

BILL NUMBER: HB 1163				DATE: <b>4/5/2023</b>
COMMITTEE: Elementary and S	econdary Education			
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: ALEX GRIFFIN			PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATI	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: alex@moempowe	er.org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT I 4/4/202	DATE: 23 7:21 PM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
this bill because p good faith with pa about the child's changes, they hav	parental consent create arents on their child's li IEP without parent con ve no choice but to eng	children with disabilities es a level playing field for EP. Right now, a school c sent when the IEP is rene page in due process hear	r school districts t listrict can make a wed. If a parent d ings or other cost	o collaborate in a lot of decisions lisagrees with the ly types of lawsuits

against the school district. I think a better solution for school, child, and parent is a more collaborative approach to disagreements. When schools and families collaborate and work together as equal participants, there are better outcomes for the student. Better outcomes for the child, result in a child who will have opportunities to achieve their maximum potential. I do not think schools should be able to make unilateral decisions regarding IEPs without at least consulting the parent. For these reasons, I support this bill.



BILL NUMBER: HB 1163				DATE: <b>4/5/2023</b>
COMMITTEE: Elementary and S	econdary Education			
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION T		IATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: AMY GOTT			PHONE NUM	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: pxlranger@gmail.	com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 4/5/20	DATE: 23 3:07 AM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FORI	M IS PUBLIC RECO	ORD UNDER CHA	APTER 610, RSMo.
place & the IEP wa months), those se progress." It was years.My opinion Sped parents kno strengthsprobat improvement (des	termittently make progr as followed, the data we ervices would be remov usually catastrophic. It did not seem to matter- w their childrentheir tr oly more so than most. I spite parental protest). ( s to be followed (or acti	ould reflect it. By the r ed, despite my oppos didn't just happen on even as a participation riggers, their reaction IEP teams can & do di Children get transferre	next IEP meeting (us itionbecause he w ce or twice but mult ng & meaningful me s, how they think, th scontinue services ed to more restrictiv	sually within two as "making iple timesfor mber of the IEP team. heir deficits & their that elicit re environments when

for the parent/child but typing out parental concerns & filing due process. Seems extreme & costly over a minor intervention that can make a huge difference between success & failure. This is the sad reality of IEP meetings in Missouri. Parental contribution is easily dismissed--and at the child's expense. There should be an intervention besides disregarded parent concerns & due process. Parents should be able to have some sort of voice in their child's education.



BILL NUMBER: HB 1163				DATE: <b>4/5/2023</b>		
COMMITTEE: Elementary and Secondary Education						
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES		
		WITNESS NAME				
INDIVIDUAL:						
WITNESS NAME: ARNIE C."HONES	WITNESS NAME: PHONE NUMBER: PHONE NUMBER:					
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	DN NAME:		TITLE:	TITLE:		
ADDRESS:						
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:		
EMAIL: arniedienoff@yah	oo.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT E 4/5/202	DATE: 3 11:40 PM		
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.						
I am in Support of this Bill and it Intension to provide Parental-Rights.						



BILL NUMBER: HB 1163				DATE: <b>4/5/2023</b>
COMMITTEE: Elementary and S	econdary Education			
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		IATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: ASHLLY BANKS			PHONE NUM	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: ashIIy22683@aol.	com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 4/3/202	DATE: 23 6:34 PM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
		nt of a child with disabilit		
		g impact for my child. I s		
		ghts related to their child' n. Right now, a school (		
		ent when the IEP is renew		
		cisions. They have no cho		
		ocess hearings or other t		
		ionship between the teac		
		situations, but what abou		
		l energy that a school tea otional capital into a stud		
		is is truly the main reaso		
		s to emotional capital whe		
		in due process hearings a		
		their trust in the school d		
		tress. School administrat		•
educators involve	d in due process heari	ngs request a transfer ou	it of special educ	ation or leave the

educators involved in due process hearings request a transfer out of special education or leave the field of teaching altogether (Pudelski, 2016). The American Association of School Administrators asserts that schools and state governments need to rethink how parents and schools resolve disputes (AASA Executive Summary, 2016). An important, proven prevention strategy is for schools to engage in consensus building approaches where everyone has equal say at the table (Center for Alternatives to Dispute Resolution, 2021). Providing parents with more robust consent procedures would provide that. For these reasons, I support this bill.



