

BILL NUMBER: HJR 22				DATE: <b>2/10/2021</b>
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	ted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED LO	OBBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: BJ TANKSLEY			PHONE NUME 573-893-1	
REPRESENTING: MISSOURI FARM E	BUREAU		TITLE:	
ADDRESS: 701 SOUTH COUN	TY CLUB DRIVE			
CITY: JEFFERSON CITY			STATE: <b>MO</b>	ZIP: 65109
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:	SUBMIT [ 2/10/20	DATE: 12:00 AM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 22				DATE: <b>2/10/2021</b>	
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elect	ed Officials				
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES	
		WITNESS NAME			
REGISTERED LO	BBYIST:				
WITNESS NAME: CASEY WASSER			PHONE NUME 573-291-9		
REPRESENTING: MISSOURI SOYBEA	REPRESENTING: TITLE: TITLE:				
ADDRESS: 734 SOUTH COUNT	RY CLUB DRIVE				
CITY: JEFFERSON CITY			STATE: <b>MO</b>	ZIP: 65109	
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:	SUBMIT [ 2/10/20	DATE: D21 12:00 AM	
THE INFORMAT	ION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.	



BILL NUMBER: HJR 22				DATE: <b>2/10/2021</b>
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elections	cted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
BUSINESS/ORG	ANIZATION:			
WITNESS NAME: JAMES BERBERIC	ж		PHONE NUME 314-517-5	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIC	N NAME: NTY REPUBLICAN CE	NTRAL COMMITTEE	TITLE: CHAIR	
ADDRESS: 2840 EAST SPRIN	GVIEW DRIVE			
CITY: IMPERIAL			STATE: <b>MO</b>	ZIP: 63052
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:	SUBMIT I 2/10/20	DATE: D21 12:00 AM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 22				DATE: <b>2/10/2021</b>	
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elections	cted Officials				
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES	
		WITNESS NAME			
REGISTERED LO	OBBYIST:				
WITNESS NAME: JAMES HARRIS			PHONE NUME 573-761-7		
REPRESENTING: OPPORTUNITY SC	REPRESENTING: TITLE:   OPPORTUNITY SOLUTIONS PROJECT TITLE:				
ADDRESS: 122 EAST HIGH ST	<b>FREET, SUITE 200</b>				
CITY: JEFFERSON CITY			STATE: <b>MO</b>	ZIP: 65101	
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:	SUBMIT [ 2/10/20	DATE: D21 12:00 AM	
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.	



BILL NUMBER: HJR 22			DATE: <b>2/10/2021</b>		
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials					
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES		
	WITNESS NAME				
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION:					
WITNESS NAME: MIKE DEERING		PHONE NUME 57349991			
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: MISSOURI CATTLEMEN'S ASSOCIATIO	BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: MISSOURI CATTLEMEN'S ASSOCIATION				
ADDRESS: 2306 BLUFF CREEK DRIVE					
CITY: COLUMBIA		STATE: MO	ZIP: 65201		
EMAIL: mike@mocattle.com	ATTENDANCE: In-Person	SUBMIT [ 2/10/20	DATE: D21 12:18 PM		
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.		



BILL NUMBER: HJR 22			DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials		•	
<b>TESTIFYING:</b> IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
		PHONE NUMB	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: alice.woodward.barber@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 2/10/20	DATE: 21 8:13 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
My name is Alice Barber and I have been collect signatures has given me an oppor neighbors are able to have their voices h day—for example, at a well-attended wee ten or twelve signatures in an hour. Most for the Clean Missouri Amendment, I stor hands went numb inside my gloves. Loo	rtunity to get involved in eard, too. Gathering sign kend event in good weat days are not as good. O od outside the local DMV	state politics and atures is not easy her—I might only nce, when I was o in the snow for th	to make sure my y. On a good be able to collect collecting signatures hree hours, until my

nands went numb inside my gloves. I got about fifteen signatures. The ballot initiative process is already difficult. Volunteers spend long hours, often in adverse weather conditions, collecting ten or twenty signatures at a time, and they are not always able to get enough. When an issue does make it to the ballot, it can still be voted down. Whether the initiative succeeds or fails depends on the will of the people—because ballot initiatives are direct democracy in action. We cannot afford to make such an important tool of democracy less effective. I respectfully encourage you to vote no on this issue. We need the ballot initiative process to stay as it is so that the people can still have a fair say in our government.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 22			DATE: <b>2/10/2021</b>		
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials					
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES		
	WITNESS NAME				
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION:					
WITNESS NAME: AMY BLOUIN		PHONE NUMB 314-518-88			
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: MISSOURI BUDGET PROJECT		TITLE: PRESIDEN	NT & CEO		
ADDRESS: 1 CAMPBELL PLAZA, SUITE 101-BUILDIN	G A				
CITY: SAINT LOUIS		STATE: MO	ZIP: 63139		
EMAIL: ablouin@mobudget.org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 2/10/20	DATE: 21 11:46 AM		
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo. TESTIMONY OPPOSING HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTIONS 2, 5, 9, 14, 15, 20, 22, 25, 26 & 27Amy Blouin, President & CEOMissouri Budget Project 314-518-8867ablouin@mobudget.org The Missouri Budget Project opposes House Joint Resolutions 2, 5, 9, 14, 15, 20, 22, 25, 26 and 27. The measures would limit the ability of Missourians to participate in the democratic process by making it more difficult to use the initiative petition process. For more than a century, Missouri's constitution has guaranteed Missourians the ability to advance petitions directly to the voters for consideration. The process has been used by conservatives and progressives alike, and we may not always agree on specific election results. For instance, the Missouri Budget Project believes that the Hancock amendment and other restrictions in the constitution around tax policy have tied the hands of lawmakers and limited our ability to invest in critical services and infrastructure. However, we respect the will of the people and support the ability of Missourians to fully engage in the process and advance the issues they prioritize. The measures under committee consideration would make it more difficult for Missourians to engage in this fundamental process. Some of the bills would require significant increases in signature collections for petitions to reach the ballot and some would raise the threshold of votes needed to approve ballot measures, but all would infringe on the ability of Missourians to advance policy change. We encourage you to oppose these measures.					



