

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 0551H.03P
 Bill No.: Perfected HCS for HB 268
 Subject: Economic Development, Department of; Business and Commerce
 Type: Original
 Date: February 22, 2023

Bill Summary: This proposal establishes the Regulatory Sandbox Act.

FISCAL SUMMARY

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2024 | FY 2025 | FY 2026 |
| General Revenue Fund* | Unknown to Could exceed (\$207,791) | Unknown to Could Exceed (\$242,877) | Unknown to Could Exceed (\$247,111) |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue | Unknown to Could exceed (\$207,791) | Unknown to Could Exceed (\$242,877) | Unknown to Could Exceed (\$247,111) |

*Oversight notes that this proposal provides for waiving of regulations (depending upon sandbox participants) potentially resulting in a loss to general revenue, various state funds, and to local political subdivisions. Additionally, this proposal would require DED FTE that would impact the General Revenue Fund. Lastly, the positive amount shown represents payment for application fees (\$300) which must be paid on order to participate in the Sandbox program.

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS | | | |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2024 | FY 2025 | FY 2026 |
| Various State Funds | \$0 or (Unknown) | \$0 or (Unknown) | \$0 or (Unknown) |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds | \$0 or (Unknown) | \$0 or (Unknown) | \$0 or (Unknown) |

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS | | | |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2024 | FY 2025 | FY 2026 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE) | | | |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2024 | FY 2025 | FY 2026 |
| General Revenue Fund | 2 FTE | 2 FTE | 2 FTE |
| | | | |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE | 2 FTE | 2 FTE | 2 FTE |

- Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.
- Estimated Net Effect (savings or increased revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS | | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2024 | FY 2025 | FY 2026 |
| Local Government | \$0 or (Unknown) | \$0 or (Unknown) | \$0 or (Unknown) |

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Economic Development (DED)** assume the proposal states that the regulatory relief office will be administered by a sandbox program director. DED has estimated personal service costs by taking a mid-range salary of a typical Program Director (Designated Principal Assistant) at DED who oversees an office but does not supervise staff. DED also believes additional review (e.g., reviews of state laws) would require a legal counsel FTE. If DED determines that additional staff are needed to administer the sandbox program, DED will request additional FTE through the normal budget process.

Oversight notes that DED assumes the proposal will have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, **Oversight** will reflect DED's FTE costs for Division Program Director at \$80,000 and a Legal Counsel at \$62,508 annually, in the fiscal note.

Officials from the **Office of Administration – Budget & Planning (B&P)** note:

Sections 620.3900 to 620.3930 Regulatory Sandbox Act and Regulatory Relief Office

An applicant shall remit to the regulatory relief office an application fee of three hundred dollars per application for each innovative offering. It is not specified in the bill where this money will be deposited, therefore B&P assumes it will be GR. This will have an unknown positive impact on GR and TSR.

This bill would also provide that during the demonstration period, a sandbox participant shall not be subject to the enforcement of state laws or regulations identified in the written agreement between the Regulatory Relief Office and the sandbox participant. There is not enough information on what laws or regulations may be waived or what impact the waiver will have on TSR.

Oversight notes that an applicant shall remit to the regulatory relief office an application fee of \$300 per application for each innovative offering. Therefore, **Oversight** will reflect a potential positive fiscal impact on State Funds for this application fee.

Officials from the **Office of Administration (OA)** assume this bill appears to establish the Regulatory Relief Office within the Department of Economic Development. It is assumed that the Office of Administration -Division of Personnel's team members would be required to provide assistance in establishing the new division.

The fiscal impact of this bill is determined by the total number of hours estimated (10 hours)

multiplied by the average salary of Division of Personnel team members (\$30.40) plus the added cost to update MoCareers, the State's centralized application platform (\$300.00). Therefore, the total fiscal impact would be \$604.

Officials from the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** note:

The proposal would create a new government entity, the “Regulatory Relief Office,” which may enter into agreements to essentially waive the requirements of Missouri’s statutes and regulations on certain participating businesses. This is concerning for DOR as most people would consider taxes burdensome. Depending on the laws waived this could result in increased costs to DOR for tracking these participants and changes to their individual income tax system. These computer changes are estimated at \$7,193.

