## FIRST REGULAR SESSION

# **HOUSE BILL NO. 661**

# **102ND GENERAL ASSEMBLY**

#### INTRODUCED BY REPRESENTATIVE SMITH (155).

DANA RADEMAN MILLER, Chief Clerk

## AN ACT

To repeal section 162.961, RSMo, and to enact in lieu thereof one new section relating to special education due process hearings.

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the state of Missouri, as follows:

Section A. Section 162.961, RSMo, is repealed and one new section enacted in lieu 2 thereof, to be known as section 162.961, to read as follows:

162.961. 1. A parent, guardian or the responsible educational agency may request a 2 due process hearing before the administrative hearing commission with respect to any matter 3 relating to identification, evaluation, educational placement, or the provision of a free 4 appropriate public education of the child. Such request shall include the child's name, 5 address, school, issue, and suggested resolution of dispute if known. Except as provided in 6 subsection 4 of this section, the administrative hearing commission shall within fifteen days after receiving notice assign a commissioner who is not an employee of the state board of 7 8 education or department of elementary and secondary education to hear the case. 9 Commissioners shall have some knowledge or training involving children with disabilities, shall not have a personal or professional interest which would conflict with [his or her] such 10 commissioner's objectivity in the hearing, and shall meet the training and assessment 11 requirements pursuant to state regulations, federal law and regulation requirements of the 12 Individuals With Disabilities Education Act, and the requirements in section 621.253. No 13 14 commissioner who conducts a due process hearing shall have been employed within the last 15 five years by a school district or by an organization engaged in special education parent and student advocacy, performed work for a school district or for a parent or student as a special 16 17 education advocate within the last five years as an independent contractor or consultant, been

EXPLANATION — Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] in the above bill is not enacted and is intended to be omitted from the law. Matter in **bold-face** type in the above bill is proposed language.

1160H.01I

### HB 661

18 employed within the last five years by the state board of education or department of 19 elementary and secondary education, or performed work for the state board of education or 20 department of elementary and secondary education within the last five years as an 21 independent contractor or consultant, or been party to a special education proceeding as an 22 attorney, parent, or child. During the pendency of any hearing, or prior to the assignment of 23 the commissioner, the parties may, by mutual agreement, submit their dispute to a mediator 24 pursuant to section 162.959.

25 2. The parent or guardian, school official, and other persons affected by the action in 26 question shall present at the hearing all pertinent evidence relative to the matter under appeal. All rights and privileges as described in section 162.963 shall be permitted. In hearings 27 relating to a child with a disability that are held under the Individuals with Disabilities 28 29 Act (20 U.S.C. Section 1400 et seq.), applicable state law, or rules or regulations 30 promulgated under such federal or state law, the burden of proof and the burden of 31 production shall be on the school district in any due process hearing regarding any 32 matter related to the identification, evaluation, reevaluation, classification, educational 33 placement, disciplinary action, or the provision of a free appropriate public education of 34 the child.

35 3. After review of all evidence presented and a proper deliberation, the commissioner, 36 within the [time lines] timelines required by the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act, 37 20 U.S.C. Section 1415 and any amendments thereto, shall determine its findings, 38 conclusions, and decision in the matter in question and forward the written decision to the 39 parents or guardian of the child and to the president of the appropriate local board of education or responsible educational agency and to the department of elementary and 40 41 secondary education. A specific extension of the [time line] timeline may be made by the 42 commissioner assigned to the matter at the request of either party, except in the case of an 43 expedited hearing as provided in subsection 4 of this section.

44 4. An expedited due process hearing by the administrative hearing commission may 45 be requested by a parent to challenge a disciplinary change of placement or to challenge a 46 manifestation determination in connection with a disciplinary change of placement or by a responsible educational agency to seek a forty-five school day alternative educational 47 placement for a dangerous or violent student. The administrative hearing commission shall 48 assign a commissioner to hear the case and render a decision within the [time line] timeline 49 50 required by federal law and state regulations implementing federal law. A specific extension 51 of the [time line] timeline is only permissible to the extent consistent with federal law and 52 pursuant to state regulations.

53 5. If the responsible public agency requests a due process hearing to seek a forty-five 54 school day alternative educational placement for a dangerous or violent student, the agency

#### HB 661

55 shall show by substantial evidence that there is a substantial likelihood the student will injure

56 [himself] the student or others and that the agency made reasonable efforts to minimize that 57 risk, and shall show that the forty-five school day alternative educational placement will 58 provide a free appropriate public education which includes services and modifications to 59 address the behavior so that [it] such behavior does not reoccur, and continue to allow 60 progress in the general education curriculum.

6. Any due process hearing request and responses to the request shall conform to the 62 requirements of the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). Determination of 63 the sufficiency shall be made by the commissioner. The commissioner shall enforce the 64 process and procedures, including [time lines] timelines, required by the IDEA, related to 65 sufficiency of notice, response to notice, determination of sufficiency dispute, and 66 amendments of the notice.

7. A preliminary meeting, known as a resolution session, shall be convened by the responsible public agency, under the requirements of the IDEA. The process and procedures required by the IDEA in connection to the resolution session and any resulting written settlement agreement shall be implemented. The responsible public agency or its designee shall sign the agreement. The designee identified by the responsible public agency shall have the authority to bind the agency. A local board of education, as a responsible public agency, shall identify a designee with authority to bind the school district.

8. Notwithstanding any provision of law to the contrary, when conducting a due process hearing, the administrative hearing commission shall conform all of its practices, procedures, filing deadlines, and response times to the requirements of the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA).

✓