

BILL NUMBER: HB 261				DATE: 2/24/2021
COMMITTEE: Utilities				
TESTIFYING:	☑IN SUPPORT OF	☐ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED LO	OBBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: BJ TANKSLEY			PHONE NUME 573-893-1	
REPRESENTING: MISSOURI FARM I	BUREAU		TITLE:	
ADDRESS: 701 SOUTH COUN	TY CLUB DRIVE			
CITY: JEFFERSON CITY			STATE: MO	ZIP: 65109
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:	SUBMIT DATE: 2/24/2021 12:00 AM	
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610. RSMo.



BILL NUMBER: HB 261				DATE: 2/24/2021	
COMMITTEE: Utilities					
TESTIFYING:	☑IN SUPPORT OF	☐ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES	
		WITNESS NAME			
REGISTERED LO	OBBYIST:				
WITNESS NAME: BRENT STEWART			PHONE NUME 573-659-3 4		
REPRESENTING: ASSOCIATION OF	MISSOURI ELECTRIC	COOPERATIVES	TITLE:		
ADDRESS: 2722 EAST MCCARTY					
CITY: JEFFERSON CITY			STATE: MO	ZIP: 65101	
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:	SUBMIT D 2/24/20	OATE: 21 12:00 AM	
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	RM IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610. RSMo.	



BILL NUMBER: HB 261				DATE: 2/24/2021
COMMITTEE: Utilities				
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	☐ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
BUSINESS/ORG	ANIZATION:			
WITNESS NAME: CHRISTINE CSIZM	ADIA		PHONE NUME 202-352-3	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NUCLEAR ENERG				OR OF STATE MENT AFFAIRS
ADDRESS: 1201 F STREET, N	W, SUITE 1100			
CITY: WASHINGTON			STATE: DC	ZIP: 20004
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:	SUBMIT 0 2/24/20	DATE:)21 12:00 AM
THE INFORMAT	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D LINDER CHA	PTER 610 RSMo



BILL NUMBER: HB 261				DATE: 2/24/2021
COMMITTEE: Utilities				
TESTIFYING:	☑IN SUPPORT OF	☐ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	MATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED LO	OBBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: FRED DREILING			PHONE NUM 81680663	
REPRESENTING: CITY UTILITIES OF	SPRINGFIELD		TITLE: LOBBYIS	T
ADDRESS: 7325, SUMMIT				
CITY: KANSAS CITY			STATE: MO	ZIP: 64114
EMAIL: freddreilingllc@gn	nail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 2/16/2	DATE: 021 9:03 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.				



BILL NUMBER: HB 261				DATE: 2/24/2021
COMMITTEE: Utilities				•
TESTIFYING:	☑IN SUPPORT OF	☐ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORM	MATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED LO	OBBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: FRED DREILING			PHONE NUM 816-806-6	
REPRESENTING: MISSOURI ASSOC	IATION OF MUNICIPAL	UTILITIES	TITLE: LOBBYIS	ST
ADDRESS: 7325 SUMMIT				
CITY: KANSAS CITY			STATE: MO	ZIP: 64114
EMAIL: freddreilingllc@gn	nail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 2/16/2	DATE: 021 9:01 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.				



BILL NUMBER: HB 261				DATE: 2/24/2021
COMMITTEE: Utilities			•	
TESTIFYING:	☑IN SUPPORT OF	☐ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: MARK FOHEY			PHONE NUMB	ER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: mfohey@local562	.org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 2/23/20	OATE: 21 1:20 PM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.				

My name is Mark Fohey I am a Business Representative for Plumbers and Pipefitters local 562 I am in support of what I would consider the Cleanest base load power that being Nuclear power. I believe it is very reliable and I believe it is cost effective in the long run.