BILL NUMBER: HJR 22			DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials			
<b>TESTIFYING:</b> IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		IATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: ARNIE "HONEST-ABE" DIENOFF-STATE	PUBLIC ADVOCATE	PHONE NUM	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: ArnieDienoff@Yahoo.Com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 2/10/2	DATE: 021 10:40 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECORI	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
I am Opposed to this Bill!This Bill Silenc	-	-	

Intension of Our State Constitution. This is a bad Bill and Squashes Citizens with Great Ideas to Make our State Better through a State Constitutional Amendment.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 22				DATE: <b>2/10/2021</b>
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elections	cted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: BARBARA ## BEI	ER		PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	DN NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: bbeier4@gmail.co	m	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT I 2/10/20	DATE: D21 12:18 AM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FORI	<b>W IS PUBLIC RECOR</b>	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
have met people f	rom many backgrounds	al Saline County and a h s who share the feeling t	hat their voices d	o not matter in

have met people from many backgrounds who share the feeling that their voices do not matter in government. The authors of the Missouri Constitution wisely included the ballot initiative process to give Missouri's citizens the chance to make those voices heard and many of those initiatives have been validated by the vote at election time. Working my paying job full time, I have also collected more than 2,000 signatures volunteering over the past four years, about 10 per hour, but they add up. It has not been easy for a normally shy person, but It has been a privilege to meet people wanting to be listened to and have a chance to make a difference and have a say in their own future.



INFORMATION HONE NUMBER:	ONAL PURPOSES
HONE NUMBER:	ONAL PURPOSES
ITLE:	
STATE:	ZIP:
SUBMIT DATE 2/10/2021	11:21 AM
ER CHAPT	ER 610, RSMo.
ollected mor action of the p in the sun, First and Sec ing days bein ave some ide to see your ne that win n ri. The most the proposals use the task voted in favo urians have s not warrant y O vote is a vo	total 120,000 the wind, the cond ng rejected by ea what this is win number. A umber multiplied densely being heard
	2/10/2021 <b>ER CHAPT</b> gbermuehle, on process. ollected mor- action of the p in the sun, First and Sec- ing days bein ave some ide to see your- ne that win n ri. The most he proposals use the task voted in favo- urians have so not warrant yo O vote is a vo



		Γ.	
BILL NUMBER: HJR 22			DATE: <b>2/10/2021</b>
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials			
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	▼ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORMAT	IONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED LOBBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: CARL BEARDEN		PHONE NUMBEF 314-560-459	
REPRESENTING: UNITED FOR MISSOURI			ED FOR MISSOURI
ADDRESS: PO BOX 2193		I	
CITY: JEFFERSON CITY		STATE: <b>MO</b>	ZIP: 65102
EMAIL: carl@unitedformissouri.org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DAT 2/9/2021	re:
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM			
adopt amendments to the Constitution re simple majority to call for a state Constitu- resulting in only 15% of the people makin Assembly, such as many of the subject H up the requirement to 60% or 66.7% (2/3's approving amendments.Not so much.If the to 60%, using the SOS' example turn-out f turn-out) of the voters would be approvin is needed to adopt an amendment would making that decision for all of us.These n an amendment that is the problem and, al efforts!BOTTOM LINE: There is no valid ju that can be replaced with a simple majorit There is a lot of emotional turmoil within a the initiative petition process. There are " some of the ideas in HB333. But none of the accomplish.None of the needed improver readily available to those with money, as percentage by which a measure must pas run a campaign.Most Missourians do not proposals are intended to make it harder oppose attempts by the Missouri Legislat government?", 63.8% of the respondents constitution is often compared with the U Primarily, and especially lately, that comp US Constitution. State constitutions should which all government, both Federal and lo is indeed a lot of things in our state consti Clean Missouri, which was recently amen the General Assembly's inaction. This factor	utional Convention. The SO og changes to our Constitu JRs, would raise the requi s). Surely those levels wou he requirement to adopt an number of 30%, a whoppin g amendments to our Con- result in an additional 5% numbers tell us that it's not though well intended to be ustification to raising the re- ty vote or expanding the nather the legislative bubble that improvements" that can be the "improvements" require nents make the IP process do many proposals to "fix" as to be successful can be share this bubble turmoil. for citizens to place measure ture to make it more difficu- said they would be oppos as constitution and its require to an the use with how big ald be larger than the US C local, are created and supp	S has often used tion. Proposals in rement to adopt a ld be a significan amendment were og increase of 3% stitution.A require (20% of the 30% t the percentage r e significant, are n equirement to am umber of Congres something should e made to the IP p e a constitutional unusable by Mis the IP process.E addressed with e When asked, "Kr ures on the ballot the for citizens to r ed to those meas uirements on how our state constitution as state osedly to which ballot	a 30% turn out a the General an amendment to t increase in those t to be increased (18% of the 30% ement that 66.7% curn-out) of voters equired to adopt merely "feel good" tend a constitution ssional Districts! d be done to "fix" process, including amendment to souri citizens but Even increasing the enough funds to nowing that these d o you support or redress their ures. Our state v to amend it. ution is versus the tes are the hub by poth answer!There

Amendments from 1910 through 2020. Included is an analysis of the much-discussed 2020 IP submittals. The top half of the datasheet clearly shows that the IP process accounts for very few Constitutional amendments placed on the ballot, much less than those that pass. For example, since 1910. Constitutional Amendments placed on the ballot proposed by the IP process account for less than 23% of all proposed amendments. And of those offered by the IP process, almost 60% failed! Contrast Constitutional Amendments proposed by the General Assembly accounted for over 77% of all amendments with a 53.91% passage rate. In 2020 there were 148 initiative petitions submitted to the SOS. Three people accounted for over 53% of those submittals. Only NONE of those 76 submittals made it to the ballot. The 148 isn't a vast number compared to the past cycle and includes the fact many were minor variations of the same proposal. The alleged problem can be addressed in several ways, none of which require a Constitutional Amendment.But that's not the real story on the IP process. Of those 148 submittals, only 104 were approved for circulation. Eighty-seven of those were proposed Constitutional Amendments. And of those 104 (87 CA), 1 made it on the ballot. The 1 Constitutional Amendment represented less than 1% (0.68%) of the number submitted. We hear about the General Assembly's vetting process. The vetting process is accomplished in the General Assembly, but it is hardly a guarantee that the proposals are correctly written. Every year, there are one or more bills or amendments offered to correct bills adopted in past sessions because the vetting process failed to catch the errors. I reiterate that there are ways to address some of the administrative issues with IP's short of all but eliminate the process and be done without a Constitutional Amendment.Suppose the General Assembly wishes to eliminate the use of the IP process by citizens. In that case, it should propose an amendment to do so instead of proposing measures that result in the backdoor elimination.Constitutional Amendment Submitted to Missouri Voter, 1910 – 2020 Submitted by the People through InitiativeApproved Submitted by the General Assembly 131 28Approval Rate 53.91% 40.60% Defeated 112 41Defeated Rate 46.09% 59.40% **Total Measures** Fourteen (20,29%) of all ballot initiatives passed 243 69? with >60%? Fourteen (20.29%) of all ballot initiatives passed with <60%? Sixty (24.69%) of General Assembly proposals passed with >60%? Seventy-one (29.22%) of General Assembly proposals passed with < 60%? Nine (13.04%) of all ballot initiatives passed with >2/3's? Nineteen (27.54%) of all ballot initiatives passed with <2/3's? Thirty-seven (15.22%) General Assembly proposals passed with >2/3's? Ninety-four (38.68%) General Assembly proposals passed with < 2/3's\*Source: http://www.libertytools.org/BillTracking/MO-Ballot-History.php and SOS.MO.GOV 2020 Initiative Petition Cycle **ConstitutionalInitiatives Submitted (1)** All 148 \*\*Initiatives Approved (2) 104 Initiatives on Ballot (3) 87 1 1 % of Submitted 0.68% %% of Approved to Circulate 0.96% 1.15%(1) https://www.sos.mo.gov/default.aspx? PageID=9517\*\*information not broken out on website(2) https:// https://www.sos.mo.gov/petitions/2020IPcirculation(3)

