COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 1851H.02P
Bill No.: Perfected HCS for HB 825
Subject: Crimes and Punishment; Criminal Procedure
Type: Original
Date: April 14, 2021

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies provisions concerning package delivery.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024		
General Revenue	(\$6,463)	(\$15,822)	(\$16,139)		
Total Estimated Net					
Effect on General					
Revenue	(\$6,463)	(\$15,822)	(\$16,139)		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTEDFY 2022FY 2023					
Total Estimated Net					
Effect on Other State					
Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0		

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

L.R. No. 1851H.02P Bill No. Perfected HCS for HB 825 Page **2** of **7** April 14, 2021

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024		
Total Estimated Net					
Effect on <u>All</u> Federal					
Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024		
Total Estimated Net					
Effect on FTE	0	0	0		

- □ Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.
- □ Estimated Net Effect (savings or increased revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTEDFY 2022FY 2023FY 20				
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 1851H.02P Bill No. Perfected HCS for HB 825 Page **3** of **7** April 14, 2021

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

<u>§570.212 – Mail theft</u>

In response to a previous version, officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** assumed this bill creates one new class A misdemeanor offense and one new class E felony offense. The misdemeanor offense does not fall under the purview of DOC.

For each new nonviolent class E felony, the department estimates one person will be sentenced to prison and two to probation. The average sentence for a nonviolent class E felony offense is 3.4 years, of which 2.1 years will be served in prison with 1.4 years to first release. The remaining 1.3 years will be on parole. Probation sentences will be 3 years.

The cumulative impact on the department is estimated to be 2 additional offenders in prison and 7 additional offenders on field supervision by FY 2024.

	# to prison	Cost per year	Total Costs for prison	# to probation & parole	Cost per year	for	Probation (includes 2%
Year 1	1	(\$7,756)	(\$6,463)	2	absorbed	\$0	(\$6,463)
Year 2	2	(\$7,756)	(\$15,822)	4	absorbed	\$ 0	(\$15,822)
Year 3	2	(\$7,756)	(\$16,139)	7	absorbed	\$ 0	(\$16,139)
Year 4	2	(\$7,756)	(\$16,461)	7	absorbed	\$ 0	(\$16,461)
Year 5	2	(\$7,756)	(\$16,791)	7	absorbed	\$ 0	(\$16,791)
Year 6	2	(\$7,756)	(\$17,127)	7	absorbed	\$ 0	(\$17,127)
Year 7	2	(\$7,756)	(\$17,469)	7	absorbed	\$ 0	(\$17,469)
Year 8	2	(\$7,756)	(\$17,818)	7	absorbed	\$0	(\$17,818)
Year 9	2	(\$7,756)	(\$18,175)	7	absorbed	\$ 0	(\$18,175)
Year 10	2	(\$7,756)	(\$18,538)	7	absorbed	\$0	(\$18,538)

If this impact statement has changed from statements submitted in previous years, it is because the Department of Corrections (DOC) has changed the way probation and parole daily costs are calculated to more accurately reflect the way the Division of Probation and Parole is staffed across the entire state.

In December 2019, the DOC reevaluated the calculation used for computing the Probation and Parole average daily cost of supervision and revised the cost calculation to be the DOC average district caseload across the state which is 51 offender cases per officer. The new calculation assumes that an increase/decrease of 51 cases would result in a change in costs/cost avoidance

L.R. No. 1851H.02P Bill No. Perfected HCS for HB 825 Page **4** of **7** April 14, 2021

equal to the cost of one FTE staff person. Increases/decreases smaller than 51 offenders are assumed to be absorbable.

In instances where the proposed legislation would only affect a specific caseload, such as sex offenders, the DOC will use the average caseload figure for that specific type of offender to calculate cost increases/decreases. For instances where the proposed legislation affects a less specific caseload, DOC projects the impact based on prior year(s) actual data for DOC's 48 probation and parole districts.

The DOC cost of incarceration in \$21.251 per day or an annual cost of \$7,756 per offender. The DOC cost of probation or parole is determined by the number of P&P Officer II positions that would be needed to cover the new caseload.

Oversight does not have any information contrary to that provided by DOC. Therefore, Oversight will reflect DOC's impact for fiscal note purposes.