BILL NUMBER: HB 261				DATE: 2/24/2021
COMMITTEE: Utilities				
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	☐ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED LO	DBBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: MICHAEL GROTE			PHONE NUME 573-424-5	
REPRESENTING: CITY UTILITIES OF	SPRINGFIELD, MISSO	DURI	TITLE:	
ADDRESS: PO BOC 638				
CITY: COLUMBIA			STATE: MO	ZIP: 65203
EMAIL: mike@ga2.us		ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 0 2/24/20	DATE: 121 8:01 AM
THE INFORMAT	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTFR 610 RSMo

On behalf of city utilities of Springfield i support this legislation.



BILL NUMBER: HB 261				DATE: 2/24/2021
COMMITTEE: Utilities				
TESTIFYING:	☑ IN SUPPORT OF	☐ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: RON BOYER			PHONE NUMB	ER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			·	
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: ronald.boyer1947(@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: In-Person	SUBMIT D 2/21/20	ATE: 21 7:59 PM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FORM	I IS PUBLIC RECORI	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.

I have no formal statement.



BILL NUMBER: HB 261			DATE: 2/24/2021	
COMMITTEE: Utilities		•		
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES	
	WITNESS NAME			
REGISTERED LOBBYIST:				
WITNESS NAME: ANDREW LINHARES		PHONE NUMB 417-471-99		
REPRESENTING: RENEW MISSOURI ADVOCATES		TITLE:		
ADDRESS: 409 VANDIVER SUITE 205 BUILDING 5				
CITY: COLUMBIA		STATE: MO	ZIP: 65202	
EMAIL:	ATTENDANCE:	SUBMIT D 2/24/20	ATE: 21 12:00 AM	
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FOR	RM IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610. RSMo.	



BILL NUMBER: HB 261				DATE: 2/24/2021
COMMITTEE: Utilities				•
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	IATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: ARNIE C. AC "HO	NEST-ABE" DIENOFF-S	STATE PUBLIC ADVO	PHONE NUM	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: arniedienoff@yah	oo.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 2/24/20	DATE: 021 12:23 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD LINDER CHAPTER 610, RSMA				

I am Opposition to this Bill. This puts more money in the pocket of the Utility and passes more expenses onto the Customer.



MISSOURI HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HB 261				DATE: 2/24/2021
COMMITTEE: Utilities				
TESTIFYING:	\square IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORMA	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED LO	DBBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: BRIDGET SANDER	RSON		PHONE NUMB 913-449-88	
REPRESENTING: ENVIRONMENT MI	SSOURI		TITLE: DIRECTOR	₹
ADDRESS: 31 W. 31ST ST.				
CITY: KANSAS CITY			STATE: MO	ZIP: 64108
EMAIL: bsanderson@envi	ronmentmissouri.org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 2/24/20	ATE: 21 10:14 PM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

Chairman Kidd and Members of the Committee, On behalf of Environment Missouri's members across the state, I urge you to vote no on HB 261. The economic and environmental impacts that are attached to this bill are not in the best interest of Missourians. As we have seen by the support of Missouri voters in 1976 with the passage of Proposition 1, Missouri voters do not want to be saddled with the economic burden of construction on new electrical projects, this includes nuclear power plants. As a citizen-based environmental advocacy group, Environment Missouri urges the committee to vote no on HB 261, because the cost, technology, and benefits to the environment are not to the point that the nuclear power industry would like us to believe. We know that in order to have a safe, healthy, and sustainable future in Missouri, we must reduce our greenhouse gas emissions. The nuclear power industry likes to paint a picture that nuclear power is a zero-emissions form of energy, touting it as a "clean" source of energy. However, construction of a nuclear power plant causes large amounts of greenhouse gas emissions, through use of fossil fuels for uranium mining, manufacturing of construction materials, and decommissioning plants after the end of their life cycle. Construction of a new nuclear power plant will only extend our fight against climate change. We continue to argue for Missouri to invest in clean, renewable energy to curb the worst effects of climate change. Nuclear power is not a renewable energy source, nor can the radioactive materials be considered a clean source of energy. The mining process of Uranium itself is damaging our planet. In the pursuit of a finite physical resource, the process continues to contaminate our air and water. The effects of uranium mining on workers have been studied by the U.S. Public Health Services since 1950. The findings clearly show that workers' exposure to radon gas (a natural decompositional byproduct of uranium decay) is a leading cause of lung cancer. If the initial step of construction on a new nuclear power plant is leading to lung cancer, how can we consider this form of energy "clean?" With regards to radioactive waste generated through energy production, we do not have the technology to safely store this waste. The radioactive waste from spent nuclear fuel can stay on the planet for thousands of years, so we do not know or understand the underlying effects on the environment and our health. The dangers surrounding construction of nuclear power plants have been well documented. This bill will only allow these dangers in Missourians' backyards and ask them to front the bill if this bill is passed. There are no economic benefits to this bill. Nuclear plants have continuously proven to be more expensive and time-consuming than projected, as we have seen with the South Carolina V.C. Summer Nuclear Project. Nuclear energy is very expensive in the form of limited liability in the event of an accident and the eventual costs of decommissioning plants at the end of their useful life. Without these taxpayer and ratepayer subsidies, nuclear would be even less economically viable. This bill is attempting to place all economic burden on the ratepayer. There is a better solution to our energy issues and that is renewable energy, which is more cost effective and doesn't risk the health and safety of Missourians.

