

BILL NUMBER: HJR 2				DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elections	cted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED LO	OBBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: BJ TANKSLEY			PHONE NUME 573-893-1	
REPRESENTING: MISSOURI FARM E	BUREAU		TITLE:	
ADDRESS: 701 SOUTH COUN	TRY CLUB DRIVE			
CITY: JEFFERSON CITY			STATE: MO	ZIP: 65010
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:	SUBMIT [2/10/20	DATE: 12:00 AM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 2				DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elect	ed Officials			
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED LO	BBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: CASEY WASSER			PHONE NUME 573-291-9	
REPRESENTING: MISSOURI SOYBEA	AN ASSOCIATION		TITLE:	
ADDRESS: 734 SOUTH COUNT	RY CLUB DRIVE		· · · ·	
CITY: JEFFERSON CITY			STATE: MO	ZIP: 65109
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:	SUBMIT [2/10/20	DATE: D21 12:00 AM
THE INFORMAT	ION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 2				DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elections	cted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
BUSINESS/ORG	ANIZATION:			
WITNESS NAME: JAMES BERBERIG	СН		PHONE NUME 314-517-5	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	NN NAME: NTY REPUBLICAN CE	NTRAL COMMITTEE	TITLE: CHAIR	
ADDRESS: 2840 EAST SPRIN	GVIEW DRIVE		· · · ·	
CITY: IMPERIAL			STATE: MO	ZIP: 63052
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:	SUBMIT [2/10/20	DATE: 12:00 AM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 2				DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elections	cted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED LO	OBBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: JAMES HARRIS			PHONE NUME 573-761-7	
REPRESENTING: TITLE: TITLE:				
ADDRESS: 122 EAST HIGH ST	FREET, SUITE 200			
CITY: JEFFERSON CITY			STATE: MO	ZIP: 65101
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:	SUBMIT [2/10/20	DATE: D21 12:00 AM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 2			DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials			
TESTIFYING: VIN SUPPORT	FOF IN OPPOSITION T		ATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION:			
WITNESS NAME: MIKE DEERING		PHONE NUME 57349991	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: TITLE: EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT			
ADDRESS: 2306 BLUFF CREEK DRIVE			
CITY: COLUMBIA		STATE: MO	ZIP: 65201
EMAIL: mike@mocattle.com	ATTENDANCE: In-Person	SUBMIT I 2/10/20	DATE: D21 12:18 PM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS	FORM IS PUBLIC RECO	ORD UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 2				DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	ted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF			ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED LO	OBBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: PHONE NUMBER: SAMANTHA DAVIS 573-893-4181				
REPRESENTING: TITLE: MISSOURI CORN GROWERS ASSOCIATION DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC POLICY POLICY				
ADDRESS: 3118 EMERALD LA	ANE			
CITY: JEFFERSON CITY			STATE: MO	ZIP: 65109
EMAIL: sdavis@mocorn.o	rg	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D. 2/10/202	ATE: 21 9:40 AM
THE INFORMAT	TION ON THIS FORM	IS PUBLIC RECORD U	JNDER CHAR	PTER 610, RSMo.
		supports policies to require e an initiative petition may b		

congressional districts in the state before an initiative petition may be placed on the ballot. The current system allows IP's to go on the ballot without any outreach to more rural areas of the state.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 2			DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials			
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	► IN OPPOSITION TO		IATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
		PHONE NUM	IBER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: TITLE:			
ADDRESS:		I	
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: alice.woodward.barber@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 2/10/2	DATE: 021 8:13 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FO	RM IS PUBLIC RECOR		APTER 610, RSMo.
My name is Alice Barber and I have bee collect signatures has given me an opp neighbors are able to have their voices day—for example, at a well-attended we ten or twelve signatures in an hour. Mo for the Clean Missouri Amendment, I st hands went numb inside my gloves. I o	bortunity to get involved in heard, too. Gathering sig eekend event in good wea est days are not as good. C tood outside the local DM\	a state politics an natures is not eas ther—I might onl Dnce, when I was / in the snow for	d to make sure my sy. On a good y be able to collect collecting signatures three hours, until my

hands went numb inside my gloves. I got about fifteen signatures. The ballot initiative process is already difficult. Volunteers spend long hours, often in adverse weather conditions, collecting ten or twenty signatures at a time, and they are not always able to get enough. When an issue does make it to the ballot, it can still be voted down. Whether the initiative succeeds or fails depends on the will of the people—because ballot initiatives are direct democracy in action. We cannot afford to make such an important tool of democracy less effective. I respectfully encourage you to vote no on this issue. We need the ballot initiative process to stay as it is so that the people can still have a fair say in our government.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 2			DATE: 2/10/2021	
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials				
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES	
	WITNESS NAME			
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION:				
WITNESS NAME: AMY BLOUIN		PHONE NUMB 314-518-88		
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: MISSOURI BUDGET PROJECT		TITLE: PRESIDEN	IT & CEO	
ADDRESS: 1 CAMPBELL PLAZA, SUITE 101-BUILDIN	G A			
CITY: SAINT LOUIS		STATE: MO	ZIP: 63139	
EMAIL: ablouin@mobudget.org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D. 2/10/202	ATE: 21 11:46 AM	
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM				
TESTIMONY OPPOSING HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTIONS 2, 5, 9, 14, 15, 20, 22, 25, 26 & 27Amy Blouin, President & CEOMissouri Budget Project 314-518-8867ablouin@mobudget.org The Missouri Budget Project opposes House Joint Resolutions 2, 5, 9, 14, 15, 20, 22, 25, 26 and 27. The measures would limit the ability of Missourians to participate in the democratic process by making it more difficult to use the initiative petition process. For more than a century, Missouri's constitution has guaranteed Missourians the ability to advance petitions directly to the voters for consideration. The process has been used by conservatives and progressives alike, and we may not always agree on specific election results. For instance, the Missouri Budget Project believes that the Hancock amendment and other restrictions in the constitution around tax policy have tied the hands of lawmakers and limited our ability to invest in critical services and infrastructure. However, we respect the will of the people and support the ability of Missourians to fully engage in the process and advance the issues they prioritize. The measures under committee consideration would make it more difficult for Missourians to engage in this fundamental process. Some of the bills would require significant increases in signature collections for petitions to reach the ballot and some would raise the threshold of votes needed to approve ballot measures, but all would infringe on the ability of Missourians to advance policy change. We encourage you to oppose these measures.				