https://www.sos.mo.gov/elections/petitions/2020BallotMeasures



BILL NUMBER: HJR 22				DAT <b>2/1</b>	E: <b>0/2021</b>
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	ted Officials				
TESTIFYING:	IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION	TO FOR IN	IFORMATIO	NAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: CARLY LANGLOIS	6		PHO	NE NUMBER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	N NAME:		TITL	E:	
ADDRESS:					
CITY:			STA	TE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: cj.langlois.cl@gma	ail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written		SUBMIT DATE: 2/10/2021 1	1:35 AM
THE INFORMAT	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC REC		<b>CHAPTE</b>	R 610, RSMo.
important to me th contribute to legisl social media bubb meaningful and eff an easy task. There	anglois. I've voluntee at this vital democrati lation that matters to r les distort our differer fective dialogues for n e have been some day e or negative. I've bee	c process remain in   ne has been crucial f ices, real life convers ne. Asking for a stran ⁄s where signature ga	blace. The oppo to my civic engr sations on the s ger's signature athering for me	ortunity to d agement. In street have p on a ballot results in c	irectly an era where proven to be initiative is not lose to no

asking for a moment of time. On better days and on more friendly corners, I've collected, at most, 15 signatures during a 4 hour shift. This is taxing and time consuming work. These proposed changes will make this work even more difficult for Missourians to remain involved in the legislative process. The work of our representatives should always be to bolster the will of the voters and a 'yes' vote is a vote to make it harder for the citizens of Missouri to exercise their rights. Please vote 'no' on these proposals.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 22				DATE: <b>2/10/2021</b>
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elections	cted Officials		•	
TESTIFYING:	IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: COLLINS F CHET	WIN		PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	DN NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			I	
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: cfchetwin@gmail.	com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 2/10/20	DATE: 21 1:50 PM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
have spent most of talking with neigh Getting an issue p them on the ballot enough for me to Springfield, waitin The location was p by every five or si I got maybe thirty tiny amount comp	of my time on campaign bors about the issues assed is difficult work in the first place.I've of understand the enorming for voters to exit so a pretty quiet for most of x minutes, most would signatures by the end ared to the thousands	volunteered or worked on ns after the signatures ar and working hard to conv even after the enormous only gathered signatures ty of the undertaking. I sign could ask for a moment the day, as it wasn't a bi politely decline. I stood of it. Honestly I was prett we needed. But when I g ed. Signature gathering is	e already gathere vince them they sl s number of signator for a petition once tood outside an el of their time. It was g election year. O out there all day in y disappointed, the ot back to the offi	d, when we are hould vote our way. itures required to get e, but that was lementary school in as cold and windy. ne voter would come n the biting wind and hinking that was a ice to turn in my
tiny gain was work but it's necessary being considered	h celebration.Gatherin for us to have the vibr today would make the	g signatures for an initia ant, participatory democi process harder to the po	tive petition is a h racy that we deser int of being impos	uge undertaking, rve. The proposals ssible. A healthy

society depends on empowered voters, and raising the signature requirements or vote margin for passage does just the opposite. Those moves punish citizens for the use of the process we have to undergo to get our voices heard. A yes vote on this legislation is a radical move to undermine voters and consolidate power in state government. Legislation should never have the goal of repressing citizen involvement, but it is clear that these bills would do just that. I implore you to vote "no" on this bill.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 22				DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	cted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: FRANCES FARAH	I		PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: franjac100@aol.co	om	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT [ 2/9/202	DATE: 111:06 PM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
change the MO Ci	tizens Initiative proces	enate. Please read my te s. Let me say right away ess to the legislative proc	that I am very pro	oud of the fact that

citizen's initiative amendment process. If Missourians feel that the legislature has not responded to an issue in a manner satisfactory to the people of MO we have the opportunity to draft a citizen's petition to amend the MO Constitution and submit it to the Secretary of State's office for his approval regarding the process, and the language of the petition. Once the Secretary of State's office reviews the language and makes whatever necessary amendments to the language, and the timing of the due dates of the petition for signature gathering completions, the petition signature gathering begins in earnest . These petitions must be signed by 150,000 to 300,000 signatories to insure that the number of signatures required by the Secretary of State is satisfied. All Signatures must be notarized by an authorized notary in the State of Missouri as determined by the Secretary of State. I have worked in campaigns that succeeded with enough amendment signatures to make it to the ballot in MO, for Voters to approve the issue, and sign it into law. Some of these are CLEAN MO, and RAISING THE MINIMUM WAGE. I unsuccessfully fought against the adoption of Amendment 3 which was submitted to the Nov.3, 2020 ballot by only a majority of the Republican legislature. No tedious and multitudinous signature gathering was necessary to put Amendment 3 on the ballot adopted by the legislature. With Amendment 3, the legislature used their extreme privilege to override the will of 62 % of Missourians, and override Clean MO, by just a majority vote in the Legislature, after we had gathered over 300,000 signatures to adopt Clean MO and successfully send it to the ballot, where it was adopted by 62% of Missourians. The Legislature's Amendment 3 overrode the will of the people, without any signature gathering requirements. They do not need more legislated power to overthrow the will of the citizens of MO. We petitioners stood in the rain, in the heat of the summer sun, and the cold of the winter, and in social gatherings, and at grocery stores, and in festivals, and picnics, in parties and on street corners, and at parades and any place where people gathered to gather over 300,000 signatures. We wanted to make sure that our petition hit the ballot in 2018. We worked as volunteers, long and so hard, and we had to make sure that the signatures were good and that the signers were legitimate voters. We spent over a year to gather signatures for CLEAN MO, and to raise the minimum wage and to expand medicaid. We worked hard and played by the rules if the Secretary of State. We worked as citizens to get these citizens' petitions on the ballots for all Missourians to vote for, or against. In-spite of all the difficulties we continued to get those signatures to make sure we had a chance at the ballot box. Remember all Missourians can express their preferences as citizens in this process. All parties regardless of political persuasions. Now you want to make this process even more onerous and unfair than it is already. Missouri is our state and we are its citizens and we resent the effort in the Missouri legislature to make it even more difficult, than it already is to exercise our rights, as MISSOURI