BILL NUMBER: HB 1163				DAT <b>4/5</b>	E: <b>/2023</b>
COMMITTEE: Elementary and Secondary Education					
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		ORMATIO	NAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: CARMEN WARD			PHONE	NUMBER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:		
ADDRESS:			<b>I</b>		
CITY:			STATE:		ZIP:
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:	SUE 4/5	BMIT DATE: 2023 12:	00 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.					



BILL NUMBER: HB 1163				DATE: <b>4/5/2023</b>	
COMMITTEE: Elementary and Secondary Education					
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFOR	MATIONAL PURPOSES	
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: CAROLINE HINNI			PHONE NU	MBER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	DN NAME:		TITLE:		
ADDRESS:			·		
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:	
EMAIL: ATTENDANCE: SUBMIT DATE:   caroline.hinni@gmail.com Written 4/5/2023 1:48 PM					
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.					



BILL NUMBER: HB 1163				DA 4/	TE: <b>5/2023</b>
COMMITTEE: Elementary and Secondary Education					
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR	INFORMATIC	ONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: CARRIANNE			PF	IONE NUMBER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TI	TLE:	
ADDRESS:	ADDRESS:				
CITY:			ST	ATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: ckoch@cruselaw.	com	ATTENDANCE: Written		SUBMIT DATE: 4/4/2023 2:	53 PM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.					



BILL NUMBER: HB 1163				DATE: <b>4/5/2023</b>
COMMITTEE: Elementary and So	econdary Education			
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: CHRIS FAUGHN			PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: zzfaugc@msn.com	n	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT E 4/4/202	DATE: 23 3:12 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.				
I support HB 1163 because children need to have the best possible outcomes. I have seen a real				

difference when my child works with educational professionals who have clinical experience working with disabled children. Parents want parental consent for IEP modifications pursuant to the language in HB 1163.



BILL NUMBER: HB 1163		DATE: <b>4/5/2023</b>
COMMITTEE: Elementary and Secondary Educat	tion	
TESTIFYING: VIN SUPPOR	T OF IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME	
INDIVIDUAL:		
WITNESS NAME: CHRISTINA MARY INGOGLIA		PHONE NUMBER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:
ADDRESS:		
CITY:		STATE: ZIP:
EMAIL: cingoglia@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: In-Person	SUBMIT DATE: 4/4/2023 6:08 PM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS	FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD U	INDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.
100% of her school day. My husba community, to attend church, go to children her age. We hired an advo- led to our daughter being in a reg o own daughter, a truly bright and fu capacitytimewise, financially, or o were on the verge of filing Due Pro- eventually compromised, we knew room and the only way to stop that relocate to St. Louis for 2 reasons: second was we wanted better scho found that in Parkway Schools. My Highcroft Ridge Elementary where learns, and has some fun, too. She Disabilities Awareness week at her teachers and support staff. Still, it for any child to receive a free and a collaborate. I firmly believe that if take family and child desires and r say this as the daughter of a retire This is not about being against tea	e she hangs out with her peers, is b e recently gave a presentation to he r school. We have a wonderful worl shouldn't take moving 2 hours awa appropriate public education, and f f parents had to consent to big cha needs more seriously. Collaboration ed 3rd grade teacher and the sister achers. It's about giving parents so rs. It's about not having to fight quit	daughter to be integrated in her e learns so much from other s several meetings that eventually is painful to have to justify why my Most families do not have the inclusion for our daughter. We to do. Had the school not daughter in a fully segregated A year later, we decided to care for our daughter, and the and humbled to say that we have rr day in a reg ed 1st grade room at eing academically challenged and er class about her talker for king relationship with all of Lilly's ay within, or even out of, Missouri for the school to willingly nges within IEPs, schools would n would be more easily achieved. I of a middle school music teacher. me control over the trajectory of



BILL NUMBER: HB 1163				DATE: <b>4/5/2023</b>	
COMMITTEE: Elementary and Secondary Education					
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		MATIONAL PURPOSES	
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: CRYSTAL LYNN S			PHONE NUM	/BER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:		
ADDRESS:					
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:	
EMAIL: cpayne9272014@gmail.comATTENDANCE: WrittenSUBMIT DATE: 4/5/2023 6:51 AM					
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.					
My son has an IEP. He really needs this is place for his diagnosis and to get the education he deserves.					

I am in support of this bill.



