

BILL NUMBER: HJR 20				DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elections	cted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED LO	OBBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: CASEY WASSER			PHONE NUME 573-291-9	
REPRESENTING: MISSOURI SOYBE	REPRESENTING: TITLE: TITLE:			
ADDRESS: 734 SOUTH COUN	TRY CLUB DRIVE			
CITY: JEFFERSON CITY			STATE: MO	ZIP: 65109
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:	SUBMIT [2/10/20	DATE: D21 12:00 AM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 20				DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elections	cted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED LO	OBBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: JAMES HARRIS			PHONE NUME 573-761-7	
REPRESENTING: OPPORTUNITY SC	DLUTIONS PROJECT		TITLE:	
ADDRESS: 122 EAST HIGH ST	FREET, SUITE 200			
CITY: JEFFERSON CITY			STATE: MO	ZIP: 65101
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:	SUBMIT [2/10/20	DATE: D21 12:00 AM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 20			DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials			
TESTIFYING: ☑ IN SUPPORT O	F IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION:			
WITNESS NAME: MIKE DEERING		PHONE NUME 57349991	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: MISSOURI CATTLEMEN'S ASSOCIAT	ION	TITLE: EXECUTIV	VE VICE PRESIDENT
ADDRESS: 2306 BLUFF CREEK DRIVE			
CITY: COLUMBIA		STATE: MO	ZIP: 65201
EMAIL: mike@mocattle.com	ATTENDANCE: In-Person	SUBMIT I 2/10/20	DATE: D21 12:18 PM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FO	ORM IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 20				DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elections	cted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF		DR INFORMA	TIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED LO	OBBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: SAMANTHA DAVIS	6		PHONE NUMBE 573-893-418	
REPRESENTING: MISSOURI CORN	GROWERS ASSOCIATIO	N	TITLE: DIRECTOR POLICY	OF PUBLIC
ADDRESS: 3118 EMERALD LA	ANE			
CITY: JEFFERSON CITY			STATE: MO	ZIP: 65109
EMAIL: sdavis@mocorn.o	rg	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DA 2/10/202	TE: 1 9:40 AM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FORM	I IS PUBLIC RECORD UN	DER CHAP	TER 610, RSMo.
	I Growers Association s on to pass on the ballot to the second s Second second sec	upports policies which increa to either 60% or 2/3.	ase the perce	ntage of votes for



BILL NUMBER: HJR 20			DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials			•
	IN OPPOSITION TO		IATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
		PHONE NUM	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: alice.woodward.barber@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 2/10/2	DATE: 021 8:13 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FO	RM IS PUBLIC RECO		APTER 610, RSMo.
My name is Alice Barber and I have be collect signatures has given me an opp neighbors are able to have their voices day—for example, at a well-attended w ten or twelve signatures in an hour. Mo for the Clean Missouri Amendment, I s hands went numb inside my gloves. I o	portunity to get involved i s heard, too. Gathering sig reekend event in good we ost days are not as good. tood outside the local DM	n state politics an gnatures is not eas ather—I might on Once, when I was V in the snow for	d to make sure my sy. On a good y be able to collect collecting signatures three hours, until my

hands went numb inside my gloves. I got about fifteen signatures. The ballot initiative process is already difficult. Volunteers spend long hours, often in adverse weather conditions, collecting ten or twenty signatures at a time, and they are not always able to get enough. When an issue does make it to the ballot, it can still be voted down. Whether the initiative succeeds or fails depends on the will of the people—because ballot initiatives are direct democracy in action. We cannot afford to make such an important tool of democracy less effective. I respectfully encourage you to vote no on this issue. We need the ballot initiative process to stay as it is so that the people can still have a fair say in our government.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 20			DATE: 2/10/2021	
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials				
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		TIONAL PURPOSES	
	WITNESS NAME			
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION:				
WITNESS NAME: AMY BLOUIN		PHONE NUMBE 314-518-88		
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: MISSOURI BUDGET PROJECT		TITLE: PRESIDEN	IT & CEO	
ADDRESS: 1 CAMPBELL PLAZA, SUITE 101-BUILDIN	G A			
CITY: SAINT LOUIS		STATE: MO	ZIP: 63139	
EMAIL: ablouin@mobudget.org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D/ 2/10/202	ATE: 21 11:46 AM	
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo. TESTIMONY OPPOSING HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTIONS 2, 5, 9, 14, 15, 20, 22, 25, 26 & 27Amy Blouin, President & CEOMissouri Budget Project 314-518-8867ablouin@mobudget.org The Missouri Budget Project opposes House Joint Resolutions 2, 5, 9, 14, 15, 20, 22, 25, 26 and 27. The measures would limit the ability of Missourians to participate in the democratic process by making it more difficult to use the initiative petition process. For more than a century, Missouri's constitution has guaranteed Missourians the ability to advance petitions directly to the voters for consideration. The process has been used by conservatives and progressives alike, and we may not always agree on specific election results. For instance, the Missouri Budget Project believes that the Hancock amendment and other restrictions in the constitution around tax policy have tied the hands of lawmakers and limited our ability to invest in critical services and infrastructure. However, we respect the will of the people and support the ability of Missourians to fully engage in the process and advance the issues they prioritize. The measures under committee consideration would make it more difficult for Missourians to engage in this fundamental process. Some of the bills would require significant increases in signature collections for petitions to reach the ballot and some would raise the threshold of votes needed to approve ballot measures, but all would infringe on the ability of Missourians to advance policy change. We encourage you to oppose these measures.				



