House	Amendment NO.
Offered By	
AMEND House Committee Substitute for Senate Substitute for Senate Committee Substitute for Senate Bill Nos. 775, 751 & 640, Page 2, Section 491.015, Line 31, by inserting after all of said section and line the following:	
[three jurors] one juror. When there	causes each party shall be entitled to peremptorily challenge are multiple plaintiffs or defendants, all plaintiffs and all ges as if there were one plaintiff and one defendant. [The court
•	wable peremptory challenges among the parties plaintiff or ad as the ends of justice require. In all cases, the plaintiff shall
Č ,	rate and the defendant shall <u>not</u> be entitled to [a peremptory] Collows:
(1) If the offense charged is p nine and the defendant nine;	bunishable by death, the state shall have the right to challenge
(2) In all other cases punishal right to challenge six and the defenda	ble by imprisonment in the penitentiary, the state shall have the ant six;
(3) In all cases not punishable defendant shall each have the right to	e by death or imprisonment in the penitentiary, the state and the challenge two any juror.
[3.In all criminal cases where shall apply:	several defendants are tried together, the following provisions
11 •	al shall be allowed separate peremptory challenges as provided
(2)The number of peremptory shall be multiplied by the number of	challenges allowed the state by subsection 2 of this section defendants then on trial in each case.
	ordered by the court, the state shall announce its peremptory ereafter. The qualifications of a juror on the panel from which
peremptory challenges by the defense	e are made shall not constitute a ground for the granting of a factoristic factoristi factoristic factoristic factoristic factoristic factoristic fact
	ipated in the verdict rendered against the defendant.
5.If the defendant pleads guilty to a le	esser or included offense other than the offense charged in the
Action Taken	Date

information or indictment in return for a specific lesser sentence than such defendant would likely have received if such defendant were found guilty of the crime charged, or makes any other plea bargaining arrangement, at any time after the jury is impaneled such defendant shall be liable to the county for the costs associated with impaneling the jury.

1 2

- 545.885. 1. Notwithstanding Missouri supreme court rule 24.07, whenever two or more offenses are jointly charged in an indictment or information, the court shall order both or all offenses to be tried together.
- 2. If it appears that a defendant or the state is substantially prejudiced by a joinder of the offenses for trial, upon a written motion of the defendant or the state and upon a particularized showing of substantial prejudice, the court may grant a severance of offenses or provide whatever relief justice requires. For purposes of this section, "substantial prejudice" shall mean a bias or discrimination against the defendant or the state which is actually existing or real and not one which is merely imaginary, illusionary or nominal.
- 3. [Each defendant tried jointly under this section shall be entitled to peremptory challenges as set out in section 494.480.
- 4.] The word "evidence", as used in this section, shall not be construed to include evidence as to character or reputation."; and
- Further amend said bill by amending the title, enacting clause, and intersectional references accordingly.