CITIZENS. This is a matter of whether this Missouri Legislature wishes to keep the Democratic process open to its citizens, or close it off, as is the way of dictators.Consequently, I oppose the changes to the citizens', petition process. Open it up. Don't close us out. Vote these repressive bills down, and do the right thing. Represent the citizens of MO, not yourselves Respectfully submitted,Frances E. FarahFranjac100@aol.com816-516-585811324 Greenwood Rd. Kansas City, MO64134



BILL NUMBER: HJR 22				DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected	ed Officials			
TESTIFYING:	IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		IATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: GENE DAVISON			PHONE NUM	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION	NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			·	
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: gdliberal@aol.com		ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 2/10/2	DATE: 021 11:58 AM
THE INFORMAT	ION ON THIS FORM	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	APTER 610, RSMo.
Hello.My name is G	ene Davison and I live	in Springfield.I rememb	er the first time I	got to vote in an

election. At that time, voting age was 21 years old and I remember the pride I felt being able to walk into a voting booth and cast my ballot. To be honest, I don't have any remembrance of what that first vote was for, and it doesn't really matter. I got to vote. I had participated in the democratic process. That mattered. It still matters. Since I first voted at age 21, I have never missed a local, state, or national election for which I had standing to participate within the voting jurisdiction. The pride I felt in voting, in participating, has led me to being a more active participant in the democratic process. I have worked on campaigns for both candidates and issues, and through that work have met some amazing people. appreciate the work of elected officials, but more than that, I appreciate the fact that a person in Missouri does not have to be an elected official to have a voice in legislative matters. Many people have run unsuccessfully for an elected office, and despite losing have remained active in the political arena. Through the initiative process, all Missourians, not just legislators, have a direct voice in determining what issues our fellow citizens may choose to codify. For that reason, I have worked on signature collection for numerous initiative petitions and have signed petitions for others on issues they worked on, even those which I did not support. Why would I sign a petition for something I opposed? Because I believe in democracy and the right of the people to be heard, to petition for redress, and to let the people decide. Sometimes I was on the winning side, sometimes not.But the point is that initiative petitions are good. It is not easy to gather enough signatures to get on a ballot, and it would do a great disservice to make it harder.Each time I went out to gather signatures, I never knew how successful I would be. It's long hours, sometimes tedious, but always rewarding. It's not easy standing out in the cold of winter or the heat of summer, particularly on a person in their 70's as I am. I have experienced negative comments but also thoughtful discussions on the issues. I have welcomed those discussions because they show that we can find common ground and respect each other even when we have different positions. That's the best of America, and Missouri.What's not best for our state is to restrict the initiative process. As I said, it's not easy to get an initiative on the ballot. Most fail. Why make it harder?Every voter has a voice, and those voices are not always heard by our legislative body. Any change that makes an initiative petition harder to get on the ballot is an attack on the voice of the voters. The choice of who will represent you in the legislative body is important, but no less so than the right to make your own choice on issues that the legislative body fails to address. That is what the initiative petition is: a choice.To take away that choice by making it harder is an attack on democracy. That is a radical position to take, and one that is ratified by making initiative petitions more difficult.Missouri is the Show-Me state. Show me, and all of our citizens, that you respect our voices, and our right to petition, by voting NO on any and all legislation that would take away our voices, and our rights. I respectfully ask that you vote NO. Thank you.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 22			NTE: 10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials		•	
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO □	FOR INFORMATIO	ONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION:			
WITNESS NAME: JACOB HUMMEL		PHONE NUMBER: 573-634-2115	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: MISSOURI AFL-CIO		TITLE: PRESIDENT	
ADDRESS: 131 E. HIGH STREET STE. 100		·	
CITY: JEFFERSON CITY		STATE: <b>MO</b>	ZIP: 65101
EMAIL: jakehummel@moaflcio.org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DATE: 2/9/2021 12	
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM	I IS PUBLIC RECORD U	NDER CHAPTI	ER 610, RSMo.
Missouri House of RepresentativeElection ShaulHonorable Representatives of the Co Missourians, opposes any legistation that necessary for initiative petitions or consti- additional cost to the process makes it ha is another attempt to silence our fellow Mi wisdom, built multiple ways the people of government accountable. And finally they when necessary, the people could govern acting as intermediaries. We respectfully	ommittee, The Missouri AFL would increase the signatu tutional amendments. Incre- rder for Missourians to exer ssourians in the legislative our state could act as a che gave we, the people, the in themselves directly, withou	-CIO, the voice of ire threshold to the asing the filing fercise their rights. process. Our four eck on politicians nitiative petition put the necessity of	working ne vote margin ee or adding We believe this inders, in their and hold their process;so that f politicians