Renewable energy is a better option and Missouri's government should promote safer, more cost effective investments. We respectfully urge the committee to vote no on HB 261. Thank you Chairman Kidd and members of the committee. Sincerely, Bridget SandersonDirectorEnvironment Missouri



BILL NUMBER: HB 261				DATE: 2/24/2021
COMMITTEE: Utilities				
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED LO	OBBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: DAVID WOODSMA	ALL		PHONE NUME 573-797-0	
REPRESENTING: MIDWEST ENERG	Y CONSUMERS GROU	P	TITLE:	
ADDRESS: 308 EAST HIGH S	TREET			
CITY: JEFFERSON CITY			STATE: MO	ZIP: 65101
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:	SUBMIT 0 2/24/20	DATE: 121 12:00 AM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610. RSMo.



BILL NUMBER: HB 261				DATE: 2/24/2021	
COMMITTEE: Utilities			·		
TESTIFYING:	\square IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORMA	ATIONAL PURPOSES	
		WITNESS NAME			
REGISTERED LOBBYIST:					
WITNESS NAME: JAMES M. OWEN			PHONE NUMBI 417-496-19		
REPRESENTING: RENEW MISSOUR	RI ADVOCATES		TITLE:		
ADDRESS: 409 VANDIVER SUITE 205 BUILDING 5					
CITY: COLUMBIA			STATE: MO	ZIP: 65202	
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:	SUBMIT DATE: 2/24/2021 12:00 AM		
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.					



BILL NUMBER: HB 261				DATE: 2/24/2021	
COMMITTEE: Utilities					
TESTIFYING:	\square IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSE	S
		WITNESS NAME			
REGISTERED LO	OBBYIST:				
WITNESS NAME: JOHN COFFMAN			PHONE NUME 573-424-6		
	UNCIL OF MISSOURI A RETIRED PERSONS	ND AMERICAN	TITLE:		
ADDRESS: 871 TUXEDO BOU	LEVARD				
CITY: ST LOUIS			STATE: MO	ZIP: 63119	
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:	SUBMIT 0 2/24/20	DATE: 121 12:00 AM	
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS EOD	M IS DUBLIC PECOP	D HINDED CHA	DTED 610 DSMc	



MISSOURI HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HB 261				DAT 2/2	TE: 24/2021
COMMITTEE: Utilities				•	
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	☑ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR IN	IFORMATIC	NAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME			
REGISTERED LO	OBBYIST:				
WITNESS NAME: MICHAEL BERG				NE NUMBER: 1-644-1012	
REPRESENTING: SIERRA CLUB MISSOURI CHAPTER SIERRA CLUB CHAPTER CHAPTER POL DIRECTOR					
ADDRESS: 2818 SUTTON BOULEVARD					
CITY: ST. LOUIS			STA MO		ZIP: 63143
EMAIL: Michael.Berg@sie	rraclub.org	ATTENDANCE: Written		SUBMIT DATE: 2/24/2021 8	:47 AM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

