

BILL NUMBER: HB 634				DATE: 2/22/2021	
COMMITTEE: General Laws					
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		IATIONAL PURPOSES	
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: ARNIE C. AC "HON	NEST-ABE" DIENOFF-S	TATE PUBLIC ADVO	PHONE NUM	BER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIC	DN NAME:		TITLE:	TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			·		
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:	
EMAIL: arniedienoff@yaho	oo.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 2/22/2	DATE: 021 1:28 PM	
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.					
I am in Support of this Bill. I would like to see an Amendment that any one who is legally authorized to					

I am in Support of this Bill. I would like to see an Amendment that any one who is legally authorized to own a weapond can carry in a House of Worship with or with out a Carry-N-Conceal Permit.



BILL NUMBER: HB 634				DATE: 2/22/2021
COMMITTEE: General Laws				
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		IATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
BUSINESS/ORG	ANIZATION:			
WITNESS NAME: KEVIN MERRITT			PHONE NUM 573-301-7	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO			TITLE: EXECUTI	VE DIRECTOR
ADDRESS: 6605 BUSINESS 5	0 W, 50 WEST			
CITY: JEFFERSON CITY			STATE: MO	ZIP: 65109
EMAIL: kevin@mosheriffs	.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 2/22/20	DATE: 021 11:23 AM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
We want to go on	the record supporting	this bill.		



remove it.

MISSOURI HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HB 634		DATE: 2/22/2021
COMMITTEE: General Laws		•
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF		OR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME	
INDIVIDUAL:		
WITNESS NAME: MARK JOHNSON		PHONE NUMBER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:
ADDRESS:		
CITY:		STATE: ZIP:
EMAIL: thejohnsonm@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DATE: 2/21/2021 8:24 PM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM	I IS PUBLIC RECORD UN	DER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.
Please consider this testimony for HB634 submit testimony). As far as I can tell the k the executive session. I would encourage would be redundant to pass two identical additional subdivisions of subsection 1 of amusement parks, which, as are Churches the subdivision concerning private proper with the mistaken belief that HB359 or HB6 absolutely not true. Churches would still k those asking to maintain the current speci allowed to carry, I ask this: Why do you th can think of no valid reason that would sa	bills are identical. I see that H the committee to pass both b bills, I would encourage one l section 571.107. I would sug s, private property and able to ty. Please disregard the testin 634 would force churches to be free to prohibit concealed of al carve out allowing Church ink Churches deserve this sp	B359 is to be considered during ills. If the committee feels it bill to be modified to eliminate gest the subdivision concerning prohibit concealed carry under nony against HB359 by those allow concealed carry. This is carry as private property.To es to pick and choose who is ecial carve out? Personally I

employs metal detectors and / or searches those entering, the selective privilege they think they enjoy is nothing but a fantasy. Regardless, Missouri statute currently assigns no criminal penalty to carrying concealed in a Church without permission. The prohibition is both toothless and pointless. It is time to



BILL NUMBER: HB 634				DATE: 2/22/2021
COMMITTEE: General Laws				
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: STACY BRIGHT			PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	DN NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			·	
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: stacybright74@gn	nail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 2/19/20	DATE: 121 1:22 PM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.				
Places of religious worship are considered a "gun free zone", which means that law abiding citizens are unable to lawfully carry a concealed firearm for self protection, and which criminals know they'd				

are unable to lawfully carry a concealed firearm for self protection, and which criminals know they' likely not meet any opposition. The 2nd Amendment should carry over into churches so that an individual has the same right to be their own self-protector.



BILL NUMBER: HB 634					TE: 22/2021
COMMITTEE: General Laws					
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR	INFORMATIO	ONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: SUSAN MYERS			Pł	HONE NUMBER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIC	DN NAME:		TI	TLE:	
ADDRESS:					
CITY:			ST	TATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:		SUBMIT DATE: 2/22/2021 1	2:00 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.					



BILL NUMBER: HB 634				DATE: 2/22/2021
COMMITTEE: General Laws				
TESTIFYING:	IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: GAIL WECHSLER			PHONE NUM	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	N NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			l	
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: gailwechsler@sbc	global.net	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT I 2/19/20	DATE: D21 2:30 PM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
I strongly oppose HB 634, which would allow guns in all houses of worship. I am a person of faith and I don't want members of the legislature to dictate what my clergy can and cannot do and can and cannot allow in their sacred spaces. This violates the separation of church and state. Also I would not personally feel safe if I knew anyone could be packing a firearm where I pray and engage in other				

personally feel safe if I knew anyone could be packing a firearm where I pray and engage in other sacred acts. A close friend attended Tree of Life Synagogue, where many lives were senselessly taken and where more guns would not have made anyone safer and would not have reduced the bloodshed. Please instead focus on ways to reduce gun violence and make our families and communities safer, like universal background checks and supporting what law enforcement officers already are doing to keep people safe (not allowing more guns where I worship). Thank you.



