

BILL NUMBER: HB 251				DATE: 3/24/2021
COMMITTEE: Judiciary			•	
TESTIFYING:	☑IN SUPPORT OF	☐ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: ARNIE C. "HONEST-ABE" DIENOFF-STATE PUBLIC ADVOCAT PHONE NU			PHONE NUMB	ER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: TITLE:				
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: ATTENDANCE: SUBMIT DATE: 3/24/2021 12:55				
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.				

I am in Support of this Bill.



BILL NUMBER: HB 251				DATE: 3/24/2021
COMMITTEE: Judiciary				
TESTIFYING:	☑ IN SUPPORT OF	☐ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED LOBBYIST:				
WITNESS NAME: MO DEL VILLAR			PHONE NUME 816-929-6	
REPRESENTING: AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERITIES UNION OF MISSOURI				
ADDRESS: 406 WEST 34TH STREET, SUITE 420				
CITY: KANSAS CITY			STATE: MO	ZIP: 64111
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:	SUBMIT DATE: 3/24/2021 12:00 AM	
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.				



MISSOURI HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HB 251					ATE: /24/2021
COMMITTEE: Judiciary				•	
TESTIFYING:	☑ IN SUPPORT OF	☐ IN OPPOSITION TO	□FOR	INFORMATI	ONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: SUSAN MYERS			PI	HONE NUMBER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: TITLE:					
ADDRESS:					
CITY:			S ⁻	TATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: susanmyers@soc	ket.net	ATTENDANCE: Written	•	SUBMIT DATE 3/24/2021	1:51 PM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

In support of HB 2511'm someone who keeps her eye on all bills relating to firearms in general and an individual's right to keep and bear. While I'm not fully up to speed on the benefits/drawbacks of allowing expungement of records, I can see where a 'clean' record could allow for an individual to more easily move forward with their lives with regards to employment, housing, etc. When no harm to expunge would befall others, then this is an idea I can support. I will confess that when I first saw the offense this bill seeks to add to the list it gave me pause, as I wondered if an expungement would automatically allow someone who has shown this behavior to once again have the ability to own and/or purchase a firearm. Being 'pro-gun rights' as I am, I would also be the first to state that there are some whose behavior or mental status should limit or restrict access to firearms. So I did some quick research and found that the DOJ & FBI currently do not honor a Missouri expungement as a means to restore federal firearm rights. Where this would no doubt be an unfair outcome for many who have their records expunged, it does provide me with some comfort in knowing that it is not an automatic right to be restored. That said I also found this article from the Missouri Bar which suggests that the FBI's stance could potentially be challenged (portion of article shared below). This would give a citizen a legal path in which to attempt to regain their right to own and possess firearms. During such a challenge it would surely come to light whether or not the individual in question is one in which those rights should not be restored. Susan Myers Missouri State Director - The DC Project - Women for Gun RightsSWMO Chapter Leader - TWAW Shooting Chapters (The Well Armed Woman)The following pulled from MoBar.org article from Aug 2020 written by -Patrick Deaton"Do You Want to Own a Gun if the Expungement is Successful? Counsel should ask this question because clients are unlikely to volunteer that reason. To avoid a dissatisfied client, counsel should warn the client that an expunged felony conviction will still cause the client to fail a background check to own a firearm. The FBI, which operates the National Instant Criminal Background Check System, currently takes the position that an order of expungement in Missouri is not a true expungement in reliance on State of Wyoming ex rel. Crank v. United States, 539 F.3d 1236 (10th Cir. 2008).39 According to that reasoning, a person with an expunged felony conviction will not pass the NICS check even if that expunged conviction is the person's only conviction. Someone will have to challenge the background check denial by filing a complaint for a declaratory judgment under 18 U.S.C. § 925A. If the plaintiff establishes that the denial was in error, the court may award attorney fees, 40The FBI's reasoning may, however, be vulnerable to challenge. A federal statute provides that it is up to a state to determine the effect of its expungement order.41 Subsection 610.140.8, quoted above, is evidence of the effect in Missouri. Furthermore, the Supreme Court of Missouri has stated in dictum that someone with an expunged felony conviction could not be charged with violating § 571.070.1, RSMo 2016, which makes it a crime for a felon to possess a firearm.42 But the FBI will also rely on Van der hule, 759 F.3d 1043 (9th Cir. 2014) (restricting felon from concealing weapon he was otherwise permitted to carry was restriction on right to possess

firearms triggering "unless" clause in 18 U.S.C. § 921(a)(20))."



BILL NUMBER: HB 251				DATE: 3/24/2021	
COMMITTEE: Judiciary			·		
TESTIFYING:	☑IN SUPPORT OF	☐ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES	
		WITNESS NAME			
REGISTERED LOBBYIST:					
WITNESS NAME: TIM ROBBINS			PHONE NUMB 573-645-5 9		
REPRESENTING: THE HOME LOAN	EXPERT		TITLE:		
ADDRESS:					
CITY:			STATE: MO	ZIP:	
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:	SUBMIT DATE: 3/24/2021 12:00 AM		
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610. RSMo.					



BILL NUMBER: HB 251				DATE: 3/24/2021
COMMITTEE: Judiciary				
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	☐ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED L	OBBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: DARRELL L. MOORE			PHONE NUMBER: 573-751-0619	
	CIATION OF PROSECUTE OF PROSECUTION S		TITLE:	
ADDRESS: PO BOX 899, 200	MADISON STREET, SU	ITE 1060		
CITY: JEFFERSON CITY	•		STATE: MO	ZIP: 65102
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:	SUBMIT DATE: 3/24/2021 12:00 AM	
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D LINDER CHA	PTER 610 RSMo