BILL NUMBER: HJR 2				DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Electe	ed Officials			
TESTIFYING:	IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		IATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: ARNIE "HONEST-AE	BE" DIENOFF-STATE	PUBLIC ADVOCATE	PHONE NUM	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION	NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: ArnieDienoff@Yaho	o.Com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 2/10/20	DATE: D21 10:40 AM
THE INFORMATI	ON ON THIS FORI	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
I am Opposed to this Bill!This Bill Silences Missourians by Disenfranching Voters and Defeats the Intension of Our State Constitution. This is a had Bill and Squashos Citizens with Great Ideas to Make				

Intension of Our State Constitution. This is a bad Bill and Squashes Citizens with Great Ideas to Make our State Better through a State Constitutional Amendment.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 2			DATE: 2/10/2021	
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials				
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES	
	WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: BARBARA ## BEIER		PHONE NUMB	BER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:		
ADDRESS:				
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:	
EMAIL: bbeier4@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 2/10/20	DATE: 121 12:18 AM	
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.				
As a life long Missouri resident with roots in rural Saline County and a home in Jackson County, I have met Missourians from all walks of life who share the feeling that their voices do not matter in				

met Missourians from all walks of life who share the feeling that their voices do not matter in government. Over the past four years, I have gathered more than 2,000 signatures for a variety of issues, one signature at a time, filling a page of ten in about an hour. I also work a full time paying job. The authors of the Missouri constitution wisely included the ballot initiative to give citizens a voice. It has been a privilege to help voters realize that, in Missouri, they can have a say in their own future, and later get that chance at the ballot box.As



BILL NUMBER: HJR 2			DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials			
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	▼ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: BRIAN WINGBERMUEHLE		PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: brian.winger@outlook.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT I 2/10/20	DATE: D21 11:21 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FO	RM IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
Thank you for the opportunity to subm I've worked to help advance issues I be the campaigns I worked on was Medica signatures to help get the initiative on the signatures that were collected by the la snow, and the rain, to speak to strange Congressional Districts. We waited on strangers, hoping for a few good conve- like, think back to your campaigns. It p Missouri State Senate District has an a by 34 for all 34 Senate Districts. To win populated neighborhoods in St Louis to today would make the ballot initiative p monumental one. The measure is retail marijuana, ethics reform, medicaid exp be at ideological odds with some of yo their right to have a direct voice in gover Missourians. Lurge you to yote NO too	elieve in through the initiat aid expansion. a team of 7 the ballot. This number is of arger campaign. Everyday ers on street corners all accu- metro platforms for hours ersations. I think you all pr robably felt like a monume verage of 164,000 resident the entirety of the State of the most lonely country process prohibitively difficu- iatory to the millions of vot pansion, and so much more u on this committee but th ernment through this proc	ive petition proce people collected i only a fraction of a we got up in the s ross the First and on freezing days obably have some ental task to see y as. Imagine that wi f Missouri. The more roads. The proposi ult, because the ta ters who voted in e. Missourians has at does not warra ess. A NO vote is	ss. In 2019 one of more than 5,500 the total 120,000 sun, the wind, the Second being rejected by e idea what this is our win number. A in number multiplied ost densely sals being heard ask is already a favor of medical ve spoken. They may int you taking away a vote to listen to
	ernment through this proc lay. Disclosure: While I am	ess. A NO vote is a registered lobb	a vote to listen to ovist for NARAL, I



BILL NUMBER: HJR 2			DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials			•
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT	OF IN OPPOSITION T		IATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED LOBBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: CARL BEARDEN		PHONE NUM 314-560-4	
REPRESENTING: UNITED FOR MISSOURI		TITLE:	ITED FOR MISSOURI
ADDRESS: PO BOX 2193			
CITY: JEFFERSON CITY		STATE: MO	ZIP: 65102
EMAIL: carl@unitedformissouri.org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT	DATE: 21 8:37 PM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS F			
resulting in only 15% of the people r Assembly, such as many of the subj up the requirement to 60% or 66.7% approving amendments.Not so much to 60%, using the SOS' example turn turn-out) of the voters would be app is needed to adopt an amendment w making that decision for all of us.Th an amendment that is the problem a efforts!BOTTOM LINE: There is no v that can be replaced with a simple m There is a lot of emotional turmoil w the initiative petition process. There some of the ideas in HB333. But non accomplish.None of the needed imp readily available to those with mone percentage by which a measure mus run a campaign.Most Missourians de proposals are intended to make it ha oppose attempts by the Missouri Le government?", 63.8% of the respond constitution is often compared with Primarily, and especially lately, that US Constitution. State constitutions which all government, both Federal is indeed a lot of things in our state Clean Missouri, which was recently	ject HJRs, would raise the (2/3's). Surely those levels ch. If the requirement to ado n-out number of 30%, a wh proving amendments to our vould result in an additiona- nese numbers tell us that it and, although well intended valid justification to raising najority vote or expanding vithin the legislative bubble are "improvements" that of ne of the "improvements" that of eases to be successful cases to provements make the IP pro- ey, as do many proposals to st pass to be successful cases to not share this bubble tur- arder for citizens to place re egislature to make it more of dents said they would be of the US Constitution and it	requirement to adop would be a signific pt an amendment w opping increase of 3 r Constitution.A require 1 5% (20% of the 30% s not the percentag to be significant, and the requirement to a the number of Cong that something sho can be made to the I require a constitution ocess unusable by N o "fix" the IP process an be addressed with moil. When asked, " neasures on the bal difficult for citizens t	ot an amendment to ant increase in those ere to be increased 3% (18% of the 30% uirement that 66.7% % turn-out) of voters e required to adopt re merely "feel good" amend a constitution pressional Districts! buld be done to "fix" P process, including nal amendment to dissouri citizens but s.Even increasing the h enough funds to "Knowing that these lot do you support or o redress their