BILL NUMBER: HJR 20			DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials			
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		TIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: ARNIE "HONEST-ABE" DIENOFF-STAT	E PUBLIC ADVOCATE	PHONE NUMBE	R:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: ArnieDienoff@Yahoo.Com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DA 2/10/202	TE: 1 10:40 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FOR	RM IS PUBLIC RECORD	UNDER CHAP	TER 610, RSMo.
I am Opposed to this Bill!This Bill Silen	-	-	

Intension of Our State Constitution. This is a bad Bill and Squashes Citizens with Great Ideas to Make our State Better through a State Constitutional Amendment.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 20				DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elections	cted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		MATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: BARBARA ## BEI	ER		PHONE NUM	/BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: bbeier4@gmail.co	m	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 2/10/2	DATE: 2021 12:18 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.				
As a lifelong Missourian with roots in rural Saline County and a home in Jackson County, I have seen that people from all backgrounds feel their voice does not matter to government. The authors of the				

Missouri Constitution wisely included the ballot initiative process to more closely make our government truly government by the people. While gathering over 2,000 signature on a variety of issues across the stat over the past four years, I have seen the impact that signing a petition can have on giving some hope to voters with a variety of opinions that they can make their voices heard and be a part of deciding their own future.



INFORMATION INFORMATIONE NUMBER:	ONAL PURPOSES
HONE NUMBER:	ONAL PURPOSES
ITLE:	
TATE:	ZIP:
SUBMIT DATE 2/10/2021	11:21 AM
ER CHAPT	ER 610, RSMo.
ollected mor action of the p in the sun, First and Sec ing days bein ave some ide to see your ne that win n ri. The most the proposals use the task voted in favo urians have s not warrant y O vote is a vo	total 120,000 the wind, the cond ng rejected by ea what this is win number. A umber multiplied densely being heard
	2/10/2021 ER CHAPT gbermuehle, on process. ollected mor- action of the p in the sun, First and Sec- ing days bein ave some ide to see your- ne that win n ri. The most ne proposals use the task voted in favo- urians have so not warrant yo O vote is a vo



BILL NUMBER: HJR 20			DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials			
	OF IN OPPOSITION TO		MATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED LOBBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: CARL BEARDEN		PHONE NUN 314-560-4	
		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:		CEO - ON	ITED FOR MISSOORI
PO BOX 2193 CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
JEFFERSON CITY		MO	65102
EMAIL: carl@unitedformissouri.org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 2/9/20	DATE: 121 8:37 PM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS F	ORM IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CH	APTER 610, RSMo.
simple majority to call for a state Con- resulting in only 15% of the people in Assembly, such as many of the subje- up the requirement to 60% or 66.7% (approving amendments.Not so much to 60%, using the SOS' example turn turn-out) of the voters would be appr- is needed to adopt an amendment we making that decision for all of us.The an amendment that is the problem ar efforts!BOTTOM LINE: There is no va- that can be replaced with a simple in There is a lot of emotional turmoil wi the initiative petition process. There some of the ideas in HB333. But non accomplish.None of the needed impr- readily available to those with money percentage by which a measure mush run a campaign.Most Missourians do proposals are intended to make it had oppose attempts by the Missouri Leg government?", 63.8% of the respond constitution is often compared with the Primarily, and especially lately, that of US Constitution. State constitutions which all government, both Federal a is indeed a lot of things in our state of	naking changes to our Consti ect HJRs, would raise the req (2/3's). Surely those levels we have a subscription of 30%, a whopp roving amendments to our Co ould result in an additional 50 ese numbers tell us that it's n nd, although well intended to alid justification to raising the ajority vote or expanding the ithin the legislative bubble the are "improvements" that can be of the "improvements" that can be of the "improvements" that can be on the successful can be on the share this bubble turmo and share this bubble turmo and for citizens to place mea gislature to make it more diffi- lents said they would be oppo- the US Constitution and its ref	itution. Proposal quirement to ado ould be a signific an amendment w ping increase of onstitution.A req % (20% of the 30 not the percentage be significant, a e requirement to a number of Cong at something shi be made to the uire a constitution ess unusable by a fix" the IP process be addressed with oil. When asked, asures on the ba icult for citizens osed to those mo	Is in the General pt an amendment to cant increase in those vere to be increased 3% (18% of the 30% uirement that 66.7% % turn-out) of voters ge required to adopt amend a constitution gressional Districts! ould be done to "fix" IP process, including onal amendment to Missouri citizens but as.Even increasing the th enough funds to "Knowing that these llot do you support or to redress their easures. Our state

Amendments from 1910 through 2020. Included is an analysis of the much-discussed 2020 IP submittals. The top half of the datasheet clearly shows that the IP process accounts for very few Constitutional amendments placed on the ballot, much less than those that pass. For example, since 1910. Constitutional Amendments placed on the ballot proposed by the IP process account for less than 23% of all proposed amendments. And of those offered by the IP process, almost 60% failed! Contrast Constitutional Amendments proposed by the General Assembly accounted for over 77% of all amendments with a 53.91% passage rate. In 2020 there were 148 initiative petitions submitted to the SOS. Three people accounted for over 53% of those submittals. Only NONE of those 76 submittals made it to the ballot. The 148 isn't a vast number compared to the past cycle and includes the fact many were minor variations of the same proposal. The alleged problem can be addressed in several ways, none of which require a Constitutional Amendment.But that's not the real story on the IP process. Of those 148 submittals, only 104 were approved for circulation. Eighty-seven of those were proposed Constitutional Amendments. And of those 104 (87 CA), 1 made it on the ballot. The 1 Constitutional Amendment represented less than 1% (0.68%) of the number submitted. We hear about the General Assembly's vetting process. The vetting process is accomplished in the General Assembly, but it is hardly a guarantee that the proposals are correctly written. Every year, there are one or more bills or amendments offered to correct bills adopted in past sessions because the vetting process failed to catch the errors. I reiterate that there are ways to address some of the administrative issues with IP's short of all but eliminate the process and be done without a Constitutional Amendment.Suppose the General Assembly wishes to eliminate the use of the IP process by citizens. In that case, it should propose an amendment to do so instead of proposing measures that result in the backdoor elimination.Constitutional Amendment Submitted to Missouri Voter, 1910 – 2020 Submitted by the People through InitiativeApproved Submitted by the General Assembly 131 28Approval Rate 53.91% 40.60% Defeated 112 41Defeated Rate 46.09% 59.40% **Total Measures** Fourteen (20,29%) of all ballot initiatives passed 243 69? with >60%? Fourteen (20.29%) of all ballot initiatives passed with <60%? Sixty (24.69%) of General Assembly proposals passed with >60%? Seventy-one (29.22%) of General Assembly proposals passed with < 60%? Nine (13.04%) of all ballot initiatives passed with >2/3's? Nineteen (27.54%) of all ballot initiatives passed with <2/3's? Thirty-seven (15.22%) General Assembly proposals passed with >2/3's? Ninety-four (38.68%) General Assembly proposals passed with < 2/3's*Source: http://www.libertytools.org/BillTracking/MO-Ballot-History.php and SOS.MO.GOV 2020 Initiative Petition Cycle **ConstitutionalInitiatives Submitted (1)** All 148 **Initiatives Approved (2) 104 Initiatives on Ballot (3) 87 1 1 % of Submitted 0.68% %% of Approved to Circulate 0.96% 1.15%(1) https://www.sos.mo.gov/default.aspx? PageID=9517**information not broken out on website(2) https:// https://www.sos.mo.gov/petitions/2020IPcirculation(3)