BILL NUMBER: HJR 22			DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials		ŀ	
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	■ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: JAHNAVI DELMONICO		PHONE NUMB	ER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: jahnavidelmonico@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 2/10/20	ATE: 21 2:15 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM	IS PUBLIC RECORD U	NDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
Hello, my name is Jahnavi Delmonico and ballot initiatives, both successful and uns perseverance required to put ballot initiat justification to increase that burden. Ballot to us as citizens and constituents. No bal widespread support from Missourians. I a spent hours collecting signatures in incle	successful. I know first-hand tives to a vote of the people ot initiatives represent the p llot measure has ever reache	I that the effor is enormous a urest form of o ed the voting k ss the politica	t, coordination, and as-is. I can find no democracy available booth without

disagree, direct voting brings the will of the people to bear on political proceedings that are often exclusionary. So, with our pockets full of pens and tissue paper to wipe our running noses on frigid mornings, we hit the streets time and again to have those conversations and raise our voices, together.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 22			DATE: <b>2/10/2021</b>
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials			
<b>TESTIFYING:</b> IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: JENNY		PHONE NUME	ER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: Jennymihalesmith@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT E 2/10/20	ATE: 21 11:32 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORI			,
My name is Jenny Smith and I was a volu bar to succeed was very high but with the			

bar to succeed was very high but with the help of hundreds of volunteers devoting thousands of hours throughout the state, we exercised our rights as citizens of the State of Missouri. This bill was largely supported as was the Initiative petition to expand Medicaid and permit Medical Marijuana. These initiatives by citizens were necessary because of the ineptness of our Missouri legislature to act. Raising the bar for citizens to submit initiative petitions erodes the voice of the people and our democracy. Please recall that when John Ashcroft was our Governor he vetoed a bill similar to this bill before our legislators today. It was wrong to suppress the will of the citizens then as it is today.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 22			DATE: <b>2/10/2021</b>
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials			
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: JOHN SAXTON		PHONE NUMB	ER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: Classiccarguy69@yahoo.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 2/10/20	ATE: 21 10:32 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM			
February 10, 2021To Chairman Dan Shaul			
Saxton. I ran for the Missouri legislature a Republican Central Committee to support			
Sen. John McCain's presidential run in Br	anson (near my other hoi	me) because of h	is work to stand up
for everyday Americans. That's also why I			
signatures outside my local library. It was young. I could only gather signatures occ			
they would sign, sometimes they wouldn't	t do it clearly, so it would	n't count. But the	at's finethese are
the same rights we have had as Missouria			
That is why I am dismayed that this propo voices heard. It's our patriotic right to pet			
our great country's federal constitution. 1			
takes thousands and thousands of red-blo	ooded, hardworking citize	ens like me to ge	t something on the
ballot, and hundreds of thousands of peo And as you know, it doesn't always succe			
the People" have to work much harder. T			
rights. We will not stand for this. I stand w			
the people's power of initiative but Gover			

the people's power of initiative, but Governor Ashcroft stopped it. He was right to stand up for his constituents, as I hope you will today. Please vote "no" on this proposal. Sincerely, John SaxtonSt. Charles Park RangerFormer Republican Nominee for LegislatureProcess for submitting testimony



BILL NUMBER: HJR 22			DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials			
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT O	F IN OPPOSITION		RMATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: LISA ANN WILLIAMS		PHONE NU	JMBER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: Iwilliams2720@aol.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBM 2/10/	IT DATE: /2021 11:58 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FO	ORM IS PUBLIC REC	ORD UNDER CH	APTER 610, RSMo.
My name is Lisa Williams and I have c years. Some of these campaigns, such such as capping payday interest rates rewarding every time. I believe it is an citizens to decide for themselves whic vote on. Over the years, while collect	h as raising the minimu s, were not successful. F example of democracy ch issues matter most to	m wage, have been Regardless, I have f at its best: citizens o them and will be c	successful. Others, found the process very engaging with fellow on the ballot for all to
neighbors about important issues and sign a petition because they are so cy ordinary citizens to get anything done people will nonetheless thank me for I conversations with individuals who w measure, but still would thank me for approaching total strangers and askin	I the democratic proces nical about the state of a. But I am always surpri being out there trying to ould not sign a petition giving my time to engag	s. I have met peopl our democracy tod ised at how many o make a difference because they disag ge others on that is	e who would not even lay and the chance for of those very same . I have even had greed with the sue.I'm an introvert so

sign a petition because they are so cynical about the state of our democracy today and the chance for ordinary citizens to get anything done. But I am always surprised at how many of those very same people will nonetheless thank me for being out there trying to make a difference. I have even had conversations with individuals who would not sign a petition because they disagreed with the measure, but still would thank me for giving my time to engage others on that issue.I'm an introvert so approaching total strangers and asking for a minute of their time to discuss what I know may be a controversial subject does not come easily. I have collected signatures week after week in all kinds of weather from broiling hot July to bitterly cold February. We must always collect many more signatures than required because, despite our best efforts, invariably, some of the signatures cannot be used because they are illegible or invalid for other reasons. The proposals being considered today would make that process even more difficult, if not impossible, and are unacceptable. Raising the number of signatures required or the vote margin for passage are blatant attempts to stifle citizens' direct participation in our democracy. Governor John Ashcroft vetoed an earlier attempt by Democrats to pass such a bill saying it would "repress citizen involvement." I respectfully encourage you to vote "no" on these current proposals which would do the same.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 22			DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials			
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		IATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED LOBBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: M'EVIE MEAD		PHONE NUM 31460476	
REPRESENTING: PLANNED PARENTHOOD ADVOCATES II	N MISSOURI	-	OR OF PLANNED HOOD ADVOCATES
ADDRESS: 4251 FOREST PARK AVE			
CITY: SAINT LOUIS		STATE: <b>MO</b>	ZIP: 63108
EMAIL: mevie.mead@ppmissouri.org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 2/10/2	DATE: 021 11:04 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM	I IS PUBLIC RECORD	UNDER CHA	APTER 610, RSMo.
Wednesday, February 10, 2021To: He M'Evie MeadPlanned Parent mevie.mead@ppmissouri.org Testimony submission systemPlanned Parenthood A additional, unnecessary burdens on Miss process to qualify a measure on the ballo requiring hundreds of volunteers to devo directly petition one another for changes measures are an attack on our history an used the process, oftentimes using it tog require the signatures of between 200,000 refer items to the ballot with a simple maj rights to directly petition one another with places issues on the ballots. They are abo pandemic without the protections of COV	in opposition to HJR 22S dovocates in Missouri op ourians' right to directly p t through initiative petition to our laws and constitute d constitution.Missourian ether to overcome legislan of and 350,000 Missourian ority vote of politicians. A nout reviewing the process out silencing voters, not e	buri Bubmitted via Ho poses HJR 22 b petition one and son is already ext ssourians have tion for nearly 1 as of all political tive roadblocks s while the Legi All of these prop ss through whic empowering us.	ouse testimony ecause it imposes other. The current tremely difficult had the right to 50 years. These persuasions have a. Initiative petitions salature can simply posals attack citizens' th the legislature In the midst of a