BILL NUMBER: HB 634				DATE: 2/22/2021
COMMITTEE: General Laws				
TESTIFYING:	IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: JEAN C KNAPP			PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
^{EMAIL:} tjknapp1984@yah	oo.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT I 2/22/20	DATE: D21 10:21 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.				
Religous freedom is well established in our country and state. I consider passing a law that does not allow an individual pastor or congregation to decide whether unknown people can carry concealed				

weapons into a place of worship an infringement on religious liberties. Many churches already have their own security team set up composed of people who they know have had the appropriate training and knowledge of the church who will respond in the case of an acitive shooting. It is not right for anyone to be able to carry a concealed firearm into a place of worship without the churches knowledge.



BILL NUMBER: HB 634				DATE: 2/22/2021
COMMITTEE: General Laws				
TESTIFYING:	IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: JOEL ACHTENBE	RG		PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: joelachtenberg@g	gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT E 2/20/20	DATE: 121 6:38 PM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
Enough guns! No	ot in churches. Not on c	ampus.		



BILL NUMBER: HB 634					TE: 22/2021
COMMITTEE: General Laws				·	
TESTIFYING:	IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR	INFORMATIO	ONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: KENDALL MARTII	NEZ-WRIGHT		PI	HONE NUMBER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TI	TLE:	
ADDRESS:			•		
CITY:			S	TATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:		SUBMIT DATE: 2/22/2021	12:00 AM
THE INFORMA	THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.				



BILL NUMBER: HB 634				DATE: 2/22/2021
COMMITTEE: General Laws				
TESTIFYING:	IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		IATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: REV. LAUREN BE	INNETT		PHONE NUM	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			·	
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: laurenelizabethbe	ennett@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 2/22/20	DATE: 021 2:54 PM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.

Dear Committee, As a clergy person with congregants who drive in from all over the St. Louis metro area, I do not support this bill for the following reasons: 1. Congregants with a gun are not a good or wise security protocol. People carry guns only when they are ready to use them. Church is not a place for guns. If an intruder would come into worship and open fire, most congregants would not have the proper training to be able to handle the situation. As a church of mostly LGBTQ people, we receive threats often and we have had to remove people from the building because of suspicious behavior. Because of this, we have received training from the police both locally and within our denomination to learn the best practices for active-shooter situations. In the event of an active shooter, it is much better for people to throw chairs at them or do other things like that to distract and overwhelm the shooter while exiting the building. It is far more likely that a congregant with a concealed carry permit would only add problems, delaying the tactics that actually work and potentially shooting other congregants as they are unlikely to have training for an active shooter situation. 2. Allowing people to come into churches with a concealed weapon only increases our fear of the other. As a LGBTQ church, we have people who are often new to us, trying to heal the harm done from non-affirming churches, or trying to find community. Therefore, on any given week, we can have a pretty good number of people we do not know. If we have to wonder if a new visitor is carrying a gun, that will only hurt our congregation's sense of security in worship and will likely damage the relationship with new potential new visitors if members are worried if the person is an ally or not. This only hurts everyone, one cannot worship and pay attention to each other if they are worried for their safety. And, if we have to worry about safety, that takes us away from being a ministry of care and concern to the community. Which brings me to my last point. 3. Arming congregants for church is incompatible with my faith practice and gets in the way of being able to practice freedom of religion. The reason is twofold. First, as stated above, worrying about guns takes us away from the fundamental ministry of building relationship. Second, Guns in church is a violation of Jesus' ministry, a ministry of peace and reconciliation. Can you imagine the emotional and spiritual harm if you had to kill someone who came into your church? Or, worse, if you accidentally shot or killed one of your friends at church? Simply stated, we do not need to bring violence into our churches when we are already dealing with violence outside of them. For these reasons alone, this bill has no place in our state or in our churches.



BILL NUMBER: HB 634			DATE: 2/22/2021	
COMMITTEE: General Laws		•		
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO □ FOR STATE OF S	OR INFORMAT	FIONAL PURPOSES	
	WITNESS NAME			
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION:				
WITNESS NAME: REV. WES MULLINS		PHONE NUMBER 314-361-322		
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: METROPOLITAN COMMUNITY CHURCH C	F GREATER ST. LOUIS	TITLE: SENIOR PA	STOR	
ADDRESS: 7423 MICHIGAN AVENUE				
CITY: ST. LOUIS		STATE: MO	ZIP: 63111	
EMAIL: wes.mullins@mccgsl.org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DA 2/22/2021	TE: 1 3:25 PM	
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM	I IS PUBLIC RECORD UN	DER CHAP	TER 610, RSMo.	
My name is Pastor Wes Mullins, and I have been a Christian minister for over 20 years. As a resident and pastor from St. Louis City, I write in strong opposition to this bill. For thousands of years, we have called our houses of worship 'sanctuaries.' These 'holy places' (the literal meaning of the word) should not have governmental rules infringing on our own rules about weapons in our spaces. If my congregation chooses to have armed security that is our prerogative. If our congregation chooses not to do so, that is also our prerogative. Passing this bill takes the prerogative away from the local church and places it in the hands of the individual gun owner. Just as a sex offender is not allowed into a sanctuary (holy place) without a written plan and the consent of the church leadership due to the				