The proposal directs the Regulatory Relief Office to consult with applicable agencies, regarding the acceptance of the applicant in the sandbox and the agreement of rules to be waived. The agencies are asked to notify the Office if the applicable agency has previously investigated, sanctioned, or pursued legal action against the applicant. The office is allowed to enter into agreement with the applicant to participate in the sandbox and have rules waived. The proposed legislation prohibits these agreements from exempting an applicant “from any income, property, or sales tax liability unless such applicant otherwise qualifies for an exemption from such tax.” This may requires DOR to provide information on their relationships with a participating business to the Regulatory Relief Office. Some of this information is currently protected under DOR's confidentially laws. At this time, DOR is unable to determine if there is additional costs from this provision.

This proposal says that the sandbox agreement cannot exempt an applicant from income, property or sales tax liability. Property tax is handled by the State Tax Commission. DOR has numerous other tax types besides the income tax and sales tax which could be exempted under this proposal. Examples include, withholding tax, tire and battery fee taxes, use taxes, marijuana taxes and more that do not appear protected under this proposal. Allowing participants in the program to not pay taxes will result in a loss of state and local revenue.

This proposal gives the Regulatory Relief Office authority to waive state law and regulations. DOR is concerned this would result in filing deadlines being moved or changes for some filers and not others, or payments being waived. This would result in a negative fiscal impact to state and local revenue.

At this time, DOR is unable to estimate an exact fiscal impact from this proposal. DOR could possibly need additional FTE to work with the Regulatory Relief Office depending on the number of participants, as well as have losses to state and local revenue if participants are allowed to not pay taxes.

Oversight notes DOR assumes the proposal would allow for selected companies, who participate in the Sandbox program, to receive relief from various taxes which would have an effect on General Revenue and Other State Funds. Additionally, the DOR assumes the need for

additional FTE to ensure compliance with this proposal. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, **Oversight** will reflect a potential (\$0 or) unknown negative impact to the General Revenue and Other State Funds, as a result of reduction in a various tax revenues and potential FTE costs, in the fiscal note.

Officials from the **Department of Health and Senior Services (DHSS)** assume that Section 620.3905.2.(2) of the proposed legislation states that the sandbox program director could reach out to the Department of Health and Senior Services (DHSS) to identify state laws or regulations that could potentially be waived or suspended under the sandbox program. It is assumed that the Department can absorb the costs of this bill with current resources. However, if the workload significantly increased or other legislation was enacted, additional resources would be requested through the appropriation process.

Officials from the **Missouri Department of Agriculture (MDA)** assume the proposal, specifically Section 620.3905.2(4) could result in a loss of fee funds to the Missouri Department of Agriculture. If fees are not required to be paid in accordance with current regulations, guidelines, and policies, the fee-fund revenue to the Department could substantially decrease. The fee funds support many FTE within MDA, in accordance with their respective divisions and the fees associated with such; therefore, the loss of fee funds could result in the need for reductions in staff due to unavailability of funding. This loss in fee-fund revenue will result in a need for general revenue to support these necessary positions across the state of Missouri.

Oversight notes officials from the MDA assume the proposal could have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, **Oversight** will reflect a \$0 to Unknown negative impact for MDA, for various state funds, in the fiscal note.

Officials from the **Missouri Department of Conservation (MDC)** assume the proposal will have an unknown fiscal impact on their organization and could potentially affect MDC funds. **Oversight** notes that the Conservation Sales Tax funds are derived from one-eighth of one percent sales and use tax pursuant to Article IV Section 43 (a) of the Missouri Constitution, thus MDC's sales taxes are constitutional mandates.

Additionally, **Oversight** notes the Park, Soil, and Water Sales Tax funds are derived from the one-tenth of one percent sales and use tax pursuant to Article IV Section 47 (a) thus DNR's sales taxes are constitutional mandates.

Oversight notes that the proposal does not allow exemptions of sales taxes and will reflect zero impact on the MDC's and DNR's sales tax Funds.