pandemic without the protections of COVID voting options, lawmakers should focus on making votion more accessible rather than pursuing unnecessary, expensive and unconstitutional burdens on the right to vote in Missouri.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 22				DATE: <b>2/10/202</b>	1
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected C	Officials				
	N SUPPORT OF	▼ IN OPPOSITION TO		ORMATIONAL I	PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: MARGIE RICHCREEK			PHONE	NUMBER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAM	E:		TITLE:		
ADDRESS:			I		
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:	
EMAIL: mrichcreek43@gmail.co	om	ATTENDANCE: Written	SU 2/	BMIT DATE: 10/2021 3:51 PI	м
THE INFORMATION	ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECO	RD UNDER (	CHAPTER 61	0, RSMo.
My name is Margie Rich interested in and partic legislatures, and Congr important part of citizer have gathered signature It was a commitment to expanding Medicaid for commitment meant star	ipating with gove ess. The initiativ nship - for electe es on initiative pe improving situation thousands falling nding outside a va	rnment at every level fr e petition process affec d officials as well as th titions promoting legis ions such as unfair inte g through the gaps in o ariety of places in a var	om school boa cts people on a e folks who vo lation of conce rest rates on p our health care iety of weather	ards, city cound II these levels Ite.Through the ern and importa bay day loans o system. That r conditions. T	cils, state and is an 9 years, l ance to me. or 'hat

commitment also meant talking with a variety of people - a way of educating voters about the initiative petition process as well as the issue. Good citizenship is something we learn by participating - obeying laws, understanding how our government works, voting. The initiative petition process has been in place in Missouri's constitution for over a century and it should remain intact. Raising the signature requirements or vote margin for passage have nothing to do with empowering voters but are about punishing us for understanding the process.Please do not pass this measure, changing the initiative petition process and restricting Missouri's citizens, out of committee.



BILL NUMBER:		DAT	
HJR 22		2/1	0/2021
Elections and Elected Officials			
<b>TESTIFYING:</b> IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		NAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION:			
WITNESS NAME: MELISSA VATTEROTT		PHONE NUMBER: 3145810561	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: MISSOURI COALITION FOR THE ENVIRO	DNMENT	FOOD AND FA	RM POLICY
ADDRESS: 3115 S. GRAND BLVD., SUITE 650			
CITY: ST. LOUIS		STATE: <b>MO</b>	ZIP: 63139
EMAIL: mvatterott@moenviron.org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DATE: 2/10/2021 1	0:03 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHAPTE	R 610, RSMo.
of Representatives201 W Capitol Ave., R Members of the Committee, The Missour nonprofit organization that works to emp House Joint Resolution No. 22 seeks to of that would make it harder for Missourian respectfully ask that you oppose HJR 22 requires initiative petitions to be signed if the petition is proposing a constitution proposing a law. This modifies the curre percentage of voters in two-thirds of the projects that this will increase the numb significantly more difficult for Missourian constitutional amendments proposed by majority, to go into effect, unless previou because it undermines the independence petition process outlined in Article III Set Section 50 of the Missouri Constitution, wh against the well-being of their constituer designed to give Missourians the right to corrupt politicians. Any attempt by politi importance.Once again, the Missouri Co order to preserve the constitutional right without interference from politicians who	ri Coalition for the Environ bower Missourians to pro- weaken this power by pro- is to participate in the der 2. The constitutional amer by 8% of legal voters in e- nal amendment and 5% of nt requirement that petiti- congressional districts. er of required signatures ns to make their voices h- y petitions to receive a two usly approved by the Gen e of the initiative petition ction 49 and the signature which HJR 22 seeks to ar adopted in 1945. The orig ich placed legislative resi- nts. In short, these section o independently engage in cians to weaken this righ alition for the Environme	nment is a statewide, tect their environment oposing a constitution mocratic process. For adment outlined in HJ each congressional dis f such voters if the per- ons be signed by the a The official fiscal anal by 40%, which would eard. In addition, HJR o-thirds vote, rather the reral Assembly. This is process. The independent e requirements outline mend, have been unto ins of Section 50 go e traints on elected offici ns, as currently writte n the legislative proces	advocacy t and health. al amendment this reason, we R 22 specifically strict in Missouri tition is aforementioned ysis of HJR 22 make it 22 requires han a simple s problematic dence of the ed in Article III uched since the ven further back cials who acted n, were



BILL NUMBER: HJR 22				DATE: <b>2/10/2021</b>
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	cted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
	OBBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: MICHAEL BERG			PHONE NUME 314-644-1	
REPRESENTING: SIERRA CLUB MIS	SSOURI CHAPTER			LUB MISSOURI POLITICAL R
ADDRESS: 2818 SUTTON BL\	/D			
CITY: SAINT LOUIS			STATE: MO	ZIP: 63139
EMAIL: Michael.Berg@sie	rraclub.org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT [ 2/10/20	DATE: 121 3:24 PM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECORD	UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
right to directly pe is an attack on ou	etition one another for or r history and constituti	s to the Initiative petition changes to our laws and o on. Initiative petitions req	onstitution for n uire the signatur	early 150 years. This res of between

200,000 and 350,000 Missourians while the legislature can simply refer items to the ballot with a simple majority vote of politicians. This is no easy feat as is. This filed bill attacks citizens' rights to directly petition one another without reviewing the process through which the legislature places issues on the ballots. This bill is about silencing voters, not empowering us.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 22				DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elections	cted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		IATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: PADRAIC MCGRA	тн		PHONE NUM	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: padraicmc@gmai	l.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 2/10/2	DATE: 021 10:59 AM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	APTER 610, RSMo.
voters. I have pers distributed hundre not.Taking away t	sonally given a present eds of yard signs. Som he ability of the people	st 150 years to use the pe tation to a community gro etimes these initiatives a to directly bring an issue tonable. We should all wa	oup, collected 20 are successful an e to a vote that th	0 signatures, and d sometimes e legislature may not