Amendments from 1910 through 2020. Included is an analysis of the much-discussed 2020 IP submittals. The top half of the datasheet clearly shows that the IP process accounts for very few Constitutional amendments placed on the ballot, much less than those that pass. For example, since 1910. Constitutional Amendments placed on the ballot proposed by the IP process account for less than 23% of all proposed amendments. And of those offered by the IP process, almost 60% failed! Contrast Constitutional Amendments proposed by the General Assembly accounted for over 77% of all amendments with a 53.91% passage rate. In 2020 there were 148 initiative petitions submitted to the SOS. Three people accounted for over 53% of those submittals. Only NONE of those 76 submittals made it to the ballot. The 148 isn't a vast number compared to the past cycle and includes the fact many were minor variations of the same proposal. The alleged problem can be addressed in several ways, none of which require a Constitutional Amendment.But that's not the real story on the IP process. Of those 148 submittals, only 104 were approved for circulation. Eighty-seven of those were proposed Constitutional Amendments. And of those 104 (87 CA), 1 made it on the ballot. The 1 Constitutional Amendment represented less than 1% (0.68%) of the number submitted. We hear about the General Assembly's vetting process. The vetting process is accomplished in the General Assembly, but it is hardly a guarantee that the proposals are correctly written. Every year, there are one or more bills or amendments offered to correct bills adopted in past sessions because the vetting process failed to catch the errors. I reiterate that there are ways to address some of the administrative issues with IP's short of all but eliminate the process and be done without a Constitutional Amendment.Suppose the General Assembly wishes to eliminate the use of the IP process by citizens. In that case, it should propose an amendment to do so instead of proposing measures that result in the backdoor elimination.Constitutional Amendment Submitted to Missouri Voter, 1910 – 2020 Submitted by the People through InitiativeApproved Submitted by the General Assembly 131 28Approval Rate 53.91% 40.60% Defeated 112 41Defeated Rate 46.09% 59.40% **Total Measures** Fourteen (20,29%) of all ballot initiatives passed 243 69? with >60%? Fourteen (20.29%) of all ballot initiatives passed with <60%? Sixty (24.69%) of General Assembly proposals passed with >60%? Seventy-one (29.22%) of General Assembly proposals passed with < 60%? Nine (13.04%) of all ballot initiatives passed with >2/3's? Nineteen (27.54%) of all ballot initiatives passed with <2/3's? Thirty-seven (15.22%) General Assembly proposals passed with >2/3's? Ninety-four (38.68%) General Assembly proposals passed with < 2/3's*Source: http://www.libertytools.org/BillTracking/MO-Ballot-History.php and SOS.MO.GOV 2020 Initiative Petition Cycle **ConstitutionalInitiatives Submitted (1)** All 148 **Initiatives Approved (2) 104 Initiatives on Ballot (3) 87 1 1 % of Submitted 0.68% %% of Approved to Circulate 0.96% 1.15%(1) https://www.sos.mo.gov/default.aspx? PageID=9517**information not broken out on website(2) https:// https://www.sos.mo.gov/petitions/2020IPcirculation(3)

https://www.sos.mo.gov/elections/petitions/2020BallotMeasures



BILL NUMBER: HJR 2				DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected O	fficials			
	N SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: CARLY LANGLOIS			PHONE NUM	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAMI	Ξ:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: cj.langlois.cl@gmail.co	n	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT I 2/10/20	DATE: 021 11:35 AM
THE INFORMATION	ON THIS FOR	I IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
important to me that thi contribute to legislation social media bubbles di meaningful and effectiv an easy task. There hav	s vital democratic that matters to m stort our difference e dialogues for m e been some days	ed on signature gatherin process remain in place le has been crucial to my ces, real life conversatio e. Asking for a stranger's s where signature gather belittled and berated no	e. The opportunity y civic engageme ns on the street h s signature on a h ring for me result	y to directly nt. In an era where have proven to be ballot initiative is not s in close to no

asking for a moment of time. On better days and on more friendly corners, I've collected, at most, 15 signatures during a 4 hour shift. This is taxing and time consuming work. These proposed changes will make this work even more difficult for Missourians to remain involved in the legislative process. The work of our representatives should always be to bolster the will of the voters and a 'yes' vote is a vote to make it harder for the citizens of Missouri to exercise their rights. Please vote 'no' on these proposals.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 2				DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elections	cted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		IATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: CHERYL HIBBET	r		PHONE NUM	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: hibbc82@gmail.co	om	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 2/10/20	DATE: 021 11:46 AM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
issue to the ballot this state legislatu Over the past four	t through initiative peti- ure to act in favor of lai r years, l've spent hund	se the amount of effort p tion. As a citizen, I am ind ge donors and ignore th Ireds of hours and used use I want our governme	creasingly discou e will of the major personal funds to	raged by efforts of rity of Missourians. collect signatures

this state legislature to act in favor of large donors and ignore the will of the majority of Missourians. Over the past four years, I've spent hundreds of hours and used personal funds to collect signatures for two initiative petitions. I do this because I want our government to be more fair and equitable to all Missourians. It breaks my heart to watch many of our state legislators, who are supposed to represent the people of Missouri, do everything they can to invalidate the will of the people. Please support real democracy and reject this proposal to make personal participation in the process of governance even more difficult.Sincerely,Dr. Cheryl HibbettRetired Educator1015 Moreau Dr. Jefferson City, MO 65101



BILL NUMBER: HJR 2			DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials			
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: COLLINS F CHETWIN		PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: cfchetwin@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT I 2/10/20	DATE: D21 1:50 PM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM	I IS PUBLIC RECORI	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
My name is Collins Chetwin, and I have we have spent most of my time on campaign talking with neighbors about the issues a Getting an issue passed is difficult work, them on the ballot in the first place.I've or enough for me to understand the enormit Springfield, waiting for voters to exit so I The location was pretty quiet for most of by every five or six minutes, most would I got maybe thirty signatures by the end of tiny amount compared to the thousands we signatures, the organizers were overjoyed tiny gain was worth celebration.Gathering but it's necessary for us to have the vibra being considered today would make the p	s after the signatures are nd working hard to conv even after the enormous nly gathered signatures f y of the undertaking. I st could ask for a moment the day, as it wasn't a big politely decline. I stood o of it. Honestly I was prett ve needed. But when I ge d. Signature gathering is g signatures for an initiat nt, participatory democr	a already gathere ince them they s number of signa- for a petition onc ood outside an e of their time. It w g election year. O but there all day i y disappointed, t ot back to the off a slow, incremen- ive petition is a h acy that we dese	ed, when we are hould vote our way. atures required to get e, but that was lementary school in as cold and windy. The voter would come n the biting wind and hinking that was a ice to turn in my ntal game, and every nuge undertaking, rve. The proposals

society depends on empowered voters, and raising the signature requirements or vote margin for passage does just the opposite. Those moves punish citizens for the use of the process we have to undergo to get our voices heard. A yes vote on this legislation is a radical move to undermine voters and consolidate power in state government. Legislation should never have the goal of repressing citizen involvement, but it is clear that these bills would do just that. I implore you to vote "no" on this bill.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 2				DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected	Officials			
	IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: FRANCES FARAH			PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NA	AME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: franjac100@aol.com		ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT [2/9/202	DATE: 21 11:06 PM
THE INFORMATIO	N ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
change the MO Citize	ns Initiative process	nate. Please read my tes s. Let me say right away ess to the legislative proc	that I am very pro	oud of the fact that

