

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 2322H.02P
Bill No.: Perfected HCS for HB Nos. 1082 & 1094
Subject: Crimes and Punishment; Mental Health; Department of Mental Health; Courts
Type: Original
Date: April 14, 2023

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies provisions relating to mental health care.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026
General Revenue	(\$1,666,667)	(\$2,000,000)	(\$2,000,000)
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	(\$1,666,667)	(\$2,000,000)	(\$2,000,000)

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

- Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.
- Estimated Net Effect (savings or increased revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026
Local Government*	\$0	\$0	\$0

*Appropriations and costs net to zero.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

§552.020 – Behavioral health services for certain persons

Officials from the **Department of Mental Health (DMH)** state the proposed legislation modifies provisions in section 552.020 relating to behavioral health services for certain individuals. This bill provides jail-based and outpatient competency restoration.

To address the increasing waitlist for admission to the inpatient facilities for competency restoration, DMH proposes jail-based competency restoration services to occur at four county jails. Services would include room/board and general medical care for ten beds at each site as well as community-based contracted staff from a local Certified Community Behavioral Health Organizations (CCBHO) to provide psychosocial treatment services and case management. Psychiatric medication services will be provided by the Forensic Mobile Team practitioners, who are employed by the DMH inpatient facilities. The four locations would be in St. Louis City, St. Louis County, Jackson County, and Greene County. DMH estimates the cost for each county jail to be \$500,000 per site, totaling \$2 million in General Revenue funds annually.

DMH additionally proposes outpatient competency restoration services to occur statewide. No additional cost is anticipated, as clients would be on bond and eligible for treatment services at a local Certified Community Behavioral Health Organization (CCBHO).

Total annual cost for FY24 and beyond is \$2.0 million.

Oversight does not have any information contrary to that provided by DMH. Therefore, Oversight will reflect DMH's estimated impact to the General Revenue Fund and a program cost reimbursement to local political subdivisions. Oversight notes this proposal does not contain an emergency clause. Therefore, Oversight will reflect expenditures as \$1,666,667 in FY 2024 (10 months) and \$2,000,000 in FY 2025 and beyond.

In response to a previous version (HB 1082), officials from the **Office of the State Courts Administrator** assumed the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note.

Bill as a Whole

In response to a previous version, officials from the **Kansas City Police Department**, the **St. Louis County Police Department**, and the **Phelps County Sheriff's Department** each assumed the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

In response to similar legislation (HB 1094), officials from the **St. Joseph Police Department** assumed the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization.

Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

Officials from the **Branson Police Department** indicated this proposal would have an impact on their organization. Oversight notes the Branson Police Department provided no information explaining the potential fiscal impact this proposal would have on their organization. Therefore, for fiscal note purposes, **Oversight** assumes any fiscal impact incurred by this police department would be absorbable within current funding levels.

Rule Promulgation

Officials from the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules** assume this proposal is not anticipated to cause a fiscal impact beyond its current appropriation.

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** note many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$5,000. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, the SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the office can sustain with its core budget. Therefore, the SOS reserves the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

House Amendment (HA) 1, AA

§9.381 – Premenstrual Dysphoric Disorder Awareness

In response to similar legislation from 2023 (HCS HB 885), officials from the **Office of Administration** assumed the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for this section of the proposal.

Based on agency responses, **Oversight** assumes HA 1 will have no fiscal impact on state or local governments.

House Amendment (HA) 2

Oversight assumes HA 2 will have no fiscal impact on state or local governments.

House Amendment (HA) 3

§632.305 – Application for detention for evaluation and treatment

In response to similar legislation from 2023 (HB 1074), officials from the **City of Kansas City**, the **City of Springfield**, and the **City of Brentwood** each assumed the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

In response to similar legislation (SB 1109 - 2022), officials from the **Office of the State Courts Administrator** and the **University of Missouri System** each assumed the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

Responses regarding the proposed legislation as a whole

Officials from the **Attorney General’s Office**, the **Department of Commerce and Insurance**, the **Department of Corrections**, the **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education**, the **Department of Health and Senior Services**, the **Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol**, the **Department of Social Services**, the **Missouri Office of Prosecution Services**, the **Office of the State Public Defender**, assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

Oversight only reflects the responses received from state agencies and political subdivisions; however, other counties, circuit clerks, county prosecutors, county treasurers, local law enforcement, schools, and hospitals were requested to respond to this proposed legislation but did not. A listing of political subdivisions included in the Missouri Legislative Information System (MOLIS) database is available upon request.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT – State Government</u>	FY 2024 (10 Mo.)	FY 2025	FY 2026
GENERAL REVENUE			
<u>Transfer Out</u> – (\$552.020) Jail-based competency restoration services and forensic mobile teams p. 3	(\$1,666,667)	(\$2,000,000)	(\$2,000,000)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON THE GENERAL REVENUE FUND	(\$1,666,667)	(\$2,000,000)	(\$2,000,000)

<u>FISCAL IMPACT – Local Government</u>	FY 2024 (10 Mo.)	FY 2025	FY 2026
LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS			
<u>Transfer In</u> – (§552.020) from General Revenue p. 3	\$1,666,667	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000
<u>Cost</u> – Jails (§552.020) Room/board and general medical care p. 3	(\$1,666,667)	(\$2,000,000)	(\$2,000,000)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT – Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION


BEHAVIORAL HEALTH SERVICES (Section 552.020)

Currently, a judge may order a pretrial examination of an accused person whom the judge has reasonable cause to believe lacks mental fitness to proceed. The psychiatrist, psychologist, or physician performing the examination shall submit a report with findings, opinions, and recommendations on treatment in suitable hospitals. This bill requires the examination report to contain recommendations as to whether the accused, if found to lack mental fitness to proceed, should be committed to a suitable hospital for treatment or if the treatment can be provided in a county jail or other detention facility approved by the Director of the Department of Mental Health. Additionally, the report shall contain a recommendation as to whether the accused, if found to lack mental fitness to proceed and if not charged with a dangerous felony, murder in the first degree, or rape in the second degree, should be committed to a suitable hospital facility or may be appropriately treated in the community, and whether the accused can comply with bond conditions and treatment conditions. The Director, or his or her designee, shall determine the locations and conditions under which treatment shall be provided to the accused.


This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Attorney General's Office
Department of Commerce and Insurance
Department of Corrections
Department of Elementary and Secondary Education
Department of Health and Senior Services
Department of Mental Health
Department of Public Safety
Department of Social Services
Joint Committee on Administrative Rules
Missouri Office of Prosecution Services
Office of Administration
Office of the Secretary of State
Office of the State Courts Administrator
Office of the State Public Defender
University of Missouri
City of Kansas City
City of Springfield
City of Brentwood
Branson Police Department
Kansas City Police Department
St. Joseph Police Department
St. Louis County Police Department
Phelps County Sheriff's Department



Julie Morff
Director
April 14, 2023



Ross Strobe
Assistant Director
April 14, 2023