Officials from the **Department of Commerce and Insurance (DCI)** assume the proposal, specifically Sections 620.3900 - 620.3930, would have an unknown impact to DCI depending on the number of businesses and individuals that would request to participate in the Sandbox Program.

Oversight notes that the insurance premium taxes could be waived and DCI assumes this proposal would have a direct fiscal impact on other areas of their organization. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, **Oversight** will reflect a negative unknown impact to various state funds in the fiscal note.

Oversight notes that there are few examples of various agency costs in similar Sandbox proposals filed in the States of Utah, Ohio, Nebraska, Nevada, and the Arizona. Each fiscal note addresses the difficulty of projecting any costs associated with the proposals. However, a recent fiscal note submitted to the Nebraska Legislature for consideration of similar bill LB 1127 ([Nebraska Sandbox proposal - 2022](#)) from various agencies claiming costs associated with the proposal, are provided in the [Table 1](#).

Oversight notes that the Missouri Sandbox proposal requires, among other duties, the Administrator to:

- Act as a Liaison between private businesses and agencies of the State
- Consult with each affected agency
- Establish Program to enable a person to obtain legal protections
- Review State Laws
- Create a framework for analyzing the risk level of the health, safety, and financial well-being of consumers
- Propose and enter into reciprocity agreements
- Enter into agreements with or adopt best practices of corresponding federal regulatory agencies and other states
- Create and maintain the Department's website
- Create and submit annual reports to the governor and general assembly

Oversight notes that there are many other duties required from the Regulatory Relief Office under this proposal. Therefore, it is probable that the agencies tasked with the regulatory implementation of this program, such as DOR or DED, will need additional FTEs in order to provide the regulatory framework and compliance procedures for this Act.

Oversight notes that Missouri population is at least 3 times greater (6.6M – Missouri population / 1.94M –Nebraska Population) than that of Nebraska, thus the costs could potentially reach a higher level of expenditure in Missouri. Therefore, for purpose of this fiscal note, **Oversight** will note an unknown negative impact to the General Revenue and Other State Funds, which could potentially exceed \$250,000 in various FTE and forgone tax revenue costs to various state funds in the fiscal note.

Table 1.

| AGENCY | FY 2023 | FY 2024 |
|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Department of Economic Development | \$ 520,380.00 | \$ 641,930.00 |
| Department of Banking and Finance | \$ 223,025.00 | \$ 215,325.00 |
| Board of Engineers and Architects | \$ 3,300.00 | \$ 3,300.00 |
| Department of Environment and Energy | \$ 202,371.00 | \$ 202,371.00 |
| Department of Agriculture | \$ 77,500.00 | \$ 77,500.00 |
| Liquor Control Commission NFI NFI | No Fiscal Impact | No Fiscal Impact |
| Motor Vehicle Industry Licensing Board No discernable impact No discernable impact | No Discernable impact | No Discernable impact |
| Nebraska State Electrical Division Indeterminable Indeterminable | Indeterminable | Indeterminable |
| Board of Barber Examiners Indeterminable Indeterminable | Indeterminable | Indeterminable |
| Attorney General NFI NFI | No Fiscal Impact | No Fiscal Impact |
| Nebraska Real Estate Commission Negligible to significant Negligible to significant | Negligible to Significant | Negligible to Significant |
| Supreme Court NFI NFI | No Fiscal Impact | No Fiscal Impact |
| Department of Labor NFI NFI | No Fiscal Impact | No Fiscal Impact |
| Department of Administrative Services | \$ 71,200.00 | \$ 77,000.00 |
| Department of Insurance | \$ 168,900.00 | \$ 173,317.00 |
| Total | \$ 1,127,776.00 | \$ 1,217,426.00 |
| FTE total | 9.5 | 10.5 |

In response to the similar version of the proposal, HB 2587 – 2022, officials from the **Office of the Governor (GOV)** assume the proposal adds to the governor’s current load of appointment duties. Individually, additional requirements should not fiscally impact the Office of the Governor. However, the cumulative impact of additional appointment duties across all enacted legislation may require additional resources for the Office of the Governor.