citizen's initiative amendment process. If Missourians feel that the legislature has not responded to an issue in a manner satisfactory to the people of MO we have the opportunity to draft a citizen's petition to amend the MO Constitution and submit it to the Secretary of State's office for his approval regarding the process, and the language of the petition. Once the Secretary of State's office reviews the language and makes whatever necessary amendments to the language, and the timing of the due dates of the petition for signature gathering completions, the petition signature gathering begins in earnest . These petitions must be signed by 150,000 to 300,000 signatories to insure that the number of signatures required by the Secretary of State is satisfied. All Signatures must be notarized by an authorized notary in the State of Missouri as determined by the Secretary of State. I have worked in campaigns that succeeded with enough amendment signatures to make it to the ballot in MO, for Voters to approve the issue, and sign it into law. Some of these are CLEAN MO, and RAISING THE MINIMUM WAGE. I unsuccessfully fought against the adoption of Amendment 3 which was submitted to the Nov.3, 2020 ballot by only a majority of the Republican legislature. No tedious and multitudinous signature gathering was necessary to put Amendment 3 on the ballot adopted by the legislature. With Amendment 3, the legislature used their extreme privilege to override the will of 62 % of Missourians, and override Clean MO, by just a majority vote in the Legislature, after we had gathered over 300,000 signatures to adopt Clean MO and successfully send it to the ballot, where it was adopted by 62% of Missourians. The Legislature's Amendment 3 overrode the will of the people, without any signature gathering requirements. They do not need more legislated power to overthrow the will of the citizens of MO. We petitioners stood in the rain, in the heat of the summer sun, and the cold of the winter, and in social gatherings, and at grocery stores, and in festivals, and picnics, in parties and on street corners, and at parades and any place where people gathered to gather over 300,000 signatures. We wanted to make sure that our petition hit the ballot in 2018. We worked as volunteers, long and so hard, and we had to make sure that the signatures were good and that the signers were legitimate voters. We spent over a year to gather signatures for CLEAN MO, and to raise the minimum wage and to expand medicaid. We worked hard and played by the rules if the Secretary of State. We worked as citizens to get these citizens' petitions on the ballots for all Missourians to vote for, or against. In-spite of all the difficulties we continued to get those signatures to make sure we had a chance at the ballot box. Remember all Missourians can express their preferences as citizens in this process. All parties regardless of political persuasions. Now you want to make this process even more onerous and unfair than it is already. Missouri is our state and we are its citizens and we resent the effort in the Missouri legislature to make it even more difficult, than it already is to exercise our rights, as MISSOURI

CITIZENS. This is a matter of whether this Missouri Legislature wishes to keep the Democratic process open to its citizens, or close it off, as is the way of dictators.Consequently, I oppose the changes to the citizens', petition process. Open it up. Don't close us out. Vote these repressive bills down, and do the right thing. Represent the citizens of MO, not yourselves Respectfully submitted,Frances E. FarahFranjac100@aol.com816-516-585811324 Greenwood Rd. Kansas City, MO64134



BILL NUMBER: HJR 2				DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	ted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		IATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: GENE DAVISON			PHONE NUM	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	N NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			·	
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: gdliberal@aol.com		ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 2/10/2	DATE: 021 11:58 AM
THE INFORMAT	TION ON THIS FOR	IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
Hello.My name is G	Sene Davison and I live	in Springfield.I rememb	er the first time I	got to vote in an

election. At that time, voting age was 21 years old and I remember the pride I felt being able to walk into a voting booth and cast my ballot. To be honest, I don't have any remembrance of what that first vote was for, and it doesn't really matter. I got to vote. I had participated in the democratic process. That mattered. It still matters. Since I first voted at age 21, I have never missed a local, state, or national election for which I had standing to participate within the voting jurisdiction. The pride I felt in voting, in participating, has led me to being a more active participant in the democratic process. I have worked on campaigns for both candidates and issues, and through that work have met some amazing people. appreciate the work of elected officials, but more than that, I appreciate the fact that a person in Missouri does not have to be an elected official to have a voice in legislative matters. Many people have run unsuccessfully for an elected office, and despite losing have remained active in the political arena. Through the initiative process, all Missourians, not just legislators, have a direct voice in determining what issues our fellow citizens may choose to codify. For that reason, I have worked on signature collection for numerous initiative petitions and have signed petitions for others on issues they worked on, even those which I did not support. Why would I sign a petition for something I opposed? Because I believe in democracy and the right of the people to be heard, to petition for redress, and to let the people decide. Sometimes I was on the winning side, sometimes not.But the point is that initiative petitions are good. It is not easy to gather enough signatures to get on a ballot, and it would do a great disservice to make it harder.Each time I went out to gather signatures, I never knew how successful I would be. It's long hours, sometimes tedious, but always rewarding. It's not easy standing out in the cold of winter or the heat of summer, particularly on a person in their 70's as I am. I have experienced negative comments but also thoughtful discussions on the issues. I have welcomed those discussions because they show that we can find common ground and respect each other even when we have different positions. That's the best of America, and Missouri.What's not best for our state is to restrict the initiative process. As I said, it's not easy to get an initiative on the ballot. Most fail. Why make it harder?Every voter has a voice, and those voices are not always heard by our legislative body. Any change that makes an initiative petition harder to get on the ballot is an attack on the voice of the voters. The choice of who will represent you in the legislative body is important, but no less so than the right to make your own choice on issues that the legislative body fails to address. That is what the initiative petition is: a choice.To take away that choice by making it harder is an attack on democracy. That is a radical position to take, and one that is ratified by making initiative petitions more difficult.Missouri is the Show-Me state. Show me, and all of our citizens, that you respect our voices, and our right to petition, by voting NO on any and all legislation that would take away our voices, and our rights. I respectfully ask that you vote NO. Thank you.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 2			DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials		+	
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION:			
WITNESS NAME: JACOB HUMMEL		PHONE NUMB 573-634-21	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: MISSOURI AFL-CIO		TITLE: PRESIDEN	т
ADDRESS: 131 E. HIGH STREET STE. 100			
CITY: JEFFERSON CITY		STATE: MO	ZIP: 65101
EMAIL: jakehummel@moaflcio.org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 2/9/202	ATE: 1 12:03 PM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FOR	RM IS PUBLIC RECORD (JNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
Missouri House of RepresentativeElect ShaulHonorable Representatives of the Missourians, opposes any legistation th necessary for initiative petitions or con additional cost to the process makes it is another attempt to silence our fellow wisdom, built multiple ways the people government accountable. And finally th	Committee, The Missouri AFL nat would increase the signat stitutional amendments. Incre harder for Missourians to exe Missourians in the legislative of our state could act as a ch	CIO, the voic ure threshold t easing the filin ercise their righ process. Our leck on politici	e of working to the vote margin g fee or adding nts. We believe this founders, in their ans, and hold their

when necessary, the people could govern themselves directly, without the necessity of politicians acting as intermediaries. We respectfully urge a "No" vote.Jacob HummelPresidentMissouri AFL-CIO