https://www.sos.mo.gov/elections/petitions/2020BallotMeasures



BILL NUMBER: HJR 20				DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	ted Officials			•
TESTIFYING:	IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: CARLY LANGLOIS			PHONE NUM	IBER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	N NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: cj.langlois.cl@gma	ail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 2/10/2	DATE: 021 11:35 AM
THE INFORMAT	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECC	RD UNDER CHA	APTER 610, RSMo.
important to me th contribute to legisl social media bubbl meaningful and eff an easy task. There	at this vital democration lation that matters to n les distort our differen fective dialogues for m e have been some day	red on signature gathe c process remain in pla ne has been crucial to ices, real life conversa ne. Asking for a strango s where signature gath n belittled and berated	ace. The opportunit my civic engageme tions on the street er's signature on a nering for me result	ty to directly ent. In an era where have proven to be ballot initiative is not ts in close to no

asking for a moment of time. On better days and on more friendly corners, I've collected, at most, 15 signatures during a 4 hour shift. This is taxing and time consuming work. These proposed changes will make this work even more difficult for Missourians to remain involved in the legislative process. The work of our representatives should always be to bolster the will of the voters and a 'yes' vote is a vote to make it harder for the citizens of Missouri to exercise their rights. Please vote 'no' on these proposals.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 20				DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Ele	cted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: COLLINS F CHET	WIN		PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			1	
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: cfchetwin@gmail.	.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT E 2/10/20	DATE: 21 1:50 PM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
have spent most of talking with neigh Getting an issue p them on the ballor enough for me to Springfield, waitin The location was by every five or si I got maybe thirty tiny amount comp signatures, the or tiny gain was wor	of my time on campaig bors about the issues bassed is difficult work t in the first place.I've of understand the enorming for voters to exit so pretty quiet for most of x minutes, most would signatures by the end bared to the thousands ganizers were overjove th celebration.Gatherin	volunteered or worked or ns after the signatures ar and working hard to conv , even after the enormous only gathered signatures ity of the undertaking. I s I could ask for a moment f the day, as it wasn't a bi I politely decline. I stood of it. Honestly I was prett we needed. But when I g ed. Signature gathering is ag signatures for an initia	e already gathere vince them they s s number of signa for a petition once tood outside an e of their time. It way g election year. O out there all day in y disappointed, th ot back to the office a slow, increment tive petition is a h	d, when we are hould vote our way. itures required to get e, but that was lementary school in as cold and windy. ne voter would come n the biting wind and hinking that was a ce to turn in my ital game, and every uge undertaking,
being considered	today would make the	process harder to the po and raising the signature	int of being impo	ssible. A healthy

society depends on empowered voters, and raising the signature requirements or vote margin for passage does just the opposite. Those moves punish citizens for the use of the process we have to undergo to get our voices heard. A yes vote on this legislation is a radical move to undermine voters and consolidate power in state government. Legislation should never have the goal of repressing citizen involvement, but it is clear that these bills would do just that. I implore you to vote "no" on this bill.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 20				DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	ted Officials			•
TESTIFYING:	IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: FRANCES FARAH			PHONE NUM	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	N NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: franjac100@aol.co	m	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT I 2/9/202	DATE: 21 11:06 PM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
change the MO Cit	izens Initiative proces	enate. Please read my te s. Let me say right away ess to the legislative pro	that I am very pro	oud of the fact that

citizen's initiative amendment process. If Missourians feel that the legislature has not responded to an issue in a manner satisfactory to the people of MO we have the opportunity to draft a citizen's petition to amend the MO Constitution and submit it to the Secretary of State's office for his approval regarding the process, and the language of the petition. Once the Secretary of State's office reviews the language and makes whatever necessary amendments to the language, and the timing of the due dates of the petition for signature gathering completions, the petition signature gathering begins in earnest . These petitions must be signed by 150,000 to 300,000 signatories to insure that the number of signatures required by the Secretary of State is satisfied. All Signatures must be notarized by an authorized notary in the State of Missouri as determined by the Secretary of State. I have worked in campaigns that succeeded with enough amendment signatures to make it to the ballot in MO, for Voters to approve the issue, and sign it into law. Some of these are CLEAN MO, and RAISING THE MINIMUM WAGE. I unsuccessfully fought against the adoption of Amendment 3 which was submitted to the Nov.3, 2020 ballot by only a majority of the Republican legislature. No tedious and multitudinous signature gathering was necessary to put Amendment 3 on the ballot adopted by the legislature. With Amendment 3, the legislature used their extreme privilege to override the will of 62 % of Missourians, and override Clean MO, by just a majority vote in the Legislature, after we had gathered over 300,000 signatures to adopt Clean MO and successfully send it to the ballot, where it was adopted by 62% of Missourians. The Legislature's Amendment 3 overrode the will of the people, without any signature gathering requirements. They do not need more legislated power to overthrow the will of the citizens of MO. We petitioners stood in the rain, in the heat of the summer sun, and the cold of the winter, and in social gatherings, and at grocery stores, and in festivals, and picnics, in parties and on street corners, and at parades and any place where people gathered to gather over 300,000 signatures. We wanted to make sure that our petition hit the ballot in 2018. We worked as volunteers, long and so hard, and we had to make sure that the signatures were good and that the signers were legitimate voters. We spent over a year to gather signatures for CLEAN MO, and to raise the minimum wage and to expand medicaid. We worked hard and played by the rules if the Secretary of State. We worked as citizens to get these citizens' petitions on the ballots for all Missourians to vote for, or against. In-spite of all the difficulties we continued to get those signatures to make sure we had a chance at the ballot box. Remember all Missourians can express their preferences as citizens in this process. All parties regardless of political persuasions. Now you want to make this process even more onerous and unfair than it is already. Missouri is our state and we are its citizens and we resent the effort in the Missouri legislature to make it even more difficult, than it already is to exercise our rights, as MISSOURI

