

BILL NUMBER: HB 575			DATE: 2/22/2021
COMMITTEE: Special Committee on Government Acco	untability		
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: ARNIE C. AC "HONEST-ABE" DIENOFF-STATE PUBLIC ADVO		BER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: arniedienoff@yahoo.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DATE: 2/22/2021 1:38 PM	
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FOR			
I am Opposed to this Bill. Let's use "Com Data!	mon-Sense" and Keep A	LL Missourians S	afe with Scientific



BILL NUMBER: HB 575		DATE: 2/22/2021
COMMITTEE: Special Committee on Government Accountability	Į	
	R INFORMA	TIONAL PURPOSES
WITNESS NAME		
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION:		
WITNESS NAME: BRIAN KAYLOR	PHONE NUMBE	R:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: WORD&WAY CHURCHNET	TITLE:	
ADDRESS:		
	STATE: MO	ZIP: 65101
EMAIL: ATTENDANCE: bkaylor@wordandway.org In-Person	SUBMIT DA 2/22/202	ATE: 1 3:30 PM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UND	DER CHAP	PTER 610, RSMo.
more difficult to keep people safe and healthy in a future pandemic. I am creating unconstitutional religious exemptions that could endanger wors balance. So, I am here to testify against a pandemic privilege for religion 575, and 746. I oppose this legislation not because I do not care about re because I, as a Baptist minister, cherish religious liberty for all. I do word on our free exercise rights. But we need to remember that our First Ame clauses. And we must respect them both. Not just the Free Exercise Clautes they swing too far in the other direction by infringing on the No Establish is also important to protect true religious liberty. To carve out a special preligious adherents is to favor religion over nonreligion. It is to grant specindividuals and groups that are not given to nonbelievers. That is to viol Clause. During the COVID-19 pandemic we have seen examples of gove infringing on the free exercise rights of houses of worship. And the court those rules that wrongly targeted religious institutions. But the courts have cases were being treated like similar gatherings. That is the balance we lack. Religious gatherings should be treated like similar gatherings are subject not be a pandemic privilege for religion. These four bills do not follow the Amendment or that many officials and courts have wisely tried to impler And these bills are unneeded as Missouri's Religious Freedom Restorati proper balance, and thus gives the courts the legal room to strike down A virus like COVID-19 does not stop at the door of a church, nor does the is religious or not. That is why numerous church worship services, unforted the services were being treated to be the stop at the door of a church, nor does the is religious or not. That is pandemic. To exempt religious gatherings and subject the same life-saving health rules to whoth similar gatherings are subject not be a pandemic privilege for religion. These four bills do not follow the Amendment or that many officials and courts have wisely tried to impler And these b	shipers and found in He eligious liber ry about gov ndment incl use but also balance. Th cate for free hment Claus bandemic pr ecial rights t ate the No E ernments go rts have step ave also rejet religious ga need and the uses of wors is should no ed. Simply p the balance re- ment over th ion Act alreat violations o e virus care- rtunately, be	our church-state ouse Bills 61, 308, rty, but precisely vernment infringing ludes two religion of the No be problem with exercise rights, se. But that clause rivilege just for to religious Establishment sing too far by pped in to override ected many of the atherings in those at these three bills ship should not be of be exempt from put, there should equired by our First the past ten months. ady provides the of religious freedom. if a mass gathering ecame super-

61, 308, 575, and 746.



BILL NUMBER: HB 575			TE: 22/2021
COMMITTEE: Special Committee on Government Accountability			
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF		OR INFORMATIO	ONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
WITNESS NAME: MO DEL VILLAR		PHONE NUMBER: 816-929-6166	
REPRESENTING: AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION OF N	IISSOURI	TITLE: LEGISLATIVE	ASSOCIATE
ADDRESS: 406 W. 34TH STREET, SUITE 420			
CITY: KANSAS CITY		STATE: MO	ZIP: 64111
EMAIL: mdelvillar@aclu-mo.org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DATE: 2/22/2021	7:25 PM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM February 22, 2021Senate Committee on G			
15,000 supporters statewide, I would like 746. This suite of bills would ban restriction emergencies. While the freedom to praction Amendment is among our most important to restrict such in-person gatherings, alor scientific experts agree that they pose an restrictions do not single out religious pra- create special provisions that protect relig- as discrimination based on religion is not out religious services for preferential trea- in the following ways:HB 61 prevents pub "effect of making religious services impra- for ensuring churches can function even exempt houses of worship from "any soc- to make strides in the prevention and con- their part in keeping our communities safe perpetuates the risk of community spread prohibit religious groups from holding rel- large gatherings, houses of worship have to ensure the safe communication of their audio masses.HB 746 would prevent the r services, meetings, or otherwise, during a HB 61 and HB 575, meaning religious inst during these incidents. That is not the case restrictions related to flooding, fires, torn hazardous material incidents. The state is has a compelling reason to impose restric restrictions are narrowly tailored to advar Attorney General Bill Barr expressed the of necessary by medical and scientific exper- person and because it all too often has lift	ons on church gatherings in the ce one's religion as they see the trights, it is constitutionally and with similar non-religious gatherings above other constitutional, it is not constitution and the regulations to be in actical." Essentially, making lot though all other institutions in a distancing requirement." A tainment of contagious diseate. By exempting churches from I.HB 575 prevents any order the igious meetings." As stated be not shut down religious prace message to their congregant estriction on any type of religions, earthquakes, terrorist adoes, earthquakes, terrorist able to mandate these temportions on places of worship a note its compelling importance of foll rts "because the virus is transparent." A statement of contagions is transparent. The second constructs a statement of contagions are striction on any type of religions are striction on any type of religions are striction on any type of religions are the provided to follow as under HB 746. This legislated adoes, earthquakes, terrorist and the these temportions on places of worship and the these temportions on places of worship and the these temportions on places of worship and the second constructs of the the transparent of the temportance of foll rts "because the virus is transparent."	he midst of pub it guaranteed by ppropriate for t gatherings, if me the public health HB 308, HB 578 activities and b itutionally perm single out prefe plemented sho bocal health offic nay be closed. H as public health ses, it is impera m these rules, t o be issued that elow, despite lin tices. Many hav ts weekly throug ious participation e emergencies a public and location would cover threats, civil un prary restriction nd must ensure the Federal leve owing measures smitted so easily	blic health y the First he government edical and h. These 5, and HB 746 pusinesses. Just hissible to single prential treatment ould they have the ials responsible HB 308 would experts continue ative that all play this legislation t would "limit or nitations on ve been creative gh drive-thru and on, be it prayer, are exempted in al health officials r government rest, or s so long as it that those el, former s deemed y from person to