BILL NUMBER: HB 1163			DATE: <b>4/5/2023</b>
COMMITTEE: Elementary and Secondary Education			•
TESTIFYING: VIN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: CYNTHIA RAMSEY		PHONE NUM	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: cynthiarramsey@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: In-Person	SUBMIT I 4/4/202	DATE: 23 11:22 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM	I IS PUBLIC RECORI	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
I'm writing in support of HB 1163 Parental have to provide express consent for IEP c schools need this law, not just my district through as a result of not having a choice 2 yrs old with Autism through the ECHO A University of Missouri. Soon after diagno Cooperative for services. Soon, our local where children with IEPs and children with son thrived in the program and when it wa	hanges since parents m but here's my persona in changes made to my outism program of the Th sis, we connected with o school district contacte hout were introduced to as appropriate, he went o	ust sign to imple l experience of w son's IEP.Our so nompson Center our local Early Cl d us about an In formal education on to general Pre	ement an IEP. All what we've been on, was diagnosed at for Autism at the hildhood Education tegrated Preschool n and each other. My e-School.In February

2020, before the pandemic, the school called an IEP meeting, which was expected. I expected the meeting would go as most do, but I'd had reservations building for several months regarding how and if the IEP was being executed, how and if progress was being tracked, and finally how my son might react to the Kindergarten setting. So, I attended the meeting with several notes and 2 advocates, one was my son's caseworker from Easterseals and the other an advocate from MPACT. We never discussed anything in my notes. I was presented with a document I later learned was called a RED (Review of Existing Data). The multi-page document listed several things my son may or may not be capable of, and the school had entered a response for each. They read through the document aloud, and at the end of each item they would ask if I had anything to add. It became increasingly clear to me that they had done some work ahead of time to prepare and present at this meeting. When they asked for my response, I honestly stated I didn't know what to say. At one point I stopped them from reading and I discussed how I felt blindsided, I asked what the document was, why it was being presented, etc. I was told it was required before he entered Kindergarten. They said he couldn't automatically take his IEP to Kindergarten. This document had to determine \*IF\* he would have an IEP going forward. I again relayed my confusion, why was I not told, why was I not given opportunity to respond. They said my opportunity to respond was then, now, in the meeting. I said that was not possible. I needed to have time to fully read and understand the document. I needed to research it and the transition to Kindergarten policy that they indicated. I asked for time and was told I could have it. When I specifically asked how soon they needed my response. I was told 30 days. About 2 weeks after this meeting, I had researched and gathered information and emailed the Special Education Coordinator and Principal. I expressed again my concerns for how the RED was presented in the meeting and questions I had about the required transition process. I never received answers to my questions. A couple of days later, I received a certified envelope with signature required. It was a Notice of Action terminating my son's IEP, effective the date of the meeting. Included with the documents was another copy of the RED, but this one had a very small and significant addition. At the bottom of the last page they had typed, "Mother said she has nothing to add". While the words may have been accurate, they

were completely out of context and the decision was served long before the 30 days they had told me. I contacted the advocates who had attended with me that night, asking if I had somehow misunderstood what was said in the meeting, but they confirmed my worst fears. When I reached out to IEP advocates and professionals about possible next steps there were 2 choices: Due Process or do nothing. You likely already know that Due Process is a legal proceeding. Beyond that, we were told that we would likely end up in a lawsuit, because it was my word against the school since Missouri does not require a parent's signature for IEP plans or related documents. All of this could have gone so much differently if my inked signature would have been required. At the least, I would have had time to understand what was happening in the process and BE part of that process.So, that is what it all comes down to for me. A signature was required for me to have documents delivered that told me of the IEP termination, but no signature was required for my response to the RED, the termination or any other IEP documents. This bill is so much more than asking a parent to sign a form. It is safeguarding against miscommunication so that all families have the right and opportunity to advocate for their students. It fosters discussion and communication of the entire IEP team, including the parents, and creates a place for collaboration to best serve the student. Please help Missouri families to have a voice and a place to speak up for their child's IEP by requiring Parental Consent.



BILL NUMBER: HB 1163				DA <sup>-</sup> 4/5	TE: 5 <b>/2023</b>
COMMITTEE: Elementary and Secondary Education					
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR	INFORMATIC	NAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: CYNTHIA RAMSE	Y		PF	IONE NUMBER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	DN NAME:		TI	TLE:	
ADDRESS:			L. L		
CITY:			ST	TATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:		SUBMIT DATE: 4/5/2023 12	:00 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.					