Oversight assumes GOV is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of activity each year. Oversight assumes GOV could absorb the costs related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require additional staffing and duties at substantial costs, GOV could request funding through the appropriation process.

Officials from the **Missouri State Senate** assume the proposal will have a negative fiscal impact to the senate contingent appropriation to reimburse 2 senators for travel to meetings of the General Regulatory Sandbox Program Advisory Committee. Details are in the attached analysis. In summary, it will cost approximately \$281.60 per meeting.

Oversight notes the Missouri State Senate assumes no fiscal responsibility for the other committee members.

Oversight notes that Missouri State Senate assume the proposal will have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a negative fiscal impact in the fiscal note.

Officials from the Morgan County Pwsd #2 assume the proposal could have a fiscal impact on their organization.

Oversight assumes Morgan County PWSD #2 is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of activity each year. Oversight assumes Morgan County PWSD #2 could absorb the

costs related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require additional staffing and duties at substantial costs, Morgan County PWS #2 could request funding through the Morgan County appropriation process.

Overall Proposal impact:

The proposal provides language clarifications within Section(s) 620.3900 to 620.3930.

Officials from the **Attorney General's Office**, the **Office of Administration – Administrative Hearing Commission**, the **Office of Administration**, the **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education**, the **Department of Labor and Industrial Relations**, the **Department of Higher Education and Workforce Development**, the **Missouri Department of Transportation**, the **Department of Mental Health**, the **Department of Natural Resources**, the **Department of Corrections**, the **Department of Labor and Industrial Relations**, the **Department of Public Safety** (the **Alcohol & Tobacco**, the **Capital Police**, the **Fire Safety**, the **Director's Office**, the **Gaming Commission**, the **National Guard**, the **Highway Patrol**, the **Veterans Commission**, the **State Emergency Management Agency**), the **Missouri House of Representatives**, the **Missouri Ethics Commission**, the **Missouri Department of Transportation**, the **Office of the State Public Defender**, the **Missouri Department of Transportation – Patrol Employees Retirement System**, the **Missouri House of Representatives**, the **Joint Committee on Education**, the **Joint Committee on Public Employee Retirement**, the **Legislative Research**, the **Missouri Lottery**, the **Missouri Consolidated Health Care Plan**, the **Missouri Higher education Loan Authority**, the **Missouri Office of Prosecution Services**, the **Missouri State Employee's Retirement System**, the **State Tax Commission**, and the **Office of the State Auditor** each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, **Oversight** will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

Officials from the **City of Kansas City**, the **City of O'Fallon**, the **City of Springfield**, the **Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District - 7B Sewer**, and the **Wayne County Pwsd #2** each assume this proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their respective organizations. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, **Oversight** will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these local political subdivisions.

Oversight notes many counties, cities and special districts assess sales or use tax on the sale of goods in Missouri. The tax remitted to various local political subdivisions serves the local political subdivision needs. DOR notes that although sales taxes and property taxes may not be waived other local taxes and fees may be waived. DOR assumes the companies could receive relief from various local taxes and fees. This could have an effect on the local political subdivisions. Therefore, **Oversight** will note a potential unknown negative impact to the local political subdivision funds in the fiscal note, depending upon sandbox participants and applications.

Rule Promulgation:

Officials from the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules** assume this proposal is not anticipated to cause a fiscal impact beyond its current appropriation.

In response to the previous version of the proposal, officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** note many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$5,000. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, the SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the office can sustain with its core budget. Therefore, the SOS reserves the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

