

BILL NUMBER: SB 908				DATE: 4/13/2022
COMMITTEE: Special Committee on Government Oversight				
TESTIFYING:	☑IN SUPPORT OF	☐ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: ARNIE C."HONEST-ABE" DIENOFF-STATE PUBLIC ADVOCATE PHONE NUMBER:				
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			•	
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: arniedienoff@yah	oo.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DATE: 4/13/2022 11:58 PM	
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD LINDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo				

I Support this Bill. We as Missourians NEED to HOLD ALL Special Taxing District Accountable and Transparent with Full Disclosures and ZERO Secrets.



BILL NUMBER: SB 908				DATE: 4/13/2022
COMMITTEE: Special Committee	e on Government Over	sight		
TESTIFYING:	☑IN SUPPORT OF	☐ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED LOBBYIST:				
WITNESS NAME: DAVID OVERFELT			PHONE NUME 573-636-2	
REPRESENTING: MISSOURI RETAILERS ASSOCIATION TITLE: PRESIDENT			NT	
ADDRESS: 618 E CAPITOL				
CITY: JEFFERSON CITY			STATE: MO	ZIP: 65109
EMAIL: dave@moretailers	.com	ATTENDANCE: In-Person	SUBMIT DATE: 4/12/2022 7:31 PM	
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD LINDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo				

Retailers support special taxing district reforms.



MISSOURI HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: SB 908				DATE: 4/13/2022
COMMITTEE: Special Committee	e on Government Over	sight		
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	☐ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
BUSINESS/ORG	ANIZATION:			
WITNESS NAME: DAVID STOKES			PHONE NUME 314-454-0	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: SHOW-ME INSTITUTE DIRECTOR OF MUNICIPA POLICY			R OF MUNICIPAL	
ADDRESS: 5297 WASHINGTON PLACE				
CITY: ST. LOUIS			STATE: MO	ZIP: 63108
EMAIL: david.stokes@sho	wmeinstitute.org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT I 4/13/20	DATE: 122 12:00 PM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

My name is David Stokes. I am the Director of Municipal Policy at the Show-Me Institute. The Show-Me Institute is a nonprofit, nonpartisan Missouri-based think tank that supports free market solutions for state and local policy. The ideas presented herein are my own and summarize research regarding special taxing districts (SDs) in Missouri.Missouri has seen an explosion of new taxing districts during the past decade. These districts are primarily used to redirect public tax dollars toward private purposes. They include the use of tax-increment financing (TIF), Chapter 100 bonds, transportation development districts (TDDs), community improvement districts (CIDs), and many other programs. SB 908 proposes changes to state laws governing CIDs, TDDs, TIFs, and Neighborhood Improvement Districts (NIDs), that will enhance the financial reporting requirements of each district and improve transparency and public accountability. The Missouri state auditor's office and other local oversight agencies have routinely flagged CIDs, TDDs and other special taxing districts for many troubling practices. These issues include failure to use competitive bidding, board member conflicts of interest, failure to produce or provide necessary financial reports, failure to notify shoppers of the added taxes as required by law, and improperly collecting sales taxes from businesses outside of the districts. State auditors of both parties have called for much greater oversight, transparency, and other limits on special taxing districts. The Kansas City auditor's office also recently released an audit documenting many of these same problems with CIDs within Kansas City. The proposed changes in SB 908 would affect all four types of special taxing districts listed above by doing the following:

Requiring that public information on meetings, establishment, etc., of SDs also be sent to the Missouri Department of Revenue (DOR), which will publish that information on its website.•

Requiring that the districts' official boundaries, property assessments, property tax rates, sales tax rates, and other important public information all be submitted to DOR to be published on its website. • Establishing as part of the law that money cannot be distributed from the DOR, cities, or counties to the various SDs until these public reporting requirements have been met. SDs often fund primarily private assets with public dollars. Usually, those public dollars come from sales taxes imposed within SDs. For example, many CIDs in Kansas City —43 out of 74 to be precise —consist of nothing more than one parcel of property and have sales taxes imposed on the public for the private benefit of that one property owner. These private benefits, for uses such as parking lots or landscaping for retail developments, are paid for by tax dollars rather than through private investment, and the benefits accrue almost entirely to the private party. This means that "the majority of ... CID tax collection and spending is the result of one group or entity—developers and landowners— imposing taxes on another group— ordinary consumers—who are unaware of the tax and have no say in how the funds are collected or distributed." That is not sound public policy. Another major problem with SDs is

one of transparency. The state auditor's office has issued reports documenting deficiencies in the operation, management, and accountability for the expenditure of public dollars by these districts throughout Missouri. SDs frequently fail to comply with state laws in a number of areas, including the transparency of the special taxes, the bidding process for use of the public dollars, and the annual reporting on how the money is spent. As the recent Kansas City CID audit documents, in 2021 over half of the CIDs in Kansas City failed to submit a budget on time, and 47 percent failed to provide an annual financial report on time (or at all) in 2019. The provision in this law that would compel publication of financial information is a positive policy change for Missouri. In summary, there are many problems with SDs throughout Missouri. Those problems may be most common in our largest cities, but they are not limited to them. The reforms proposed by SB 908 to the public reporting requirements of SDs in Missouri will compel them to operate in a more accountable and transparent manner. Sources and Endnotes: Missouri State Auditor, "Community Improvement Districts," Report No. 2018-056, August 2018. Missouri State Auditor, "Lake Lotawana Community Improvement District," Report No. 2012-133, October 2012. Kansas City Auditor, "Performance Audit of Community Improvement Districts", April 2021. Ibid., page 5. Renz, Graham and Tuohey, Patrick, "Overgrown and Noxious: The Abuse of Special Taxing Districts in Missouri", Show-Me Institute Report, June 2019, page 13. Missouri State Auditor, Transportation Development Districts," Report No. 2017-020, April 2017. Kansas City Auditor, page 8.



BILL NUMBER: SB 908				DATE: 4/13/2022	
COMMITTEE: Special Committee	e on Government Over	rsight	,		
TESTIFYING:	☑IN SUPPORT OF	☐ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES	
		WITNESS NAME			
REGISTERED LO	OBBYIST:				
WITNESS NAME: RANDY SCHERR			PHONE NUME 573-636-6 2		
REPRESENTING: MISSOURI ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT FINANCING ASSOCIATION TITLE:					
ADDRESS: 101 E. HIGH STRE	ET				
CITY: JEFFERSON CITY			STATE: MO	ZIP: 65101	
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:		SUBMIT DATE: 4/13/2022 12:00 AM	
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610. RSMo.					



BILL NUMBER: SB 908				DATE: 4/13/2022
COMMITTEE: Special Committee	e on Government Over	rsight		
TESTIFYING:	☑ IN SUPPORT OF	☐ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED LO	OBBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: RON BERRY			PHONE NUME 660-537-2	
REPRESENTING: AMERICAN FEDER	RATION OF TEACHERS	S - MISSOURI	TITLE:	
ADDRESS: PO BOX 722				
CITY: JEFFERSON CITY			STATE: MO	ZIP: 65102
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:	SUBMIT DATE: 4/13/2022 12:00 AM	
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610. RSMo.