BILL NUMBER: HB 1163			DATE: <b>4/5/2023</b>	
COMMITTEE: Elementary and Secondary Education	on			
TESTIFYING: VIN SUPPORT	OF IN OPPOSITION		IATIONAL PURPOSES	
	WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: DANIELLE WAGNER		PHONE NUM	BER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: TITLE:				
ADDRESS:				
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:	
EMAIL: daniellekwagner@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 4/4/20	DATE: 23 3:08 PM	
THE INFORMATION ON THIS F	FORM IS PUBLIC REC	ORD UNDER CHA	APTER 610, RSMo.	
I am a single mother to 9 year old sp fighting for OT services for 2 out of school just doesn't have a passion f dealing with the same issue. I have disagree with this woman's want to am constantly fighting for every ser kids, not taking away from them. Th against the school. If you make too	3 of my kids. I am getting for her job any longer and numerous doctors as well take services away from r vice my children receive. ese IEP meetings can be	the impression that to I have heard that 3 of as out patient therap ny children. As a sing It is 2023 and we sho very intimidating, it fo	the lead OT for their other families are pist that strongly gle mother I feel like I ould be helping these eels like it is you	

against the school. If you make too many waves as a parent, you feel like the school will punish your children. This is a very sad and scary place to be as a parent. If the school is legally required to provide these services, than I believe every parent has a right to hold them accountable and should be able to require consent. Thanks you for your time



BILL NUMBER: HB 1163				DATE: <b>4/5/2023</b>	
COMMITTEE: Elementary and Se	econdary Education				
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES	
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: DIANE DRAGAN			PHONE NUM	BER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIC	BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: TITLE:				
ADDRESS:					
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:	
EMAIL: dldragan@msn.co	m	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT I 4/5/202	DATE: 23 12:35 PM	
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.	
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo. Allowing a parent to have meaningful input into changes in their child's IEP is essential. Last year I was put into a position that forced me to file 2 due process petitions for my children to STOP a service that was not effective. I simply asked to end those service minutes as they were not impactful, and I was paying for outside tutoring in that area of need. The school gave me 3 options: 1) decline my children's entire IEP including the services that were helping; 2) have my child forcibly removed from their classroom to attend the services I did not agree to; or 3) file Due Process. I chose option 3. The case resulted in a settlement where rather than the school simply ending the services I did not agree					

to, they were forced to end the services AND pay for my outside tutoring along with my attorney's fees and the district attorney's fees. It was ridiculous that I could not stop an ineffective service without consent from the school or filing a lawsuit. As someone who routinely advocates for other families, it is extremely common for the school to bulldoze over parents when they have no incentive to compromise on services, minutes, programming, etc. Please consider passing this legislation.



BILL NUMBER: HB 1163				DATE: <b>4/5/2023</b>	
COMMITTEE: Elementary and Secondary Education					
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES	
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: ELISE MOORE			PHONE NUME	BER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	DN NAME:		TITLE:		
ADDRESS:					
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:	
email: elise.olivia@gmail	l.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT I 4/4/202	DATE: 23 7:00 PM	
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.					
I have an autistic son that receives early intervention services and is starting the IEP process. I want to					

I have an autistic son that receives early intervention services and is starting the IEP process. I want to have a day in his services. Parental consent on IEPs should be required.



BILL NUMBER: HB 1163			DATE: <b>4/5/2023</b>
COMMITTEE: Elementary and Secondary Education			
TESTIFYING: VIN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: HEATHER HARRISON		PHONE NUMB	ER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:		I	
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: rh_harrison08@hotmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 4/4/202	ATE: 3 11:02 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
I am in support of HB 1163. I have three of the schools and parents can be on the sa a right to consent if changes are being m medically and academically. I know what schools will do what works best for them for the child. For example, I had requeste my daughter not working well with that p responded with that teacher isn't availab that work. It's important that the child an speech or are nonverbal. Another examp response was we will still work on it, it ju be collected on that skill that I'm request progress. Also, when changes are made	ame page and have open nade to the IEP because p my children are capable n due to scheduling purp ed my daughter's teacher particular educator. There le due to her class load. d teacher are able to born ole is when I requested a ust wont be a goal in her ing her to work on, whick	communication. barents know their of and I feel that oses and staffing be changed to a is more than one I feel that kids car ad, especially, whe specific goal to b IEP. If its not in he h means I won't be	Parents should have r children best, both sometimes the and not what is best different one due to e sped teacher. They n be shifted to make en they have limited be in the IEP, their er IEP, data will not

prepared for his schedule to change it could mean he has to come home for the day due to change in routine. People with autism thrive on routine. Parental Consent will open the doors to better advocate for their children.



