# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

## **FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 1692H.02P

Bill No.: Perfected HCS for HB 744

Subject: Domestic Relations; Civil Procedure; Courts

Type: Original Date: April 1, 2021

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies the duration of certain orders of protection.

## **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024
<b>Total Estimated Net</b>			
Effect on General			
Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024	
<b>Total Estimated Net</b>				
Effect on Other State				
Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

L.R. No. 1692H.02P Bill No. Perfected HCS for HB 744 Page **2** of **5** 

Page	2	of	5
April	1,	20	)21

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024
<b>Total Estimated Net</b>			
Effect on All Federal			
Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024	
<b>Total Estimated Net</b>				
Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any
of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.

☐ Estimated Net Effect (savings or increased revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of
the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0

L.R. No. 1692H.02P Bill No. Perfected HCS for HB 744 Page **3** of **5** April 1, 2021

## **FISCAL ANALYSIS**

#### **ASSUMPTION**

§455.040 – Modifications to Certain Orders of Protection

Officials from the **Missouri Highway Patrol**, the **Department of Social Services** and the **Office of the State Public Defender** each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

Officials from the Ellisville Police Department, the Kansas City Police Department and the St. Joseph Police Department each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

In response to a previous version, officials from the **Crestwood Police Department** and the **Tipton Police Department** each assumed the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

**Oversight** only reflects the responses that we have received from state agencies and political subdivisions; however, other local law enforcement agencies were requested to respond to this proposed legislation but did not. A general listing of political subdivisions included in our database is available upon request.

<u>House Amendment 1 - §§455.010, 455.032, 455.035, 455.045, 455.050, 455.513, 455.520, 455.523 – Protection Orders for Household Pets</u>

In response to similar legislation from this year (SCS for SB 71), officials from the **Office of the State Courts Administrator** and the **Missouri Department of Agriculture** each assumed the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for this agency.

Responses regarding the proposed legislation as a whole

Officials from the **Office of the State Courts Administrator**, the **Department of Corrections** and the **Missouri Office of Prosecution Services** each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

L.R. No. 1692H.02P Bill No. Perfected HCS for HB 744 Page **4** of **5** April 1, 2021

Officials from the **St. Louis County Police Department** assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note.

FISCAL IMPACT –	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024
State Government	(10 Mo.)		
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT – Local Government	FY 2022 (10 Mo.)	FY 2023	FY 2024
<u>Local Government</u>	(10 1/10.)		
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

## FISCAL IMPACT – Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

## **FISCAL DESCRIPTION**

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 1692H.02P Bill No. Perfected HCS for HB 744 Page **5** of **5** April 1, 2021

### **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Office of the State Courts Administrator
Department of Corrections
Missouri Highway Patrol
Department of Social Services
Office of the State Public Defender
Missouri Office of Prosecution Services
Missouri Department of Agriculture
Crestwood Police Department
Ellisville Police Department
Kansas City Police Department
St. Joseph Police Department
St. Louis County Police Department
Tipton Police Department

Julie Morff
Director

April 1, 2021

Ross Strope Assistant Director April 1, 2021