CITIZENS. This is a matter of whether this Missouri Legislature wishes to keep the Democratic process open to its citizens, or close it off, as is the way of dictators.Consequently, I oppose the changes to the citizens', petition process. Open it up. Don't close us out. Vote these repressive bills down, and do the right thing. Represent the citizens of MO, not yourselves Respectfully submitted,Frances E. FarahFranjac100@aol.com816-516-585811324 Greenwood Rd. Kansas City, MO64134



BILL NUMBER: HJR 20			DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials			
TESTIFYING:	► IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: GENE DAVISON		PHONE NUMB	ER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:		·	
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: gdliberal@aol.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 2/10/20	ATE: 21 11:58 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FO	RM IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
Hello.My name is Gene Davison and I li	ive in Springfield.I rememb	per the first time I	got to vote in an

election. At that time, voting age was 21 years old and I remember the pride I felt being able to walk into a voting booth and cast my ballot. To be honest, I don't have any remembrance of what that first vote was for, and it doesn't really matter. I got to vote. I had participated in the democratic process. That mattered. It still matters. Since I first voted at age 21, I have never missed a local, state, or national election for which I had standing to participate within the voting jurisdiction. The pride I felt in voting, in participating, has led me to being a more active participant in the democratic process. I have worked on campaigns for both candidates and issues, and through that work have met some amazing people. appreciate the work of elected officials, but more than that, I appreciate the fact that a person in Missouri does not have to be an elected official to have a voice in legislative matters. Many people have run unsuccessfully for an elected office, and despite losing have remained active in the political arena. Through the initiative process, all Missourians, not just legislators, have a direct voice in determining what issues our fellow citizens may choose to codify. For that reason, I have worked on signature collection for numerous initiative petitions and have signed petitions for others on issues they worked on, even those which I did not support. Why would I sign a petition for something I opposed? Because I believe in democracy and the right of the people to be heard, to petition for redress, and to let the people decide. Sometimes I was on the winning side, sometimes not.But the point is that initiative petitions are good. It is not easy to gather enough signatures to get on a ballot, and it would do a great disservice to make it harder.Each time I went out to gather signatures, I never knew how successful I would be. It's long hours, sometimes tedious, but always rewarding. It's not easy standing out in the cold of winter or the heat of summer, particularly on a person in their 70's as I am. I have experienced negative comments but also thoughtful discussions on the issues. I have welcomed those discussions because they show that we can find common ground and respect each other even when we have different positions. That's the best of America, and Missouri.What's not best for our state is to restrict the initiative process. As I said, it's not easy to get an initiative on the ballot. Most fail. Why make it harder?Every voter has a voice, and those voices are not always heard by our legislative body. Any change that makes an initiative petition harder to get on the ballot is an attack on the voice of the voters. The choice of who will represent you in the legislative body is important, but no less so than the right to make your own choice on issues that the legislative body fails to address. That is what the initiative petition is: a choice.To take away that choice by making it harder is an attack on democracy. That is a radical position to take, and one that is ratified by making initiative petitions more difficult.Missouri is the Show-Me state. Show me, and all of our citizens, that you respect our voices, and our right to petition, by voting NO on any and all legislation that would take away our voices, and our rights. I respectfully ask that you vote NO. Thank you.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 20				DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elections	cted Officials			•
TESTIFYING:	IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		IATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
BUSINESS/ORG	ANIZATION:			
WITNESS NAME: JACOB HUMMEL			PHONE NUM 573-634-2	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO			TITLE: PRESIDE	NT
ADDRESS: 131 E. HIGH STRE	ET STE. 100			
CITY: JEFFERSON CITY	,		STATE: MO	ZIP: 65101
EMAIL: jakehummel@moa	aflcio.org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 2/9/202	DATE: 21 12:03 PM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
ShaulHonorable R Missourians, opport necessary for initi additional cost to is another attempt wisdom, built multi	Representatives of the Coses any legistation that ative petitions or const the process makes it h t to silence our fellow N tiple ways the people of	ns and Elected Officials Committee, The Missouri at would increase the sig titutional amendments. In arder for Missourians to Aissourians in the legisla of our state could act as a y gave we, the people, the	AFL-CIO, the void nature threshold ncreasing the filin exercise their rig tive process. Ou n check on politic	ce of working to the vote margin ng fee or adding phts. We believe this r founders, in their ians, and hold their

government accountable. And finally they gave we, the people , the initiative petition process; so that when necessary, the people could govern themselves directly, without the necessity of politicians acting as intermediaries. We respectfully urge a "No" vote.Jacob HummelPresidentMissouri AFL-CIO