remain both safe and free. The restrictions on religious gatherings exist to slow and stop a deadly pandemic, one that has cost Missourians nearly 7,000 lives. Religious services pose a unique risk that places them in a cohort with other similar secular activities that have been temporarily restricted. Restricting gatherings in a neutral and narrow manner is permissible and reasonable given the challenge we face. You are still free to practice your faith in Missouri. The restrictions placed on all inperson gatherings are narrowly tailored, as they must be. They do not mandate a complete shutdown of religious practice. Houses of worship have remained open to stream worship services online or over the phone, to hold drive-in services in parking lots, and to conduct smaller services that adhere to the state's large-gathering limit. This shift in how services are provided is not unique in today's economy and mirror many of the ways secular businesses must adapt to this time. Exempting worship services from neutral and generally applicable restrictions enacted during public emergencies would harm others and is the type of religious preference that the Constitution forbids. Religious freedom is not a license to harm others. We look forward to the day when it is safe for all to practice their faith in the manner they choose; however, to remain true to our Constitutional values, we cannot single out houses of worship for preferential treatment. I urge this committee to not vote these bills out. I am available to answer any questions that you might have going forward. Thank you again for your consideration.Best,Mo Del VillarLegislative AssociateAmerican Civil Liberties Union of Missouri[1] Attorney General William Barr. (April 14, 2020). Statement on Religious Practice and Social Distancing. DOJ-Office of Public Affairs. Retrieved from https://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/attorney-general-william-pbarr-issues-statement-religious-practice-and-social-distancing-0.



		•	
BILL NUMBER: HB 575			ATE: 22/2021
COMMITTEE: Special Committee on Government A	ccountability		
	F IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORMATIO	ONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED LOBBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: NIKOLAS NARTOWICZ		PHONE NUMBER: 202-466-3234	
REPRESENTING: AMERICANS UNITED FOR SEPARATI	ON OF CHURCH AND STATE	TITLE: STATE POLIC	
ADDRESS: 1310 L ST. NW, STE. 200			
CITY: WASHINGTON		STATE: DC	ZIP: 20005
EMAIL: nartowicz@au.org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DATE: 2/22/2021	11:31 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FO	ORM IS PUBLIC RECORD U	NDER CHAPTI	ER 610, RSMo.
does not mean religious gatherings c should reject this bill because it is un unnecessary to protect houses of wor person services under the Missouri R can challenge restrictions under rece limits on religious services must be n "compelling" state interest.Furthermo that restrict in-person worship would last year, nearly two-thirds of states to those at houses of worship. That is be religious gatherings as at other gather connected to houses of worship in Mir respond effectively as cases rise and might be more contagious or more de protecting people's lives comes first, to worship through alternative means	necessary and would put the purship because they can already of religious Freedom Restoration A nt Supreme Court decisions, whe ueutral and generally applicable of ore, tying the hands of governme have dire consequences for put emporarily suspended or limited ecause, like any virus, COVID-19 rrings. Indeed, numerous outbreaties issouri. Under this bill, public he fall. Nor would they be able to re eadly.Many faiths teach that in er and that it is an act of charity, jur . To protect people who attend r	blic health at risk challenge restrict ct. In addition, ho ich make clear th or "narrowly tailo ent officials from blic health and sa al all mass gatheri is just as likely to aks of COVID-19 balth officials wou espond to a futur nergency circum istice, and love to eligious worship	k.The bill is tions on in- buses of worship nat government ored" to serve a issuing orders offety. Over the ings, including to spread at have been ald not be able to re pandemic that istances, o stay home and services, as well
as those who don't, we should encourage these efforts, not exempt religious gatherings from emergency restrictions. For more information about the emergency orders issued by governors during the COVID-19 pandemic, please visit https://bit.ly/37AzcjG. Thank you for your consideration.			



BILL NUMBER: HB 575				DATE: 2/22/2021	
COMMITTEE: Special Committee	e on Government Acco	untability			
TESTIFYING:	TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF IN OPPOSITION TO FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES				
		WITNESS NAME			
BUSINESS/ORG	ANIZATION:				
WITNESS NAME: RYAN JAYNE			PHONE NUMBER: 4145106822		
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: FREEDOM FROM RELIGION FOUNDATION		TITLE: STAFF AT	TITLE: STAFF ATTORNEY		
ADDRESS: PO BOX 750					
CITY: MADISON			STATE: WI	ZIP: 53701	
EMAIL: ryan@ffrf.org		ATTENDANCE: Written		SUBMIT DATE: 2/18/2021 2:22 PM	
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECORD L	JNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.	
This bill, similar to no on HB 575.	HB 61, is dangerous a	nd unnecessary. Please not	e my testimon	y on HB 61 and vote	