BILL NUMBER: HJR 2			DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials			
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF		FOR INFORMA	TIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: JAHNAVI DELMONICO		PHONE NUMB	ER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: jahnavidelmonico@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D. 2/10/202	ATE: 21 2:15 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM	I IS PUBLIC RECORD U	INDER CHAI	PTER 610, RSMo.
Hello, my name is Jahnavi Delmonico and ballot initiatives, both successful and uns perseverance required to put ballot initiat justification to increase that burden. Ballo to us as citizens and constituents. No ball widespread support from Missourians. I a spent hours collecting signatures in incle	uccessful. I know first-hand ives to a vote of the people of initiatives represent the p lot measure has ever reache nd others like me from acro	d that the effor is enormous a urest form of c ed the voting b oss the politica	t, coordination, and s-is. I can find no lemocracy available ooth without I spectrum have

disagree, direct voting brings the will of the people to bear on political proceedings that are often exclusionary. So, with our pockets full of pens and tissue paper to wipe our running noses on frigid mornings, we hit the streets time and again to have those conversations and raise our voices, together.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 2			DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials			
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	▼ IN OPPOSITION TO [TIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: JENNY		PHONE NUMBE	ER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:		I	
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: Jennymihalesmith@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DA 2/10/202	ATE: 21 11:32 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM	I IS PUBLIC RECORD	UNDER CHAP	PTER 610, RSMo.
My name is Jenny Smith and I was a volu bar to succeed was very high but with the			

bar to succeed was very high but with the help of hundreds of volunteers devoting thousands of hours throughout the state, we exercised our rights as citizens of the State of Missouri. This bill was largely supported as was the Initiative petition to expand Medicaid and permit Medical Marijuana. These initiatives by citizens were necessary because of the ineptness of our Missouri legislature to act. Raising the bar for citizens to submit initiative petitions erodes the voice of the people and our democracy. Please recall that when John Ashcroft was our Governor he vetoed a bill similar to this bill before our legislators today. It was wrong to suppress the will of the citizens then as it is today.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 2			DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials			
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: JOHN SAXTON		PHONE NUMB	ER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: Classiccarguy69@yahoo.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 2/10/20	ATE: 21 10:32 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM	I IS PUBLIC RECORI	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
February 10, 2021To Chairman Dan Shaul Saxton. I ran for the Missouri legislature a Republican Central Committee to support	s the Republican nomin responsible conservativ	ee in 2016 and se ve government. I	rved on my local knocked doors for
Sen. John McCain's presidential run in Br for everyday Americans. That's also why l			
signatures outside my local library. It was	hard; I would get a few	signatures in an l	hour. I'm 70 years
young. I could only gather signatures occ they would sign, sometimes they wouldn'			
the same rights we have had as Missouria	ans for over a century, a	nd we must not ta	ke them for granted.
That is why I am dismayed that this propo voices heard. It's our patriotic right to per			
our great country's federal constitution.			
takes thousands and thousands of red-blo			
the People" have to work much harder. T			
rights. We will not stand for this. I stand w			
our great country's federal constitution. T takes thousands and thousands of red-ble ballot, and hundreds of thousands of peo And as you know, it doesn't always succe the People" have to work much harder. T	This is an attack on our I boded, hardworking citiz ple all over the stateru ed. The legislature can his is an attack on all Mi	neritage and right tens like me to ge ral, urban, and su just put somethir ssourians, trying	is as Missourians. It of something on the burbanto sign it. ng on the ballot. "We, to take away our

the people's power of initiative, but Governor Ashcroft stopped it. He was right to stand up for his constituents, as I hope you will today. Please vote "no" on this proposal. Sincerely, John SaxtonSt. Charles Park RangerFormer Republican Nominee for LegislatureProcess for submitting testimony



BILL NUMBER: HJR 2			DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials			
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO □	FOR INFORMA	TIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: LISA ANN WILLIAMS		PHONE NUMBE	R:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: Iwilliams2720@aol.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DA 2/10/202	NTE: 21 11:58 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECORD U	NDER CHAF	PTER 610, RSMo.
My name is Lisa Williams and I have colle years. Some of these campaigns, such as such as capping payday interest rates, w	s raising the minimum wage,	have been su	ccessful. Others,
rewarding every time. I believe it is an exa citizens to decide for themselves which is vote on. Over the years, while collecting neighbors about important issues and the sign a petition because they are so cynic	ample of democracy at its be ssues matter most to them an signatures I have had many e democratic process. I have	st: citizens en nd will be on t wonderful con met people w	gaging with fellow he ballot for all to versations with my ho would not even

conversations with individuals who would not sign a petition because they disagreed with the measure, but still would thank me for giving my time to engage others on that issue.I'm an introvert so approaching total strangers and asking for a minute of their time to discuss what I know may be a controversial subject does not come easily. I have collected signatures week after week in all kinds of weather from broiling hot July to bitterly cold February. We must always collect many more signatures than required because, despite our best efforts, invariably, some of the signatures cannot be used because they are illegible or invalid for other reasons. The proposals being considered today would make that process even more difficult, if not impossible, and are unacceptable. Raising the number of signatures required or the vote margin for passage are blatant attempts to stifle citizens' direct participation in our democracy. Governor John Ashcroft vetoed an earlier attempt by Democrats to pass such a bill saying it would "repress citizen involvement." I respectfully encourage you to vote "no" on these current proposals which would do the same.