BILL NUMBER: HJR 20				DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Offi	cials			+
	SUPPORT OF	▼ IN OPPOSITION TO		MATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: JAHNAVI DELMONICO			PHONE NUM	MBER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:			TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			I	
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: jahnavidelmonico@gmail.	com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 2/10/2	I DATE: 2021 2:15 AM
THE INFORMATION C	N THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CH	APTER 610, RSMo.
Hello, my name is Jahnav ballot initiatives, both suc perseverance required to justification to increase th to us as citizens and cons widespread support from spent hours collecting sig animosity, and most impo issues that we see and the	cessful and un put ballot initia at burden. Ball tituents. No ba Missourians. I natures in incl rtantlyhave h	successful. I know first- tives to a vote of the peo ot initiatives represent th llot measure has ever re- and others like me from a ement weather, have face ad engaging conversatio	nand that the effe ple is enormous ne purest form o ached the voting across the politi ed verbal abuse ns within our co	ort, coordination, and s as-is. I can find no f democracy available g booth without cal spectrum have and disheartening ommunities about the

disagree, direct voting brings the will of the people to bear on political proceedings that are often exclusionary. So, with our pockets full of pens and tissue paper to wipe our running noses on frigid mornings, we hit the streets time and again to have those conversations and raise our voices, together.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 20			DATE: 2/10/2021	
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials				
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES	
	WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: JENNY		PHONE NUME	BER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:		
ADDRESS:				
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:	
EMAIL: Jennymihalesmith@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT E 2/10/20	DATE: 21 11:32 AM	
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.				
My name is Jenny Smith and I was a volu bar to succeed was very high but with th				

bar to succeed was very high but with the help of hundreds of volunteers devoting thousands of hours throughout the state, we exercised our rights as citizens of the State of Missouri. This bill was largely supported as was the Initiative petition to expand Medicaid and permit Medical Marijuana. These initiatives by citizens were necessary because of the ineptness of our Missouri legislature to act. Raising the bar for citizens to submit initiative petitions erodes the voice of the people and our democracy. Please recall that when John Ashcroft was our Governor he vetoed a bill similar to this bill before our legislators today. It was wrong to suppress the will of the citizens then as it is today.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 20			DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials			
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: JOHN SAXTON		PHONE NUMB	ER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: Classiccarguy69@yahoo.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 2/10/20	DATE: 21 10:32 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM	I IS PUBLIC RECORI	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
February 10, 2021To Chairman Dan Shaul			
Saxton. I ran for the Missouri legislature a Republican Central Committee to support			
Sen. John McCain's presidential run in Br			
for everyday Americans. That's also why I	gathered signatures for	a ballot initiative	. I gathered
signatures outside my local library. It was			
young. I could only gather signatures occ they would sign, sometimes they wouldn'			
the same rights we have had as Missouria			
That is why I am dismayed that this propo			
voices heard. It's our patriotic right to per			
our great country's federal constitution.			
takes thousands and thousands of red-blo ballot, and hundreds of thousands of peo			
And as you know, it doesn't always succe			
the People" have to work much harder. T			
rights. We will not stand for this. I stand w			
the people's power of initiative, but Gover	nor Ashcroft stopped it.	He was right to s	tand up for his

the people's power of initiative, but Governor Ashcroft stopped it. He was right to stand up for his constituents, as I hope you will today. Please vote "no" on this proposal. Sincerely, John SaxtonSt. Charles Park RangerFormer Republican Nominee for LegislatureProcess for submitting testimony



BILL NUMBER: HJR 20				DAT 2/1	TE: 0/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elections	cted Officials				
TESTIFYING:	IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ORMATIC	NAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: KEVIN FITZGERA	LD		PHONE	NUMBER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:		
ADDRESS:					
CITY:			STATE:		ZIP:
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:		BMIT DATE: 10/2021 1	2:00 AM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER (CHAPTE	R 610, RSMo.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 20			DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials			
TESTIFYING:	OF IN OPPOSITION TO		RMATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: LISA ANN WILLIAMS		PHONE	NUMBER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: Iwilliams2720@aol.com	ATTENDANCE: Written		MIT DATE: 0/2021 11:58 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS F	ORM IS PUBLIC RECO	RD UNDER C	HAPTER 610, RSMo.
My name is Lisa Williams and I have			
years. Some of these campaigns, su such as capping payday interest rate			
rewarding every time. I believe it is a	in example of democracy a	t its best: citizer	is engaging with fellow
citizens to decide for themselves whe vote on. Over the years, while colled			
neighbors about important issues a			
sign a petition because they are so o	cynical about the state of o	ur democracy to	day and the chance for
sign a petition because they are so ordinary citizens to get anything dor	cynical about the state of o ne. But I am always surprise	ur democracy to ed at how many	day and the chance for of those very same
sign a petition because they are so o	cynical about the state of o ne. But I am always surprise r being out there trying to r would not sign a petition be	ur democracy to ed at how many nake a differenc ecause they disa	day and the chance for of those very same e. I have even had agreed with the

conversations with individuals who would not sign a petition because they disagreed with the measure, but still would thank me for giving my time to engage others on that issue.I'm an introvert so approaching total strangers and asking for a minute of their time to discuss what I know may be a controversial subject does not come easily. I have collected signatures week after week in all kinds of weather from broiling hot July to bitterly cold February. We must always collect many more signatures than required because, despite our best efforts, invariably, some of the signatures cannot be used because they are illegible or invalid for other reasons. The proposals being considered today would make that process even more difficult, if not impossible, and are unacceptable. Raising the number of signatures required or the vote margin for passage are blatant attempts to stifle citizens' direct participation in our democracy. Governor John Ashcroft vetoed an earlier attempt by Democrats to pass such a bill saying it would "repress citizen involvement." I respectfully encourage you to vote

"no" on these current proposals which would do the same.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 20			DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials			
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORMA	TIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED LOBBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: M'EVIE MEAD		PHONE NUMBE 314604761	
REPRESENTING: PLANNED PARENTHOOD ADVOCATES IN	I MISSOURI	-	OF PLANNED DOD ADVOCATES
ADDRESS: 4251 FOREST PARK AVE			
CITY: SAINT LOUIS		STATE: MO	ZIP: 63108
EMAIL: mevie.mead@ppmissouri.org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DA 2/10/202	ATE: 21 11:04 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM	I IS PUBLIC RECORD	UNDER CHAP	PTER 610, RSMo.
Wednesday, February 10, 2021To: Ho M'Evie MeadPlanned Parenth mevie.mead@ppmissouri.org Testimony submission systemPlanned Parenthood A additional, unnecessary burdens on Misse process to qualify a measure on the ballor requiring hundreds of volunteers to devot directly petition one another for changes measures are an attack on our history and used the process, oftentimes using it togor require the signatures of between 200,000 refer items to the ballot with a simple major rights to directly petition one another with places issues on the ballots. They are abo pandemic without the protections of COV more accessible rather than pursuing unn right to vote in Missouri.	in opposition to HJR20Su dvocates in Missouri opp ourians' right to directly p t through initiative petition to our laws and constitut d constitution.Missourian other to overcome legisla and 350,000 Missourians ority vote of politicians. A pout reviewing the process out silencing voters, not e D voting options, lawma	uri ubmitted via Hous poses HJRs 20 be petition one anoth on is already extre ssourians have h ion for nearly 150 is of all political p tive roadblocks. I s while the Legisl All of these propo- ss through which empowering us.In kers should focus	se testimony ecause it imposes her. The current emely difficult ad the right to years. These hersuasions have initiative petitions ature can simply sals attack citizens' the legislature the midst of a s on making voting