| <u>FISCAL IMPACT – State Government</u> | FY 2024 (10 Mo.) | FY 2025 | FY 2026 |
|--|---|---|---|
| GENERAL REVENUE FUND | | | |
| <u>Revenue Gain</u> – \$300 Fee Paid to participate in the program (p.3) §620.3915.2 | \$0 or Unknown | \$0 or Unknown | \$0 or Unknown |
| <u>Cost</u> – Reduction in Revenues – various taxes and fees not paid (p.4) | \$0 or (Unknown) | \$0 or (Unknown) | \$0 or (Unknown) |
| <u>Cost</u> – DOR– FTE necessary to comply with the proposal (p.5) | \$0 or (Unknown) | \$0 or (Unknown) | \$0 or (Unknown) |
| <u>Costs</u> – DED 2 FTE – Section 620.3905 | | | |
| Personnel Service | (\$118,757) | (\$145,358) | (\$148,265) |
| Fringe Benefits | (\$70,404) | (\$85,550) | (\$86,638) |
| Expense & Equipment | (\$18,630) | (\$11,969) | (\$12,208) |
| <u>Total Costs</u> - | <u>(\$207,791)</u> | <u>(\$242,877)</u> | <u>(\$247,111)</u> |
| FTE Change | 2 FTE | 2 FTE | 2 FTE |
| NET EFFECT ON THE GENERAL REVENUE FUND | <u>Unknown to Could exceed (\$207,791)</u> | <u>Unknown to Could Exceed (\$242,877)</u> | <u>Unknown to Could Exceed (\$247,111)</u> |

| | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| OTHER STATE FUNDS | | | |
| <u>Loss of Revenues</u> - to various State Funds – various tax or fees not paid DNR, MDC, DCI, MDA (p.5) | \$0 or (Unknown) | \$0 or (Unknown) | \$0 or (Unknown) |
| NET EFFECT ON THE OTHER STATE FUNDS | \$0 or (Unknown) | \$0 or (Unknown) | \$0 or (Unknown) |

| <u>FISCAL IMPACT – Local Government</u> | FY 2024 (10 Mo.) | FY 2025 | FY 2026 |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS | | | |
| <u>Loss of Revenues</u> - to various local funds –various tax and fees not paid (p.4) | \$0 or (Unknown) | \$0 or (Unknown) | \$0 or (Unknown) |
| NET EFFECT ON THE LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS | \$0 or (Unknown) | \$0 or (Unknown) | \$0 or (Unknown) |

FISCAL IMPACT – Small Business

Small businesses, who will participate in the Sandbox program, will be able to receive potential tax and reductions resulting in lower costs of doing business and/or revenue gains.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This bill establishes the "Regulatory Sandbox Act", which creates the Regulatory Relief Office within the Department of Economic Development. The Regulatory Relief Office shall administer the provisions of the bill with the purpose of identifying state laws or regulations that could potentially be waived or suspended for participating businesses during a 24 month period in which the participating business demonstrates an innovative product offering to consumers.

The Regulatory Relief Office shall maintain a web page on the Department's website that invites residents and businesses to make suggestions regarding laws and regulations that could be modified or eliminated to reduce the regulatory burden of residents and businesses in the state (Section 620.3905, RSMo).

The Regulatory Relief Office shall be responsible for evaluating and approving or denying applications to participate in the Sandbox Program. An applicant shall submit an application along with a \$300 application fee to the Regulatory Relief Office, which shall include contact

information and a description of the innovative offering to be demonstrated, including statements regarding how the innovative offering is subject to licensing, legal prohibition, or other authorization requirements outside of the Sandbox Program; each law or regulation that the applicant seeks to have waived or suspended while participating in the Sandbox Program; how the innovative offering would benefit consumers; what risks might exist for consumers who use or purchase the innovative offering; and other required information, as described in the bill.

No later than five business days after the day on which a completed application is received by the Regulatory Relief Office, the Office shall review the application and refer the application to each applicable agency, as defined in the bill that regulates the applicant's business. No later than 30 days after the day on which an applicable agency receives a completed application for review, the applicable agency shall provide a written report to the Sandbox Program director with the applicable agency's findings, including any identifiable, likely, and significant harm to the health, safety, or financial well-being of consumers that the relevant law or regulation protects against, and a recommendation to the Regulatory Relief Office that the applicant either be admitted or denied entrance into the Sandbox Program. An applicable agency may deny an application for reasons described in the bill. The Regulatory Relief Office shall not approve any application denied by an applicable agency (Section 620.3915).