The Sierra Club Missouri Chapter Opposes HB 261. Missouri's two largest investor-owned utilities have no plans to build a nuclear reactor. Ameren's Integrated Resource Plan that was released in Sept. 2020 does not prioritize new nuclear. Evergy's Sustainability Transformation Plan doesn't prioritize new nuclear. There's no real need for this bill because nuclear is not in the mix for new supply side generation for the utilities for which this bill is applicable. CWIP is not needed for renewable energy. Ameren recently purchased 700MW worth of wind without CWIP. Including the wind acquisition, Ameren will invest approximately \$4.5 billion on 3,100MW of wind and solar by 2030 without any regulatory changes. CWIP has been a failure for ratepayers in South Carolina & Georgia. Customers in South Carolina will only receive \$520 million of the \$9 billion they spent on a failed nuclear project plus a four-year rate freeze thanks to a class action lawsuit. Announced in 2008, the Vogtle nuclear reactor project in Georgia was estimated to cost \$14.3 billion and be completed in 2017. The project is now more than five years behind schedule and more than \$10 billion over budget, with the potential for more cost overruns and construction delays. The Georgia project relies on \$12 billion taxpayer backed federal loan guarantees from the DOE. Georgia Power will likely request to add roughly \$8.1 billion to its rate base—or about 83% more than the \$4.4 billion assumed at the time of certification.Small modular reactors (SMRs) will not be commercially viable for at least a decade. It would require blinders to the Nuclear Energy Institute's recent history of broken promises and terrible predictions about costs during the "nuclear renaissance." Lawmakers should take a wait-and-see approach given the current perils of building AP1000s compared to previous NEI promises. There is no need to rush into CWIP for SMRs that are unproven and have yet to be certified by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Monopoly utility customers should not have to turn over their hard-earned money to a publicly traded utility so it can build a nuclear reactor that is too risky for Wall Street bankers. CWIP socializes the risk and privatizes profits for utility shareholders. There's no good reason to pass this bill right now. More complete testimony against is being sent to all members of the committee.



BILL NUMBER: HB 261			DAT 2/2	E: 4/2021
COMMITTEE: Utilities				
TESTIFYING: IN SUPP	ORT OF IN OPPOS	SITION TO FOR IN	VFORMATIO	NAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS I	NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: WINIFRED COLWILL		PHO	ONE NUMBER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITI	_E:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:		STA	TE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: Colwillw@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE Written	:	SUBMIT DATE: 2/24/2021 12	2:09 AM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

It is unfair to bill customers for construction costs until the plant is operating and producing power. Many nuclear plants have been cancelled before construction was complete due to cost overruns. Current utility customers will never receive the electricity if they move away or die before the plant is completed. CWIP policy also shifts much of the risk of building a nuclear plant from shareholders to utility customers who have no voice in the decision. Even if the plant is cancelled, customers will have to pay all the accumulated costs deemed prudent by the Public Service Commission. Renewable energy facilities do not require CWIP policy for financing because they are generally considered a relatively safe investment.



BILL NUMBER: HB 261				DATE: 2/24/2021	
COMMITTEE: Utilities					
TESTIFYING:	☐IN SUPPORT OF	☐ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES	
		WITNESS NAME			
BUSINESS/ORG	ANIZATION:				
WITNESS NAME: DANIEL SHEA			PHONE NUMI 303-856-1		
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF STATE LEGISLATURES TITLE: SENIOI				POLICY SPECIALIST	
ADDRESS: 7700 EAST 1ST PLACE					
CITY: DENVER			STATE: CO	ZIP: 80230	
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:	SUBMIT DATE: 2/24/2021 12:00 AM		
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610. RSMo.					