BILL NUMBER: HJR 20			DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials			•
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
		PHONE NUM	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: mrichcreek43@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT I 2/10/20	DATE: D21 3:51 PM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECORD U	NDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
My name is Margie Richcreek. I've been interested in and participating with gover legislatures, and Congress. The initiative important part of citizenship - for electe have gathered signatures on initiative pe It was a commitment to improving situati expanding Medicaid for thousands falling commitment meant standing outside a va commitment also meant talking with a va	rnment at every level from sc e petition process affects peo d officials as well as the folks titions promoting legislation ons such as unfair interest ra g through the gaps in our hea ariety of places in a variety of	hool boards, ople on all the s who vote.Th of concern a ates on pay d alth care syst f weather cor	city councils, state ese levels and is an hrough the years, I nd importance to me. lay loans or em. That nditions. That

commitment also meant talking with a variety of people - a way of educating voters about the initiative petition process as well as the issue. Good citizenship is something we learn by participating - obeying laws, understanding how our government works, voting. The initiative petition process has been in place in Missouri's constitution for over a century and it should remain intact. Raising the signature requirements or vote margin for passage have nothing to do with empowering voters but are about punishing us for understanding the process.Please do not pass this measure, changing the initiative petition process and restricting Missouri's citizens, out of committee.



		DA	TE: 10/2021
HJR 20 COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials		21	10/2021
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	▼ IN OPPOSITION TO		NAL PURPOSES
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION:			
WITNESS NAME: MELISSA VATTEROTT		PHONE NUMBER: 3145810561	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: MISSOURI COALITION FOR THE ENVIRO	NMENT		ARM POLICY
ADDRESS: 3115 S. GRAND BLVD., SUITE 650		I	
CITY: ST. LOUIS		STATE: MO	ZIP: 63139
EMAIL: mvatterott@moenviron.org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DATE: 2/10/2021 1	0:03 AM
February 10, 2020 Representative Dan Sho of Representatives201 W Capitol Ave., Rm Members of the Committee, The Missouri nonprofit organization that works to empore House Joint Resolution No. 20 seeks to w constitutional amendments that are initial living conditions. For this reason, we resp seeks to modify Article XII Section 2(b) of approve constitutional amendments that are requirement of a simple majority vote. This pass amendments that could meaningfull amendments that have been approved sim proposed resolution because they did not 20 is an attempt to slow the recent wave of proposed. From 2000-2015, only 23% of p initiated through the petition process. How were initiated by a petition. It is apparent legislative process in recent years due to seeks to punish them for it. We urge you to Missouri's citizens, as well as the state's of the Environment asks you to vote "no" or	n. 313-1 Jefferson City, M Coalition for the Environ ower Missourians to prot reaken this power by mal- ted by Missouri citizens y bectfully ask that you opp the Missouri Constitutio arise from initiative petiti is would make it significa y improve their lives. Of nee the year 2000, 63% w t meet the two-thirds three of amendments that Miss roposed constitutional a wever, from 2016 until pr that Missourians have ch frustration with the bure	IO 65101 Dear Chairm ment is a statewide, tect their environmen king it harder to approve pose HJR 20.HJR 20 on to require a two-thi ions, which differs fro antly more difficult fo all the petition-initiat rould not have passed eshold.In addition, it is ouri's citizens have of mendments on the bi- resent, 58% of such a nosen to engage more aucracy in Jefferson	han Shaul and advocacy t and health. ove proposed their health and specifically irds vote to om the current or Missourians to ed constitutional d under the is clear that HJR directly allot were mendments



BILL NUMBER: HJR 20				DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elections	cted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
	OBBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: MICHAEL BERG			PHONE NUME 314-644-1	
REPRESENTING: SIERRA CLUB MIS	SSOURI CHAPTER			LUB MISSOURI R POLITICAL R
ADDRESS: 2818 SUTTON BL\	/D			
CITY: SAINT LOUIS			STATE: MO	ZIP: 63139
EMAIL: Michael.Berg@sie	rraclub.org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT [2/10/20	DATE: 121 3:24 PM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECORI	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
right to directly pe is an attack on ou	etition one another for r history and constituti	to the Initiative petition changes to our laws and on. Initiative petitions rec be legislature can simply	constitution for n quire the signatur	early 150 years. This res of between

200,000 and 350,000 Missourians while the legislature can simply refer items to the ballot with a simple majority vote of politicians. This is no easy feat as is. This filed bill attacks citizens' rights to directly petition one another without reviewing the process through which the legislature places issues on the ballots. This bill is about silencing voters, not empowering us.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 20				DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Ele	cted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		IATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: PADRAIC MCGRA	ТН		PHONE NUM	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: padraicmc@gmai	l.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 2/10/20	DATE: 021 10:59 AM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	APTER 610, RSMo.
voters. I have pers distributed hundr not.Taking away t	sonally given a present eds of yard signs. Som he ability of the people	st 150 years to use the pe tation to a community gro etimes these initiatives a to directly bring an issue tonable. We should all wa	oup, collected 200 are successful an e to a vote that th	0 signatures, and d sometimes e legislature may not