In response to a previous version, the **Office of the State Public Defender (SPD)** stated they cannot assume existing staff will be able to provide competent, effective representation for any new cases where indigent persons are charged with the proposed new crime(s) concerning mail theft under Section 570.012. The Missouri State Public Defender System is currently providing legal representation in caseloads in excess of recognized standards. While the number of new cases may be too few or uncertain to request additional funding for this specific bill, the Missouri State Public Defender will continue to request sufficient appropriations to provide competent and effective representation in all cases where the right to counsel attaches.

Oversight assumes the SPD will be able to perform any additional duties required by the proposal with current staff and resources and will reflect no fiscal impact to the SPD for fiscal note purposes.

In response to a previous version, officials from the Attorney General's Office, the Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol, the Missouri Office of Prosecution Services, the Office of Administration, the Office of the State Courts Administrator, the Columbia Police Department, the Crestwood Police Department, the Kansas City Police Department, the St. Joseph Police Department, and the St. Louis County Police Department each assumed the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

In response to similar legislation (HB 464), officials from the **Ellisville Police Department** and the **Springfield Police Department** assumed the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization.

In response to similar legislation (HB 675), officials from the **El Dorado Springs Police Department** responded to the legislation but did not provide a fiscal impact.

L.R. No. 1851H.02P Bill No. Perfected HCS for HB 825 Page **5** of **7** April 14, 2021

In response to similar legislation (HB 675), officials from the **Tipton Police Department** assumed the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization.

Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

House Amendment (HA) 2:

§304.900 - Personal delivery devices

In response to similar legislation from 2021 (HCS HB 592), officials from the **Department of Commerce and Insurance, Department of Revenue, Missouri Department of Transportation** and **Missouri Highway Patrol** each assumed the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

In response to similar legislation from 2021 (HB 592), officials from the **City of St. Louis** and **City of Tipton** each assumed the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

In response to similar legislation from 2021 (HCS HB 592), officials from the **City of Kansas City**, **City of Corder**, **City of Hughesville** and **City of Claycomo** each assumed the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

In response to similar legislation from 2021 (HCS HB 592), officials from the **City of Springfield** stated they anticipate a possible negative impact from this bill due to wear and tear on sidewalks and the possible cost of defending lawsuits if a pedestrian or property is damaged on City sidewalks. While this draft of the bill requires insurance of \$100,000, damages could easily exceed that for personal injury.

Oversight assumes the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact to local political subdivisions.

Oversight only reflects the responses that we have received from state agencies and political subdivisions; however, other cities and police and sheriff's departments were requested to respond to this proposed legislation but did not. A general listing of political subdivisions included in our database is available upon request.

L.R. No. 1851H.02P Bill No. Perfected HCS for HB 825 Page **6** of **7** April 14, 2021

FISCAL IMPACT –	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024
State Government	(10 Mo.)		
GENERAL			
REVENUE FUND			
<u>Cost</u> - DOC p. 3-4			
(§570.212) Increased			
incarceration costs	<u>(\$6,463)</u>	<u>(\$15,822)</u>	<u>(\$16,139)</u>
ESTIMATED NET			
EFFECT ON THE			
GENERAL			
REVENUE FUND	<u>(\$6,463)</u>	<u>(\$15,822)</u>	<u>(\$16,139)</u>

FISCAL IMPACT – Local Government	FY 2022 (10 Mo.)	FY 2023	FY 2024
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT – Small Business

Small delivery businesses could be impacted by this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

OFFENSE OF MAIL THEFT (Section 570.212)

This act provides that a person commits the offense of mail theft if the person intentionally appropriates mail from another person's mailbox or premises without consent of the addressee and with intent to deprive such addressee of the mail. This offense shall be a Class A misdemeanor for the first offense and a Class E felony for any second or subsequent offense.

This act shall not prohibit such person from being charged with another crime in relation to mail obtained in violation of this act.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Attorney General's Office Department of Commerce and Insurance

DD:LR:OD

L.R. No. 1851H.02P Bill No. Perfected HCS for HB 825 Page **7** of **7** April 14, 2021

Department of Corrections Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol Department of Revenue Missouri Department of Transportation Missouri Office of Prosecution Services Office of Administration Office of the State Courts Administrator Office of the State Public Defender **Columbia Police Department Crestwood Police Department** El Dorado Springs Police Department **Ellisville Police Department** Kansas City Police Department Springfield Police Department St. Joseph Police Department St. Louis County Police Department **Tipton Police Department** City of Kansas City City of Springfield City of St. Louis City of Tipton City of Corder City of Hughesville City of Claycomo

ere Mo

Julie Morff Director April 14, 2021

Cim A Data

Ross Strope Assistant Director April 14, 2021