BILL NUMBER:			DATE:
HJR 2 COMMITTEE:			2/10/2021
Elections and Elected Officials			
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		TIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED LOBBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: M'EVIE MEAD		PHONE NUMBE 314604761	
REPRESENTING: PLANNED PARENTHOOD ADVOCATES IN	I MISSOURI	-	OF PLANNED OOD ADVOCATES
ADDRESS: 4251 FOREST PARK AVE			
CITY: SAINT LOUIS		STATE: MO	ZIP: 63108
EMAIL: mevie.mead@ppmissouri.org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DA 2/10/202	^{.TE:} 1 11:04 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM	I IS PUBLIC RECORD	D UNDER CHAP	TER 610, RSMo.
Wednesday, February 10, 2021To: Ho M'Evie MeadPlanned Parenth mevie.mead@ppmissouri.org Testimony is submission systemPlanned Parenthood A additional, unnecessary burdens on Misso process to qualify a measure on the ballot requiring hundreds of volunteers to devote directly petition one another for changes to measures are an attack on our history and used the process, oftentimes using it toge require the signatures of between 200,000 refer items to the ballot with a simple major rights to directly petition one another with places issues on the ballots. They are abo pandemic without the protections of COVI more accessible rather than pursuing unn	in opposition to HJR 2Su dvocates in Missouri op ourians' right to directly through initiative petitic e thousands of hours.Mi to our laws and constitut to constitution.Missourian other to overcome legisla and 350,000 Missourian ority vote of politicians. A out reviewing the process out silencing voters, not D voting options, lawma	buri Jbmitted via House poses HJR 2 beca petition one anoth on is already extre issourians have ha tion for nearly 150 ns of all political p ative roadblocks. I us while the Legisla All of these proposes through which empowering us.In tkers should focus	e testimony iuse it imposes ier. The current mely difficult ad the right to years. These ersuasions have nitiative petitions ature can simply sals attack citizens' the legislature the midst of a s on making voting

right to vote in Missouri.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 2				DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elect	ed Officials			•
TESTIFYING:	IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		IATIONAL PURPO
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: MARGIE RICHCREE	EK		PHONE NUM	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION	I NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: mrichcreek43@gma	ail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 2/10/2	DATE: 021 3:51 PM
THE INFORMAT	ION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECO	RD UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RS
interested in and pa legislatures, and Co important part of ci have gathered sign It was a commitmer	articipating with gove ongress. The initiativ tizenship - for electe atures on initiative pe nt to improving situat d for thousands fallin	an active citizen in Kar rnment at every level fr e petition process affec ed officials as well as th etitions promoting legis ions such as unfair inte g through the gaps in c	om school boards, cts people on all th e folks who vote.T lation of concern a erest rates on pay o our health care system	city councils, sta ese levels and is a hrough the years, nd importance to lay loans or rem. That

petition process as well as the issue. Good citizenship is something we learn by participating obeying laws, understanding how our government works, voting. The initiative petition process has been in place in Missouri's constitution for over a century and it should remain intact. Raising the signature requirements or vote margin for passage have nothing to do with empowering voters but are about punishing us for understanding the process.Please do not pass this measure, changing the initiative petition process and restricting Missouri's citizens, out of committee.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 2		DA ⁻ 2/1	TE: 0/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials			
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	▼ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORMATIC	NAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION:			
WITNESS NAME: MELISSA VATTEROTT		PHONE NUMBER: 3145810561	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: MISSOURI COALITION FOR THE ENVIRO	NMENT		RM POLICY
ADDRESS: 3115 S. GRAND BLVD., SUITE 650			
CITY: ST. LOUIS		STATE: MO	ZIP: 63139
EMAIL: mvatterott@moenviron.org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DATE: 2/10/2021 1	0:03 AM
February 10, 2020 Representative Dan Sho of Representatives201 W Capitol Ave., Rm Members of the Committee, The Missouri nonprofit organization that works to empore House Joint Resolution No. 2 seeks to we that would make it harder for Missourians respectfully ask that you oppose HJR 2. T requires initiative petitions to be signed be if the petition is proposing a constitution proposing a law. This modifies the current percentage of voters in two-thirds of the of projects that this will increase the number significantly more difficult for Missourians outlined in Article III Section 50 of the Mis untouched since the current version of the section go even further back to Article IV on elected officials who acted against the 50, as currently written, was designed to g in the face of corrupt politicians. Any atter its importance.Once again, the Missouri C in order to preserve the constitutional rig without interference from politicians who time.Sincerely, Melissa Vatterott, JDFood	A. 313-1 Jefferson City, MO Coalition for the Environm over Missourians to protect aken this power by propose to participate in the demote the constitutional amendment y 8% of legal voters in each al amendment and 5% of suct trequirement that petitions congressional districts. The r of required signatures by s to make their voices hear souri Constitution, which He e Constitution was adopted of the 1875 Constitution, w well-being of their constitu- give Missourians the right to mpt by politicians to weake coalition for the Environment of Missourians to engage wish to make this process	65101 Dear Chairm ent is a statewide, t their environmen ing a constitutiona cratic process. For ent outlined in HJR n congressional dis ch voters if the per be signed by the e official fiscal anal 40%, which would d.The signature re IJR 2 seeks to ame d in 1945. The origi hich placed legisla uents. In short, Arti o engage in the leg en this right is only nt asks you to vote in the initiative per more difficult. Tha	an Shaul and advocacy t and health. I amendment this reason, we 2 specifically strict in Missouri tition is aforementioned ysis of HJR 2 make it quirements end, have been ns of this tive restraints cle III Section gislative process further proof of e "no" on HJR 2 etition process nk you for your



BILL NUMBER: HJR 2				DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elections	cted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
	OBBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: MICHAEL BERG			PHONE NUME 314-644-1	
REPRESENTING: SIERRA CLUB MIS	SSOURI CHAPTER			LUB MISSOURI R POLITICAL R
ADDRESS: 2818 SUTTON BL\	/D			
CITY: SAINT LOUIS			STATE: MO	ZIP: 63139
EMAIL: Michael.Berg@sie	rraclub.org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT I 2/10/20	DATE: 121 3:24 PM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
right to directly pe is an attack on ou	etition one another for or r history and constituti	ts to the Initiative petition changes to our laws and ion. Initiative petitions rec be legislature can simply	constitution for n quire the signatur	early 150 years. This res of between

