# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### **FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 0780H.01I Bill No.: HB 516

Subject: Cities, Towns, and Villages; Elections; Boards, Commissions, Committees and

Councils

Type: Original

Date: March 13, 2023

Bill Summary: This proposal specifies that certain residency requirements of a city of the

fourth classification may be satisfied by certain conditions.

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026		
<b>Total Estimated Net</b>					
<b>Effect on General</b>					
Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026		
<b>Total Estimated Net</b>					
Effect on Other State					
Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0		

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

L.R. No. 0780H.011 Bill No. HB 516 Page **2** of **4** March 13, 2023

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026		
<b>Total Estimated Net</b>					
Effect on All Federal					
Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026		
<b>Total Estimated Net</b>					
Effect on FTE	0	0	0		

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any	y
of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.	

☐ Estimated Net Effect (savings or increased revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of
the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	

#### **FISCAL ANALYSIS**

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Department of Economic Development**, the **Department of Natural Resources**, the **Missouri Ethics Commission**, **Jefferson City**, **Kansas City**, the **City of Springfield** and **St. Louis City** each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** note many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$5,000. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, the SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the office can sustain with its core budget. Therefore, the SOS reserves the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the Governor.

**Oversight** assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could request funding through the appropriation process.

**Oversight** notes this proposal allows the mayor of a 4<sup>th</sup> class city to appoint a member to a local board or commission if the prospective appointee owns real property or a business in the city. Oversight assumes the proposal will not have a direct fiscal impact.

**Oversight** only reflects the responses that we have received from state agencies and political subdivisions; however, other cities were requested to respond to this proposed legislation but did not. A general listing of political subdivisions included in our database is available upon request.

#### Officials from the

FISCAL IMPACT – State Government	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026
	(10 Mo.)		
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

L.R. No. 0780H.011 Bill No. HB 516 Page **4** of **4** March 13, 2023

FISCAL IMPACT – Local Government	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026
	(10 Mo.)		
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

# FISCAL IMPACT – Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

## FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Economic Development Department of Natural Resources Missouri Ethics Commission Office of the Secretary of State Jefferson City Kansas City City of Springfield St. Louis City

Julie Morff Director

March 13, 2023

Ross Strope Assistant Director March 13, 2023