be able or willing to address is unconscionable. We should all want people to be more involved in the political process, not less. Please do not take power away from average citizens. As a person of faith, I believe that being involved in politics is one of the ways that we can show our love for our brothers and sisters and to diminish that involvement is a direct rejection of what I am called to do by building His kingdom on earth.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 20			DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials			
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		FIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION:			
WITNESS NAME: RICHARD G VON GLAHN		PHONE NUMBER 1314540204	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: MISSOURI JOBS WITH JUSTICE VOTER A	CTION	TITLE: POLICY DIR	ECTOR
ADDRESS: 2725 CLIFTON			
CITY: SAINT LOUIS		STATE: MO	ZIP: 63139
EMAIL: richard@mojwj.org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DA 2/9/2021	4:55 PM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM	IS PUBLIC RECORI	D UNDER CHAP	TER 610, RSMo.
My name is Richard von Glahn and I have campaign, I have enjoyed the opportunity Missouri with my neighbors. Some campai thought I would agree with and helped buil difficult. While I have gathered thousands gather about ten signatures in an hour. Oft festivals. Sometimes the weather can be di who either disagreed with what I was prop The form can be frustrating and many sign have to gather more. It is not easy. There h campaign to cap the interest rate on payda that I was not successful in. Hundreds of h is a risk with the current process in Missou being considered today would remove that signature requirements or vote margin for about punishing us for our use of the proc attempted to constrain the process, then G would "repress citizen involvement". That would be the result if these bills passed. I	provided by the initiativ igns I have supported h Id community with my n of signatures over the y tentimes I gather outsid ifficult and I have had m osing or who simply did natures are illegible or ju nave been campaigns I h ay loans, or a proposal t nours I poured into an is uri, one that has existed t risk and instead make passage have nothing the ess. Legislators tried the Governor John Ashcroft should never be the go	e process to talk a ave introduced me neighbors. Every ca rears, I would say o e museums, street by fair share of reje dn't have the time t ust incorrectly dome ave worked on, income to allow for early vo sue only to see it in for over a century the process impose to do with empowe his once before. Bu correctly vetoed the al of legislation, but	bout issues facing to people I never impaign has been on average I can corners or local ction from people o engage with me. e. It means we cluding a oting in Missouri, not succeed. That . The proposals esible. Raising the ring voters, but are t when Democrats ne bill saying it



BILL NUMBER: HJR 20				DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elections	cted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED LO	OBBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: RON BERRY			PHONE NUME 660-537-2	
REPRESENTING: JOBS WITH JUSTI	ICE VOTER ACTION		TITLE:	
ADDRESS: P.O. BOX 722			· · · ·	
CITY: JEFFERSON CITY			STATE: MO	ZIP: 65102
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:	SUBMIT [2/10/20	DATE: 12:00 AM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 20	DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials	
TESTIFYING: □IN SUPPORT OF □IN OPPOSITION TO □FO	OR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES
WITNESS NAME	
INDIVIDUAL:	
WITNESS NAME: SARAH STARNES	PHONE NUMBER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:	TITLE:
ADDRESS:	
CITY:	STATE: ZIP:
EMAIL: ATTENDANCE: Starwal@aol.com Written	SUBMIT DATE: 2/10/2021 9:30 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UN	DER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.
volunteer. I've also notarized the signatures of other signature-gatherer exceedingly difficult to obtain 200,000-350,000 signatures, as required of MUCH more challenging than a limited number of lawmakers putting so enough everyday citizens doing the work over a sufficient period of time to essentially petition our government through the ballot box, when our ignored by those in power, regardless of which political party is in the m initiatives win, sometimes they lose. That's the chance we must take.WI HARDER, TO ENGAGE VOTERS IN THE PROCESS OF CONTINUOUSLY STATE. PLEASE VOTE "NO" ON ALL OF THESE BALLOT INITIATIVE BI LIKELY BE CHARACTERIZED BY FORMER GOVEROR JOHN ASHCROF INVOLVEMENT, based on his statement about a similar prior effort.I find be the most meaningful and direct way for voters to engage in the demo beyond voting, which does not necessarily require an understanding of gatherers must inform the voters signing a petition what it is they are si read the ballot language—which is facilitated when that is concise, stra understandable.Signature gatherers and petition signers can be affiliated We do not talk about party affiliation when gathering signatures. Talking for me, an introvert, but, as with other things, when it is for a worthwhile out of my comfort zone and initiate conversation. When people are willi	of ordinary citizenswhich is mething on the ballotwith e, we can petition one another needs and preferences are najority. Sometimes our ballot E MUST MAKE IT EASIER, NOT MAKING THIS A BETTER LLS. THESE BILLS WOULD T AS REPRESSING CITIZEN I the ballot initiative process to ocratic process, above and any public policy. Signature igning and I encourage them to aightforward, and ed with any party or no party. g to strangers is not that easy



BILL NUMBER: HJR 20				DA [*] 2/1	TE: 10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elections	cted Officials				
TESTIFYING:	IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFOR	ΜΑΤΙΟ	NAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: SHELLEY SWOYE	R		PHONE NU	MBER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:		
ADDRESS:					
CITY:			STATE:		ZIP:
EMAIL: sswoyer2002@ya	hoo.com	ATTENDANCE: Written		t date: 2021 1	2:04 PM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CH	APTE	ER 610, RSMo.
over the years, an	d I can assure you it is o 100 degrees and in su	vorked on several different not an easy process. I h un, snow, and rain. When	ave stood outsi I approach son	de in t neone,	emperatures I never know if I

will be treated with rudeness or respect. I might be challenged or engaged in good conversation. Gathering signatures is a slow process and average s about 10 per hour. So why, if signature gathering can be so difficult do I continue to believe in and engage in the process? First of all, Missouri has a rich history of allowing its citizens to directly petition for changes to our laws and constitution. It's exciting to be part of the process allowing us as citizens to make our voices heard. Missourians of both parties have used the process. One of the most rewarding parts of the process is seeing how the electorate votes. When a ballot initiative wins by 50-60% of the vote, that means the issue is important to both Democrats and Republicans. It means that Missourians can often agree on an issue that matters regardless of politics. I also work on these drives because I strongly believe in the issues, and I know that those who will benefit most from them are not those in our communities who have the loudest voice. They have no lobbyists and do not usually engage in the process of contacting legislators. Not all of the drives have been successful yet raising the issues also educates the community. Although I have occasionally had an unpleasant encounter with someone, the vast majority of people are interested when you approach them to sign a petition. Many stop to visit and even if they don't sign the petition at time, they think about it and read about the issue to decide later.