200,000 and 350,000 Missourians while the legislature can simply refer items to the ballot with a simple majority vote of politicians. This is no easy feat as is. This filed bill attacks citizens' rights to directly petition one another without reviewing the process through which the legislature places issues on the ballots. This bill is about silencing voters, not empowering us.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 2				DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elections	cted Officials			•
TESTIFYING:	IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		IATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: PADRAIC MCGRA	ТН		PHONE NUM	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATI	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: padraicmc@gmai	l.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 2/10/20	DATE: D21 10:59 AM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
voters. I have pers distributed hundr not.Taking away t	sonally given a present eds of yard signs. Som he ability of the people	st 150 years to use the pe tation to a community gro netimes these initiatives a to directly bring an issu- ionable. We should all wa	oup, collected 200 are successful and e to a vote that th) signatures, and d sometimes e legislature may not

be able or willing to address is unconscionable. We should all want people to be more involved in the political process, not less. Please do not take power away from average citizens. As a person of faith, I believe that being involved in politics is one of the ways that we can show our love for our brothers and sisters and to diminish that involvement is a direct rejection of what I am called to do by building His kingdom on earth.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 2			DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials			
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		IONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION:			
WITNESS NAME: RICHARD G VON GLAHN		PHONE NUMBER 13145402049	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: MISSOURI JOBS WITH JUSTICE VOTER A	CTION	TITLE: POLICY DIR	ECTOR
ADDRESS: 2725 CLIFTON			
CITY: SAINT LOUIS		STATE: MO	ZIP: 63139
EMAIL: richard@mojwj.org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DAT 2/9/2021	E: 4:55 PM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM	I IS PUBLIC RECORI	D UNDER CHAPT	FER 610, RSMo.
My name is Richard von Glahn and I have campaign, I have enjoyed the opportunity Missouri with my neighbors. Some campa thought I would agree with and helped bui difficult. While I have gathered thousands gather about ten signatures in an hour. Of festivals. Sometimes the weather can be of who either disagreed with what I was prop The form can be frustrating and many sign have to gather more. It is not easy. There I campaign to cap the interest rate on payd that I was not successful in. Hundreds of I is a risk with the current process in Misso being considered today would remove that signature requirements or vote margin for about punishing us for our use of the proc attempted to constrain the process, then 0 would "repress citizen involvement". That would be the result if these bills passed. I	provided by the initiativ igns I have supported h ild community with my r of signatures over the y tentimes I gather outsid lifficult and I have had n oosing or who simply did natures are illegible or junave been campaigns I have been campaigns I hours I poured into an is uri, one that has existed trisk and instead make passage have nothing cess. Legislators tried th Governor John Ashcroft should never be the go	e process to talk at ave introduced me neighbors. Every ca years, I would say o e museums, street by fair share of reject an't have the time to ust incorrectly done have worked on, inco sue only to see it n I for over a century. the process impose to do with empower his once before. But correctly vetoed th	bout issues facing to people I never mpaign has been n average I can corners or local ction from people o engage with me. It means we cluding a ting in Missouri, iot succeed. That The proposals sible. Raising the ring voters, but are when Democrats e bill saying it



BILL NUMBER: HJR 2				DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elections	cted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED LO	OBBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: RON BERRY			PHONE NUME 660-537-2	
REPRESENTING: JOBS WITH JUSTI	ICE VOTER ACTION		TITLE:	
ADDRESS: P.O. BOX 722				
CITY: JEFFERSON CITY			STATE: MO	ZIP: 65102
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:	SUBMIT [2/10/20	DATE: D21 12:00 AM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 2			DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials		ļ	
TESTIFYING:	▼ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: SARAH STARNES		PHONE NUMB	ER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: starwal@aol.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 2/10/20	ATE: 21 9:30 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM	I IS PUBLIC RECORD	UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
volunteer. I've also notarized the signature exceedingly difficult to obtain 200,000-350 MUCH more challenging than a limited nue enough everyday citizens doing the work to essentially petition our government thrignored by those in power, regardless of vinitiatives win, sometimes they lose. That HARDER, TO ENGAGE VOTERS IN THE P STATE. PLEASE VOTE "NO" ON ALL OF LIKELY BE CHARACTERIZED BY FORMER INVOLVEMENT, based on his statement al be the most meaningful and direct way for beyond voting, which does not necessariling gatherers must inform the voters signing read the ballot language—which is facilitat understandable.Signature gatherers and p We do not talk about party affiliation where for me, an introvert, but, as with other thir out of my comfort zone and initiate converparade, the sidewalk in a shopping area, a location-my faith in humanity is often ress are decent people motivated by kindness, the conversation. (Which is not to say that to not take it personally). The voters who windy, or chill me out when I'm sweating i on a good day. Please make it as easy as a sacred and participatory right which we Constitution.I RESPECTFULLY ENCOURA	0,000 signatures, as require imber of lawmakers putting over a sufficient period of rough the ballot box, when which political party is in the 's the chance we must take ROCESS OF CONTINUOUS THESE BALLOT INITIATIVE R GOVEROR JOHN ASHCF bout a similar prior effort. I r voters to engage in the d ly require an understanding a petition what it is they are ated when that is concise, petition signers can be affin n gathering signatures. Tal- ngs, when it is for a worthwe resation. When people are we a park during a festival, mo- stored. Most Missouri vote , even when they do not ago at some people aren't rude are polite can warm my he in the heat and sun, gather possible for citizens to eng- are, very fortunately, guar AGE YOU TO VOTE "NO" of dically changing our state'	ed of ordinary of g something on time, we can pe our needs and he majority. So e.WE MUST MA SLY MAKING THE E BILLS. THES ROFT AS REPRI find the ballot i emocratic proc g of any public re signing and I straightforward liated with any king to strange while cause I an willing to talk— ore than 25 feet rs want to be ci gree with my pa e and grouchy, k eart, even when ring maybe 10 s gage in the ball anteed by the M n the bills that we	citizenswhich is the ballotwith etition one another preferences are metimes our ballot KE IT EASIER, NOT IIS A BETTER E BILLS WOULD ESSING CITIZEN nitiative process to ess, above and policy. Signature encourage them to d, and party or no party. rs is not that easy n motivated to step on the street at a away from a polling ivically engaged and rticular purpose for out I am usually able it's bitterly cold and ignatures an hour, ot initiative process, <i>A</i> issouri would put up



BILL NUMBER: HJR 2				DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elections	cted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		MATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: SHELLEY SWOYE	R		PHONE NUM	/BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: sswoyer2002@yal	hoo.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 2/10/2	DATE: 2021 12:04 PM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.				
over the years, an	d I can assure you it is	vorked on several differen not an easy process. I h un, snow, and rain. When	ave stood outsid	le in temperatures

will be treated with rudeness or respect. I might be challenged or engaged in good conversation. Gathering signatures is a slow process and average s about 10 per hour. So why, if signature gathering can be so difficult do I continue to believe in and engage in the process? First of all, Missouri has a rich history of allowing its citizens to directly petition for changes to our laws and constitution. It's exciting to be part of the process allowing us as citizens to make our voices heard. Missourians of both parties have used the process. One of the most rewarding parts of the process is seeing how the electorate votes. When a ballot initiative wins by 50-60% of the vote, that means the issue is important to both Democrats and Republicans. It means that Missourians can often agree on an issue that matters regardless of politics. I also work on these drives because I strongly believe in the issues, and I know that those who will benefit most from them are not those in our communities who have the loudest voice. They have no lobbyists and do not usually engage in the process of contacting legislators. Not all of the drives have been successful yet raising the issues also educates the community. Although I have occasionally had an unpleasant encounter with someone, the vast majority of people are interested when you approach them to sign a petition. Many stop to visit and even if they don't sign the petition at time, they think about it and read about the issue to decide later.