be able or willing to address is unconscionable. We should all want people to be more involved in the political process, not less. Please do not take power away from average citizens. As a person of faith, I believe that being involved in politics is one of the ways that we can show our love for our brothers and sisters and to diminish that involvement is a direct rejection of what I am called to do by building His kingdom on earth.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 22			DATE: <b>2/10/2021</b>
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials			
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION:			
WITNESS NAME: RICHARD G VON GLAHN		PHONE NUMBI 131454020	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: MISSOURI JOBS WITH JUSTICE VOTER A	CTION	TITLE: POLICY DI	RECTOR
ADDRESS: 2725 CLIFTON			
CITY: SAINT LOUIS		STATE: <b>MO</b>	ZIP: 63139
EMAIL: richard@mojwj.org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D/ 2/9/202	ATE: 1 4:55 PM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM	I IS PUBLIC RECORI	D UNDER CHAP	PTER 610, RSMo.
My name is Richard von Glahn and I have campaign, I have enjoyed the opportunity Missouri with my neighbors. Some campa thought I would agree with and helped bui difficult. While I have gathered thousands gather about ten signatures in an hour. Off festivals. Sometimes the weather can be d who either disagreed with what I was prop The form can be frustrating and many sign have to gather more. It is not easy. There h campaign to cap the interest rate on payda that I was not successful in. Hundreds of h is a risk with the current process in Misson being considered today would remove tha signature requirements or vote margin for about punishing us for our use of the proc attempted to constrain the process, then C would "repress citizen involvement". That would be the result if these bills passed. I	provided by the initiativ igns I have supported h Id community with my n of signatures over the y tentimes I gather outsid lifficult and I have had m osing or who simply did natures are illegible or junave been campaigns I h ay loans, or a proposal t nours I poured into an is uri, one that has existed t risk and instead make passage have nothing for cess. Legislators tried th Governor John Ashcroft should never be the go	e process to talk ave introduced m leighbors. Every of ears, I would say e museums, stree by fair share of rej In't have the time ust incorrectly do have worked on, in o allow for early v sue only to see it for over a centur the process impo to do with empower is once before. B correctly vetoed al of legislation, b	about issues facing e to people I never campaign has been on average I can et corners or local ection from people to engage with me. ne. It means we ncluding a voting in Missouri, not succeed. That y. The proposals ossible. Raising the ering voters, but are ut when Democrats the bill saying it



BILL NUMBER: HJR 22				DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elections	cted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED LO	OBBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: RON BERRY			PHONE NUME 660-537-2	
REPRESENTING: JOBS WITH JUSTI	ICE VOTER ACTION		TITLE:	
ADDRESS: PO BOX 722				
CITY: JEFFERSON CITY			STATE: MO	ZIP: 65102
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:	SUBMIT [ 2/10/20	DATE: 12:00 AM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 22			DATE: <b>2/10/2021</b>
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials			
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	▼ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: SARAH STARNES		PHONE NUMB	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: starwal@aol.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 2/10/20	DATE: 21 9:30 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM	I IS PUBLIC RECORD	UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
volunteer. I've also notarized the signature exceedingly difficult to obtain 200,000-350 MUCH more challenging than a limited nue enough everyday citizens doing the work to essentially petition our government thrignored by those in power, regardless of vinitiatives win, sometimes they lose. That HARDER, TO ENGAGE VOTERS IN THE P STATE. PLEASE VOTE "NO" ON ALL OF LIKELY BE CHARACTERIZED BY FORMER INVOLVEMENT, based on his statement at be the most meaningful and direct way for beyond voting, which does not necessaril gatherers must inform the voters signing read the ballot language—which is facilitat understandable.Signature gatherers and p We do not talk about party affiliation where for me, an introvert, but, as with other thir out of my comfort zone and initiate conver parade, the sidewalk in a shopping area, a locationmy faith in humanity is often ress are decent people motivated by kindness, the conversation. (Which is not to say that to not take it personally). The voters who windy, or chill me out when I'm sweating i on a good day. Please make it as easy as a sacred and participatory right which we Constitution.I RESPECTFULLY ENCOURA	0,000 signatures, as required imber of lawmakers puttine over a sufficient period of rough the ballot box, where which political party is in the 's the chance we must take ROCESS OF CONTINUOU THESE BALLOT INITIATIVE R GOVEROR JOHN ASHC bout a similar prior effort. In voters to engage in the of ly require an understandine a petition what it is they a atted when that is concise petition signers can be affine n gathering signatures. Tangs, when it is for a worth ersation. When people are a park during a festival, me stored. Most Missouri vote , even when they do not a at some people aren't rude are polite can warm my he in the heat and sun, gather possible for citizens to err are, very fortunately, gua AGE YOU TO VOTE "NO" of dically changing our state	red of ordinary of og something on f time, we can po- n our needs and the majority. So ce.WE MUST MA USLY MAKING TH /E BILLS. THES ROFT AS REPR I find the ballot in democratic proc- ng of any public are signing and I , straightforward filiated with any silking to strange while cause I an willing to talk— ore than 25 feet ers want to be c gree with my pa e and grouchy, b eart, even when ering maybe 10 s ngage in the ball ranteed by the Mo-	citizenswhich is the ballotwith etition one another preferences are metimes our ballot KE IT EASIER, NOT HIS A BETTER E BILLS WOULD ESSING CITIZEN initiative process to cess, above and policy. Signature encourage them to d, and party or no party. rs is not that easy n motivated to step on the street at a away from a polling ivically engaged and rticular purpose for out I am usually able it's bitterly cold and signatures an hour, ot initiative process, Missouri would put up



BILL NUMBER: HJR 22				DATE: <b>2/10/2021</b>
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elections	cted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: SHELLEY SWOYE	R		PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: sswoyer2002@ya	hoo.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT I 2/10/20	DATE: 021 12:04 PM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
over the years, an	d I can assure you it is	vorked on several differer not an easy process. I ha un, snow, and rain. When	ave stood outside	e in temperatures

will be treated with rudeness or respect. I might be challenged or engaged in good conversation. Gathering signatures is a slow process and average s about 10 per hour. So why, if signature gathering can be so difficult do I continue to believe in and engage in the process? First of all, Missouri has a rich history of allowing its citizens to directly petition for changes to our laws and constitution. It's exciting to be part of the process allowing us as citizens to make our voices heard. Missourians of both parties have used the process. One of the most rewarding parts of the process is seeing how the electorate votes. When a ballot initiative wins by 50-60% of the vote, that means the issue is important to both Democrats and Republicans. It means that Missourians can often agree on an issue that matters regardless of politics. I also work on these drives because I strongly believe in the issues, and I know that those who will benefit most from them are not those in our communities who have the loudest voice. They have no lobbyists and do not usually engage in the process of contacting legislators. Not all of the drives have been successful yet raising the issues also educates the community. Although I have occasionally had an unpleasant encounter with someone, the vast majority of people are interested when you approach them to sign a petition. Many stop to visit and even if they don't sign the petition at time, they think about it and read about the issue to decide later.