This bill would make what is already a difficult process almost impossible. The number of signatures required is already a high bar. Please do not cause this important tool of our democracy and our Missouri history be destroyed. Please vote NO on changing the initiative petition process. Thank you.



	ATE: 1 10/2021
	ONAL PURPOSES
PHONE NUMBER:	
TITLE:	
STATE:	ZIP:
SUBMIT DATE: 2/10/2021 2	11:14 AM
DER CHAPTI	ER 610, RSMo.
otion that demo national news f the interests o ations here afte in mind, I was ave been some stile political st re spent gather ature was indee s, it provided an nding area.More	for all the wrong of ALL of citizens of ALL of citizens an active othing the tance.I did not ring signatures ed out of touch n opportunity for e often than not, ture was agreed,
	2/ OR INFORMATION PHONE NUMBER: TITLE: STATE: SUBMIT DATE 2/10/2021 IDER CHAPT by family up her st in their future otion that demo mational news file ations here after still political structure astill political structure ature was indeed s, it provided a nding area.More before a signa

Missouri legislature as currently constituted may take actions to make it harder for Missouri citizens to vote, or have their voices heard in other ways such as ballot measures. I feel that the proposals in this bill will disempower voters and I cannot understand what is motivating it.Every act by our representatives in Jefferson City should be about making it EASIER for voters to express their needs, and this bill would only make that harder. I implore you to vote NO against this bill.Kind regards,Stephanie Clarke.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 20			DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials			
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: STEPHEN DAVEY		PHONE NUMB	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: srdavey@charter.net	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 2/9/202	DATE: 111:15 PM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
February 10, 2021To Chairman Shaul and many of you do, too. I have personally ex signatures for a ballot initiative because government, and I stood up for those val asked them to sign a petition. It wasn't v sign. That's OK—that's their freedom, to about. And it's what our legislature shou ancestors fought for the freedom to petit currently guaranteed in Missouri. It is a I Lincoln's words: "this nation, under God the people, by the people, and for the peop viewed for what it is: an attack on our free freedoms. Not an inch. It is already incre	tercised the freedom to p I believe in a fiscally con ues. I stood outside durin ery successful. Most of o. The ability to exercise and be fighting for. Here i ion our government. It is beautiful example of our , shall have a new birth o ople shall not perish from	petition my govern servatives state w ng a festival. As y them just kept wa e our own rights is n Missouri, we are not easy, but it is first Republican F of freedom–and th n the earth." This	nment. I gathered with small beople walked by, I alking, or declined to s what America is all e fortunate that our s a freedom we are President Abraham at government of proposal must be

attempt, too. Years ago, I voted for Ron Paul because he stood up for liberty. As his son Senator Rand Paul says, "Freedom is popular. Bring it on." Those are wise words. I respectfully urge you to vote "no" on this proposal. Thank you, Steve Davey 844 Kiefer Trails DrBallwin, MO 630210wner, Big Step Marketing



BILL NUMBER: HJR 20			DATE: 2/10/2021	
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials				
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES	
	WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: SUSAN GIBSON		PHONE NUM	BER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:		
ADDRESS:				
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:	
EMAIL: Onesuegibson@protonmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT I 2/10/20	DATE: D21 9:37 AM	
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.				
I oppose any legislation that would make	it harder for Missourian	s to access direc	t democracy in this	

state and bypass the legislature when it refuses to follow the will of voters. I also oppose efforts for the legislature to hoard control over ballot language, bypassing the courts. .



BILL NUMBER: HJR 20			DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials			
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: SUSAN KETURAH SCHMALZBAUER		PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: sschmalzbauer001@luthersem.edu	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT I 2/10/20	DATE: D21 11:45 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECORD	UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
My name is Susan Schmalzbauer, I am a on numerous ballot initiatives in Missou privilege talking to thousands of Missou healthcare, a living wage, and our demo voters in communities for over one hund	ri. Through the gathering irians about issues that im cracy. Missourians have h dred and fifty years. This c	of these signatur pact our families ad the right to di current bill is an a	res, I have had the s: access to rectly petition fellow attack on both our

history and our constitution. Petitioning is not easy work. Each initiative requires between 200,000 and 350,000 Missouri voters' signatures, while all that is needed in the legislature is a simple majority to get an issue on the ballot. This process is important to our democracy and is used by Missourians of all political viewpoints. All the proposals up for review attack Missouri citizens' right to directly engage in the democratic process. These proposals will harm the citizens of our state. I have spoken to many voters in the Ozarks. They want their voices heard on issues that impact their families. Do not take away their voices by changing the petition process.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 20				DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Of	fficials			
	I SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: TONY SMITH			PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME			TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: tpsmithster2442@gmail.	com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT E 2/10/20	DATE: 21 11:43 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.				
Initiative Petitions are a	necessary instru	ment that must be readil	y available to citiz	zens when their

Initiative Petitions are a necessary instrument that must be readily available to citizens when their elected representatives fail to enact the laws necessary to protect the lives and liberties of the citizens. The bar is set very high for this process and sufficiently restricts frivolous petitions. If that bar is raised very important initiatives, such as Medicaid expansion would be prohibitive. We must retain our rights as citizens to have a voice in our governing when our legislature refuses to act on our behalf.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 20				DA1 2/1	re: 0/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	cted Officials				
TESTIFYING:	IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR II	NFORMATIC	NAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: CHERYL HIBBETT	г		PH	ONE NUMBER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: TITLE:				
ADDRESS:					
CITY:			STA	ATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: hibbc82@gmail.co	om	ATTENDANCE: Written		SUBMIT DATE: 2/10/2021 1	1:46 AM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FORM	I IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDEI	R CHAPTE	R 610, RSMo.