COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 0687H.02I Bill No.: HB 350

Subject: Food; Health And Senior Services, Department Of

Type: Original

Date: January 25, 2021

Bill Summary: This proposal creates new provisions relating to the sale of kratom products.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024
Total Estimated Net			
Effect on General			
Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024	
Total Estimated Net				
Effect on Other State				
Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains NumberOfPages pages.

L.R. No. 0687H.02I Bill No. HB 350 Page **2** of **5** January 25, 2021

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024	
Total Estimated Net				
Effect on All Federal				
Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024	
Total Estimated Net				
Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

 \square Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED FY 2022 FY 2023 FY 20			
Local Government	\$0 to (Unknown)	\$0 to (Unknown)	\$0 to (Unknown)

L.R. No. 0687H.02I Bill No. HB 350 Page **3** of **5** January 25, 2021

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

§§196.1170 and 361.751 – Sale of kratom products

Officials from the **City of Kansas City** state since this bill provides state regulation of the sale of kratom products, it may reduce gross receipts of businesses selling the product. In turn this may result in a reduction of licensing fees, which are based on gross receipts, of an indeterminable amount due to the City.

Oversight does not have information to the contrary; therefore, Oversight will reflect a \$0 to (Unknown) loss of revenue to local governments.

Officials from the **Missouri Office of Prosecution Services (MOPS)** assume the proposal will have no measurable fiscal impact on MOPS. The creation of a new crime (§196.1170.7(2)) creates additional responsibilities for county prosecutors and the circuit attorney which may, in turn, result in additional costs, which are difficult to determine.

Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for MOPS, county prosecutors and the circuit attorney.

Officials from the Department of Commerce and Insurance, the Department of Health and Senior Services, the Department of Public Safety – Missouri Highway Patrol, the Office of the State Courts Administrator, the Office of the State Public Defender, the City of Ballwin, the City of Hale, the City of O'Fallon, the City of St. Louis and the City of Springfield each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

Rule Promulgation

Officials from the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules** assume this proposal is not anticipated to cause a fiscal impact beyond its current appropriation.

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State** notes many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The Secretary of State's office is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to Secretary of State's office for Administrative Rules is less than \$5,000. The Secretary of State's office recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, we also recognize that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what our office can sustain with our core budget. Therefore, we reserve the

L.R. No. 0687H.02I Bill No. HB 350 Page **4** of **5** January 25, 2021

right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

Oversight only reflects the responses that we have received from state agencies and political subdivisions; however, other cities and various county officials were requested to respond to this proposed legislation but did not. A general listing of political subdivisions included in our database is available upon request.

FISCAL IMPACT – State Government	FY 2022 (10 Mo.)	FY 2023	FY 2024
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT –	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024
Local Government	(10 Mo.)		
LOCAL			
GOVERNMENTS -			
CITIES			
Revenue Reduction –			
Cities			
Reduction in			
licensing fees			
(§196.1170)	\$0 to (Unknown)	\$0 to (Unknown)	\$0 to (Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET			
EFFECT ON			
LOCAL			
GOVERNMENTS -			
CITIES	\$0 to (Unknown)	\$0 to (Unknown)	<u>\$0 to (Unknown)</u>

FISCAL IMPACT – Small Business

This proposal may directly impact small business that sell kratom products.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This bill establishes the "Kratom Consumer Protection Act", which requires dealers who prepare, distribute, sell, or expose for sale a food that is represented to be a kratom product to disclose on

HWC:LR:OD

L.R. No. 0687H.02I Bill No. HB 350 Page **5** of **5** January 25, 2021

the product label the basis on which this representation is made. A dealer is prohibited from preparing, distributing, selling, or exposing for sale a kratom product that does not conform to these labeling requirements.

The provisions of this proposal provide that the general assembly hereby occupies and preempts the entire field of regulating kratom products to the complete exclusion of any order, ordinance, or regulation of any political subdivision of the state and any political subdivision's existing or future orders, ordinances, or regulations relating to kratom products are void.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Commerce and Insurance
Department of Health and Senior Services
Department of Public Safety – Missouri Highway Patrol
Joint Committee on Administrative Rules
Missouri Office of Prosecution Services
Office of the State Courts Administrator
Office of the Secretary of State
Office of the State Public Defender
City of Ballwin
City of Hale
City of Kansas City
City of O'Fallon
City of Springfield
City of St. Louis

Julie Morff Director

January 25, 2021

ulie Moi

Ross Strope Assistant Director January 25, 2021