

BILL NUMBER: SCR 4				DATE: 5/5/2021	
COMMITTEE: General Laws					
TESTIFYING:	☑ IN SUPPORT OF	☐ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOS	ES
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: ARNIE C. "HONES	ST-ABE" DIENOFF-STAT	E PUBLIC ADVOCAT	PHONE NUME	ER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION	ON NAME:		TITLE:		
ADDRESS:			•		
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:	
EMAIL: arniedienoff@yah	oo.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 5/5/202	ATE: 1 2:00 AM	
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.					

I am in Support of this Bill and Calling for a Convention of the States to make our Government Better and Stronger.



BILL NUMBER: SCR 4				DATE: 5/5/2021	
COMMITTEE: General Laws			•		
TESTIFYING:	☑ IN SUPPORT OF	☐ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES	3
		WITNESS NAME			
BUSINESS/ORG	ANIZATION:				
WITNESS NAME: BRETT STERLEY			PHONE NUMB 417-425-38		
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION OF			TITLE:		
ADDRESS: 2853 EAST LAMO	NTA DRIVE				
CITY: SPRINGFIELD			STATE: MO	ZIP: 65804	
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:	SUBMIT D 5/5/202	DATE: 11 12:00 AM	
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610. RSMo.	



BILL NUMBER: SCR 4				DATE: 5/5/2021
COMMITTEE: General Laws				•
TESTIFYING:	☑ IN SUPPORT OF	☐ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORM	NATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: KEITH CARMICHA	\EL		PHONE NUM	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:	SUBMIT 5/5/20 2	DATE: 21 12:00 AM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FORI	M IS PUBLIC RECORD	UNDER CHA	APTER 610, RSMo.



BILL NUMBER: SCR 4				DATE: 5/5/2021	
COMMITTEE: General Laws					
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOS	ES
		WITNESS NAME			
REGISTERED L	OBBYIST:				
WITNESS NAME: JENNIFER GORE			PHONE NUME 573-473-0 0		
REPRESENTING: CONCERNED WO	MEN FOR AMERICA O	F MISSOURI	TITLE:		
ADDRESS: 1322 LEXINGTON	STREET				
CITY: MEXICO			STATE: MO	ZIP: 65265	
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:	SUBMIT 0 5/5/202	OATE: 1 12:00 AM	
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610. RSM	0.



MISSOURI HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: SCR 4				DATE: 5/5/2021
COMMITTEE: General Laws				
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	☑ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFOR	MATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED LO	OBBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: MICHAEL BERG			PHONE NU 314-456	
REPRESENTING: SIERRA CLUB MIS	SSOURI CHAPTER			CLUB MISSOURI ER POLITICAL OR
ADDRESS: 2818 SUTTON BLV	/D			
CITY: SAINT LOUIS			STATE: MO	ZIP: 63143
EMAIL: Michael.Berg@sie	rraclub.org	ATTENDANCE: Written		T DATE: 021 5:49 PM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

It is the position of the Sierra Club to oppose any attempt to call an Article V Constitutional Convention in the United States. We therefore oppose Senate Concurrent Resolution 4.Throughout the 230-year history of the U.S. Constitution, an Article V convention has never been called. The unknowns surrounding a constitutional convention pose an unacceptable risk, particularly in the current polarized political climate. The risks are as follows: THREAT OF A RUNAWAY CONVENTION: There is nothing in the Constitution to prevent a constitutional convention from being expanded in scope to issues not raised in convention calls passed by the state legislatures, and therefore could lead to a runaway convention.INFLUENCE OF SPECIAL INTERESTS: An Article V convention would open the Constitution to revisions at a time of extreme gerrymandering and polarization amid unlimited political spending. It could allow special interests and the wealthiest to re-write the rules governing our system of government.LACK OF CONVENTION RULES: There are no rules governing constitutional conventions. A convention would be an unpredictable Pandora's Box: the last one, in 1787, resulted in a brand-new Constitution. We risk possibility that the process would be used to undo hard-won civil rights, civil liberties advances and environmental protections and undermine basic rights extended throughout history.THREAT OF LEGAL DISPUTES: No judicial, legislative, or executive body would have clear authority to settle disputes about a convention, opening the process to chaos and protracted legal battles that would threaten the functioning of our democracy and economy.APPLICATION PROCESS UNCERTAINTY: There is no clear process on how Congress or any other governmental body would count and add up Article V applications, or if Congress and the states could restrain the convention's mandate based on those applications. UNCERTAIN RATIFICATION PROCESS: A convention could try to re-define the ratification process (which currently requires 38 states to approve any new amendments) to make it easier to pass new amendments, including those considered at the convention. This happened in 1787, when the convention changed the threshold necessary for ratification.



BILL NUMBER: SCR 4				DATE: 5/5/2021
COMMITTEE: General Laws				
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: RON CALZONE			PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			·	
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:	SUBMIT I 5/5/202	DATE: 21 12:00 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.				