potential harm they present to the members present, neither should a weapon of harm be allowed in our sanctuary (holy place) without our plan and consent due to the harm those weapons present. Please do not pass this bill. It seeks to fix a problem that does not exist.



BILL NUMBER: HB 634				DATE: 2/22/2021
COMMITTEE: General Laws				
TESTIFYING:	IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: TARA REUTER JC	OHNSON		PHONE NUM	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: trjpeace@yahoo.c	om	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT I 2/19/20	DATE: D21 12:53 PM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
I oppose the passage of HB634 from the House General Laws Committee and by the Missouri House of Representatives. I don't want guns in my house of worship. However, it is my understanding the law now is that pastors or other governing groups in a church may make the determination of if guns are allowed and who may carry them. I can respect the law as it is. Let's keep the decision in the hands of the church pastor. I don't think it is infringing on mine or anyone else's 2nd Amendment rights to allow				

for churches to prohibit or limit guns. In my opinion, guns in churches doesn't equal safer churches. Police have a difficult time determining who is a 'good guy' with a gun and who is a 'bad guy' with a gun in some situations. The courtesy to know who is carrying a concealed weapon and therefore the ability to vet that person and extend permission, should remain with the pastor of a church. Thank you for your consideration of my concerns with HB634 and please vote in opposition to HB634.



fellow faith leaders, please.

MISSOURI HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HB 634 COMMITTEE:				
COMMITTEE:			DATE: 2/22/2021	
COMMITTEE: General Laws				
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	▼IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORMAT	IONAL PURPOSES	
	WITNESS NAME			
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION:				
WITNESS NAME: THE REV. MIKE ANGELL			PHONE NUMBER: 314-721-7401	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: THE EPISCOPAL CHURCH OF THE HOLY COMMUNION		TITLE: RECTOR		
ADDRESS: 7401 DELMAR BLVD		·		
		STATE: MO	ZIP: 63130	
EMAIL: mangell@holycommunion.net	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DAT 2/19/2021	E: 1:06 PM	
Bishops of the Episcopal, ELCA Lutheran church pastors, the Rabbinical Association				

welcome at church, but their firearm is not, I can. Don't take that discretion away from me and my



			DATE		
BILL NUMBER: HB 634			DATE: 2/22/2021		
COMMITTEE: General Laws					
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES		
	WITNESS NAME				
REGISTERED LOBBYIST:					
WITNESS NAME: TYLER MCCLAY			PHONE NUMBER: 573-635-7239		
REPRESENTING: MISSOURI CATHOLIC CONFERENCE					
ADDRESS: PO BOX 1022					
CITY: JEFFERSON CITY		STATE: MO	ZIP: 65102		
EMAIL: mcclayt@mocatholic.org	ATTENDANCE: In-Person	SUBMIT D 2/22/202	ATE: 21 11:23 AM		
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.					
The Missouri Catholic Conference (MCC) respectfully opposes HB 634. Under current Missouri law, gun					
owners with a concealed carry permit are prohibited from carrying a weapon into a church without permission of their pastor. Missouri properly recognizes that churches are spaces in which guns have					
no place as a matter of public policy. They are appropriately considered gun-free zones, because they					
are spaces where we meet for worship. HB 634 changes this paradigm. It makes churches places gun					
owners can lawfully carry, rather than recognizing them in law as gun free spaces. Churches wishing to					
remain gun-free would be required to post signage in a conspicuous place. In the case of churches,					
this signage would likely have to be posted near the sacred space of worship. The MCC views this as					
an inappropriate and unnecessary intrusion upon religious freedom, which is guaranteed under the First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution.The MCC recognizes that we now live in a society where					
legally recognized gun-free zones will not always protect innocent people from gun violence. Churches					
are free under current law, however, to address these situations by establishing security protocols					
tailored to meet their situation. The MCC believes this is a more appropriate way to address the current					
proliferation of gun violence than removing churches from the list of places guns are not permitted.					
The Second Amendment of the U.S. Constitution ensures the right to bear arms, but it should not be					
used to justify infringing upon the Constitutional rights of others. The Missouri Catholic Conference					
urges you to vote HB 634 "DO NOT PASS."					