Platte County Board of Elections Greene County Joint Committee on Administrative Rules St. Louis County Board of Elections St. Louis City Board of Elections Department of Commerce and Insurance Office of the State Courts Administrator Jackson County Board of Elections Kansas City City of Springfield

L.R. No. 0185H.01R Bill No. Re-Perfected HB 158 Page **8** of **8** April 20, 2021

Julie mouff

Julie Morff Director April 20, 2021

Cum A Datas

Ross Strope Assistant Director April 20, 2021