This bill would make what is already a difficult process almost impossible. The number of signatures required is already a high bar. Please do not cause this important tool of our democracy and our Missouri history be destroyed. Please vote NO on changing the initiative petition process. Thank you.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 22			DATE: <b>2/10/2021</b>
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials			
TESTIFYING:	▼ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORMA	TIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: STEPHANIE A. CLARKE		PHONE NUMBE	ER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: morag.clarke@icloud.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DA 2/10/202	ATE: 21 11:14 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM	I IS PUBLIC RECORD	UNDER CHAP	PTER 610. RSMo.
My name is Stephanie Clarke, I am a resid bringing up their children (my grandchildr on Missouri being a diverse state with a th state is healthy and open.The Missouri leg reasons recently, and our reputation as a is deterring investment by corporations co of the pandemic. This is detrimental to the volunteer gathering signatures for Medica legislature should have done on its own in buy the affordability arguments.Many thou and the measure passed with a large majo with the citizens of our state.While the sig me to discuss the topic with many individ the reaction was very positive, sometimes and sometimes there was polite disagreer	ren) here. I have a keen int nriving economy and a per gislature has been in the ir state which does not prom onsidering moving their of a future of my family.With t aid Expansion which shoul nstead of taking an overtly usands of volunteer hours ority, indicating that the leg nature gathering was one uals in Joplin and the surr	terest in their fut rception that der nternational new note the interest perations here a this in mind, I wa Id have been son / hostile political s were spent gat gislature was ind rous, it provideo rounding area.M	ture which depends mocracy in this vs for all the wrong vs of ALL of citizens of the dislocation as an active mething the I stance.I did not hering signatures deed out of touch an opportunity for ore often than not,

National discourse around voter suppression is very disturbing and I am very concerned that the Missouri legislature as currently constituted may take actions to make it harder for Missouri citizens to vote, or have their voices heard in other ways such as ballot measures. I feel that the proposals in this bill will disempower voters and I cannot understand what is motivating it. Every act by our representatives in Jefferson City should be about making it EASIER for voters to express their needs, and this bill would only make that harder. I implore you to vote NO against this bill. Kind regards, Stephanie Clarke.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 22				DATE: <b>2/10/2021</b>
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	ted Officials		Į	
TESTIFYING:	IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: STEPHEN DAVEY			PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	N NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			I	
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: srdavey@charter.r	net	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT E 2/9/202	DATE: 111:15 PM
THE INFORMAT	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
many of you do, to signatures for a ba government, and I asked them to sigr sign. That's OK—t about. And it's wh ancestors fought f currently guarante Lincoln's words: " the people, by the viewed for what it	bo. I have personally example to allot initiative because stood up for those value in a petition. It wasn't we that's their freedom, to be the freedom to petitive or the freedom to petitive this nation, under God people, and for the pe- is: an attack on our free inch. It is already incr	d Members of the Commi xercised the freedom to p I believe in a fiscally con lues. I stood outside duri very successful. Most of too. The ability to exercise uld be fighting for. Here i tion our government. It is beautiful example of our d, shall have a new birth c ople shall not perish from eedom. Our legislature shall redibly difficult, slow, har	vetition my goverr servatives state v ng a festival. As y them just kept wa e our own rights is n Missouri, we are not easy, but it is first Republican F of freedom–and th n the earth." This hould not be tryin d work for concer	ament. I gathered with small beople walked by, I alking, or declined to s what America is all e fortunate that our a freedom we are President Abraham at government of proposal must be g to take away our ned citizens like

attempt, too. Years ago, I voted for Ron Paul because he stood up for liberty. As his son Senator Rand Paul says, "Freedom is popular. Bring it on." Those are wise words. I respectfully urge you to vote "no" on this proposal. Thank you, Steve Davey 844 Kiefer Trails DrBallwin, MO 630210wner, Big Step Marketing



BILL NUMBER: HJR 22			DATE: <b>2/10/2021</b>
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials			
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: SUSAN GIBSON		PHONE NUM	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: Onesuegibson@protonmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT I 2/10/20	DATE: D21 9:37 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM	I IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
I oppose any legislation that would make	it harder for Missourian	s to access direc	t democracy in this

state and bypass the legislature when it refuses to follow the will of voters. I also oppose efforts for the legislature to hoard control over ballot language, bypassing the courts. .



BILL NUMBER: HJR 22			DATE: <b>2/10/2021</b>
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials			
<b>TESTIFYING:</b> IN SUPPORT OF	▼ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: SUSAN KETURAH SCHMALZBAUER		PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: sschmalzbauer001@luthersem.edu	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 2/10/20	DATE: 121 11:45 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FOR	RM IS PUBLIC RECORD	UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
My name is Susan Schmalzbauer, I am a on numerous ballot initiatives in Missou privilege talking to thousands of Missou healthcare, a living wage, and our demo voters in communities for over one hund	ri. Through the gathering o irians about issues that imp cracy. Missourians have ha	f these signatur pact our families d the right to di ırrent bill is an a	res, I have had the s: access to rectly petition fellow attack on both our

history and our constitution. Petitioning is not easy work. Each initiative requires between 200,000 and 350,000 Missouri voters' signatures, while all that is needed in the legislature is a simple majority to get an issue on the ballot. This process is important to our democracy and is used by Missourians of all political viewpoints. All the proposals up for review attack Missouri citizens' right to directly engage in the democratic process. These proposals will harm the citizens of our state. I have spoken to many voters in the Ozarks. They want their voices heard on issues that impact their families. Do not take away their voices by changing the petition process.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 22			DATE: <b>2/10/2021</b>
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials			
<b>TESTIFYING:</b> IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: TONY SMITH		PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: tpsmithster2442@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT E 2/10/20	DATE: 11:43 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FOR	RM IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
Initiative Petitions are a necessary instru	ument that must be readil	y available to citiz	zens when their

Initiative Petitions are a necessary instrument that must be readily available to citizens when their elected representatives fail to enact the laws necessary to protect the lives and liberties of the citizens. The bar is set very high for this process and sufficiently restricts frivolous petitions. If that bar is raised very important initiatives, such as Medicaid expansion would be prohibitive. We must retain our rights as citizens to have a voice in our governing when our legislature refuses to act on our behalf.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 22				DA1 <b>2/1</b>	re: <b>0/2021</b>
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elections	cted Officials				
TESTIFYING:	IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR II	NFORMATIC	NAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: CHERYL HIBBETT	-		PH	ONE NUMBER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	DN NAME:		TIT	LE:	
ADDRESS:					
CITY:			STA	ATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: hibbc82@gmail.co	om	ATTENDANCE: Written		SUBMIT DATE: 2/10/2021 1	1:46 AM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FORM	I IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDE	R CHAPTE	R 610, RSMo.