This bill would make what is already a difficult process almost impossible. The number of signatures required is already a high bar. Please do not cause this important tool of our democracy and our Missouri history be destroyed. Please vote NO on changing the initiative petition process. Thank you.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 2			DATE: 2/10/2021		
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials					
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES		
	WITNESS NAME				
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: STEPHANIE A. CLARKE		PHONE NUME	BER:		
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:			
ADDRESS:		ł			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:		
EMAIL: morag.clarke@icloud.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 2/10/20	DATE: 21 11:14 AM		
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM	I IS PUBLIC RECORD U	JNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.		
My name is Stephanie Clarke, I am a resid bringing up their children (my grandchild					
on Missouri being a diverse state with a tl	nriving economy and a pero	ception that de	mocracy in this		
state is healthy and open. The Missouri leg reasons recently, and our reputation as a					
is deterring investment by corporations c					
of the pandemic. This is detrimental to the					
volunteer gathering signatures for Medica legislature should have done on its own it					
buy the affordability arguments.Many tho	usands of volunteer hours	were spent ga	thering signatures		
and the measure passed with a large majority, indicating that the legislature was indeed out of touch with the citizens of our state.While the signature gathering was onerous, it provided an opportunity for					
me to discuss the topic with many individ					
the reaction was very positive, sometimes					
and sometimes there was polite disagree consuming and I lost count of how many					
despite our best efforts.l followed progres	ss of others gathering sign	atures and it w	as easy to see how		
difficult it was to cover the required geogr					
gathered, not to mention the formalities o		res were verific			

gathered, not to mention the formalities of ensuring that the signatures were verified and notarized. The national discourse around voter suppression is very disturbing and I am very concerned that the Missouri legislature as currently constituted may take actions to make it harder for Missouri citizens to vote, or have their voices heard in other ways such as ballot measures. I feel that the proposals in this bill will disempower voters and I cannot understand what is motivating it. Every act by our representatives in Jefferson City should be about making it EASIER for voters to express their needs, and this bill would only make that harder. I implore you to vote NO against this bill.Kind regards,Stephanie Clarke.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 2	DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials	+
TESTIFYING: □ IN SUPPORT OF IN OPPOSITION TO □	FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSE
WITNESS NAME	
INDIVIDUAL:	
WITNESS NAME: STEPHEN DAVEY	PHONE NUMBER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:	TITLE:
ADDRESS:	
CITY:	STATE: ZIP:
EMAIL: ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DATE: 2/9/2021 11:15 PM
February 10, 2021To Chairman Shaul and Members of the Committee many of you do, too. I have personally exercised the freedom to petit signatures for a ballot initiative because I believe in a fiscally conser- government, and I stood up for those values. I stood outside during a asked them to sign a petition. It wasn't very successful. Most of the sign. That's OK—that's their freedom, too. The ability to exercise ou about. And it's what our legislature should be fighting for. Here in M ancestors fought for the freedom to petition our government. It is not currently guaranteed in Missouri. It is a beautiful example of our first Lincoln's words: "this nation, under God, shall have a new birth of from the people, by the people, and for the people shall not perish from the	ition my government. I gathered rvatives state with small a festival. As people walked by, I em just kept walking, or declined to ur own rights is what America is a Missouri, we are fortunate that our ot easy, but it is a freedom we are st Republican President Abraham reedom–and that government of

Paul says, "Freedom is popular. Bring it on." Those are wise words. I respectfully urge you to vote "no" on this proposal. Thank you, Steve Davey 844 Kiefer Trails DrBallwin, MO 630210wner, Big Step Marketing



BILL NUMBER: HJR 2			DATE: 2/10/2021	
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials				
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES	
	WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: SUSAN GIBSON		PHONE NUME	BER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:		
ADDRESS:				
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:	
EMAIL: Onesuegibson@protonmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT E 2/10/20	DATE: 21 9:37 AM	
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.				
I oppose any legislation that would make	it harder for Missourian	s to access direct	democracy in this	

I oppose any legislation that would make it harder for Missourians to access direct democracy in this state and bypass the legislature when it refuses to follow the will of voters. I also oppose efforts for the legislature to hoard control over ballot language, bypassing the courts.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 2			DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials			
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: SUSAN KETURAH SCHMALZBAUER		PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: sschmalzbauer001@luthersem.edu	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT E 2/10/20	DATE: 121 11:45 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECORD	UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
My name is Susan Schmalzbauer, I am a on numerous ballot initiatives in Missour privilege talking to thousands of Missour healthcare, a living wage, and our democ voters in communities for over one hund	i. Through the gathering of ians about issues that impa racy. Missourians have had red and fifty years. This cu	these signatur act our families I the right to di rrent bill is an a	res, I have had the s: access to rectly petition fellow attack on both our

history and our constitution. Petitioning is not easy work. Each initiative requires between 200,000 and 350,000 Missouri voters' signatures, while all that is needed in the legislature is a simple majority to get an issue on the ballot. This process is important to our democracy and is used by Missourians of all political viewpoints. All the proposals up for review attack Missouri citizens' right to directly engage in the democratic process. These proposals will harm the citizens of our state. I have spoken to many voters in the Ozarks. They want their voices heard on issues that impact their families. Do not take away their voices by changing the petition process.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 2				DATE: 2/10/2021
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials				
		DSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS	S NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: TONY SMITH			PHONE NUMB	ER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:			TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			·	
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: tpsmithster2442@gmail.com	ATTENDANG Written	CE:	SUBMIT D 2/10/20	ATE: 21 11:43 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.				
Initiative Petitions are a necessary instrument that must be readily available to citizens when their				

Initiative Petitions are a necessary instrument that must be readily available to citizens when their elected representatives fail to enact the laws necessary to protect the lives and liberties of the citizens. The bar is set very high for this process and sufficiently restricts frivolous petitions. If that bar is raised very important initiatives, such as Medicaid expansion would be prohibitive. We must retain our rights as citizens to have a voice in our governing when our legislature refuses to act on our behalf.