Upon the receipt of a report from all applicable agencies, the Regulatory Relief Office shall provide the application and associated reports to the "General Regulatory Sandbox Program Advisory Committee", which is created by the bill. The Advisory Committee shall be composed of eight members, as described in the bill including one member of the House of Representatives appointed by the Speaker, one member of the Senate appointed by the President Pro Tem and three members of the public or an institution of higher education appointed one each by the Governor, the President Pro Tem, and the Speaker. The Advisory Committee shall advise and make recommendations to the Regulatory Relief Office on whether to approve applications to the Sandbox Program, and may meet at its own discretion to override a decision of the Regulatory Relief Office on the admission or denial of an applicant to the Sandbox Program, provided such override is decided with a majority vote of the members of the Advisory Committee, and further provided that such vote shall be taken within 10 business days of the Regulatory Relief Office's decision. Meetings of the Advisory Committee shall not be considered public meetings for the purposes of the Sunshine Law (Section 620.3910).

Upon approval of an application, a Sandbox participant shall have 24 months after the day on which its application was approved to demonstrate the innovative offering described in the Sandbox participant's application. During such period, the Sandbox participant shall be exempt from the laws and regulations outlined in an agreement entered into with the Regulatory Relief Office. Innovative offerings shall only be available to consumers who are residents of this state, and no law or regulation shall be waived or suspended if such waiver or suspension would prevent a consumer from seeking restitution in the event that the consumer is harmed. A Sandbox participant shall not be subject to prosecution or administrative penalty for a violation of any law or regulation that is waived or suspended during the duration of the participant's demonstration period (Section 620.3920).

Prior to demonstrating an innovative offering, a Sandbox participant shall disclose certain information to consumers, as described in the bill (Section 620.3925).

At least 30 days prior to the end of a participant's demonstration period, the participant shall notify the Regulatory Relief Office that it either intends to exit the Sandbox Program or that it seeks an extension. The Regulatory Relief Office may grant an extension not to exceed 12 months, and a participant may seek additional extensions. If a demonstration includes an innovative offering that requires ongoing services or duties beyond the 24 month demonstration period, the participant may continue to demonstrate the offering, but shall be subject to all laws and regulations that were waived or suspended as part of the Sandbox Program.

A Sandbox participant shall retain certain records for a period of 24 months after exiting the Sandbox Program.

The Regulatory Relief Office shall establish quarterly reporting requirements for each participant, and each participant shall notify the Regulatory Relief Office and each applicable agency of any incidents that result in harm to the health, safety, or financial well-being of a consumer.


This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION


Attorney General's Office
Office of Administration – Administrative Hearing Commission
Office of Administration
Department of Elementary and Secondary Education
Department of Labor and Industrial Relations
Department of Higher Education and Workforce Development
Missouri Department of Transportation
Department of Mental Health
Department of Natural Resources
Department of Commerce and Insurance
Missouri Department of Conservation
Missouri Department of Agriculture
Department of Labor and Industrial Relations
Department of Health and Senior Services
Department of Economic Development
Department of Natural Resources
Department of Corrections
Department of Labor and Industrial Relations
Department of Public Safety
➤ Alcohol & Tobacco

- Capital Police
- Fire Safety
- Director's Office
- Gaming Commission
- National Guard
- Highway Patrol
- Veterans Commission
- State Emergency Management Agency

Missouri House of Representatives
Missouri Ethics Commission
Missouri Department of Transportation
Office of the State Public Defender
Missouri Department of Transportation – Patrol Employees Retirement System
Missouri House of Representatives
Joint Committee on Education
Joint Committee on Public Employee Retirement
Legislative Research
Missouri Lottery
Missouri Consolidated Health Care Plan
Missouri Higher education Loan Authority
Missouri Office of Prosecution Services
Joint Committee on Administrative Rules
Missouri State Employee's Retirement System
State Tax Commission
Office of the State Auditor
City of Kansas City
City of O'Fallon
City of Springfield
Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District - 7B Sewer
Wayne County Pwsd #2
Morgan County Pwsd #2



Julie Morff
Director
February 22, 2023



Ross Strobe
Assistant Director
February 22, 2023