BILL NUMBER: HB 1163				DATE: <b>4/5/2023</b>	
COMMITTEE: Elementary and Secondary Education					
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		MATIONAL PURPOSES	
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: JEANETTE THOM	WITNESS NAME: PHONE NUMBER: PHONE NUMBER:				
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:		
ADDRESS:					
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:	
EMAIL: nettdawn62@gmail.com ATTENDANCE: Written SUBMIT DATE: 4/4/2023 6:22 PM					
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.					



BILL NUMBER: HB 1163				DATE: <b>4/5/2023</b>
COMMITTEE: Elementary and So	econdary Education			
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: JENNIFER KOCHE	ER		PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: jenkocher@gmail.	.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT [ 4/5/202	DATE: 23 11:04 PM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.				
As a parent of a person with a disability in the Kirkwood School District, I am in favor of this bill. By requiring parental consent for changes to the Individualized Education Program, the law would				

encourage collaboration by disparate members of the IEP Team. I know that collaboration between various parties is difficult and counterproductive, having had experience as the parental member of an IEP Team that had multiple parties from Kirkwood School District and the Special School District of St. Louis County. Research has shown that collaboration is important to outcomes, and better outcomes benefit everybody.



BILL NUMBER: HB 1163				DATE: <b>4/5/2023</b>	
COMMITTEE: Elementary and Secondary Education					
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES	
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: JESSICA HUFF			PHONE NUM	BER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:		
ADDRESS:					
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:	
EMAIL: ATTENDANCE: SUBMIT DATE:   huff.jessica@gmail.com Written 4/5/2023 12:13 PM					
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.					
As a parent of two students with IEPs, I've learned that IDEA, the law of the land since 1975, is almost					

As a parent of two students with IEPs, I've learned that IDEA, the law of the land since 1975, is almost always enforced by parents when schools are not following it's guidelines. Any way we can lessen this burden for parents would be beneficial, and that's what I believe this bill does. I am strongly in favor.



BILL NUMBER: HB 1163				DATE: <b>4/5/2023</b>
COMMITTEE: Elementary and So	econdary Education			
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		IATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: JONI JAY			PHONE NUM	IBER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: TITLE:				
ADDRESS:			·	
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: joniannjay@gmail	.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 4/5/20	DATE: 23 1:52 PM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.				
Please support Parental Consent for IEPs. Parents need to be able to approve or disapprove what is done with our special needs children. We have a 14 year old with multiple disabilities. We are the				

done with our special needs children. We have a 14 year old with multiple disabilities. We are the consistent and know what has worked and has not. The teams change yearly, and they often try the same things that have Bern unsuccessfully tried before, resulting in continued failures. This bill would provide a vehicle for ensuring parents are closely involved in decisions and steps are not taken without parental consent. In our experience, the team chair may say "the team has agreed" to something on the iep, when in reality the parents disagree. This bill provides parents with leverage to ensure that decisions are truly collaborative and not unilateral.



BILL NUMBER: HB 1163				DATE: <b>4/5/2023</b>
COMMITTEE: Elementary and So	econdary Education			
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: JULIA CHANEY FA	AUGHN		PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	DN NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: berettajulia@hotm	nail.com	ATTENDANCE: In-Person	SUBMIT E 4/3/202	DATE: 23 9:47 PM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.				
In support of HB 1	163.			



BILL NUMBER: HB 1163				DATE: <b>4/5/2023</b>		
COMMITTEE: Elementary and S	econdary Education					
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES		
		WITNESS NAME				
INDIVIDUAL:						
WITNESS NAME: JULIA CHANEY FA	AUGHN		PHONE NUME	BER:		
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:			TITLE:	TITLE:		
ADDRESS:			·			
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:		
EMAIL: jchaneyfaughn@c	ruselaw.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT I 4/3/202	DATE: 23 9:36 PM		
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.						
I support HB1163. This bill keeps children safer and in better educational placements. Parents want the best for their children and far too many children are not receiving the education with the needed staffing ratios and special education services per an IEP. Parental consent matters for the safety of our						

staffing ratios and special education services per an IEP. Parental consent matters for the safety of our children. Parental consents matters so that children have the best evidence based outcomes. Additionally I believe this bill is fiscally responsible in that school districts will spend less on attorney fees.



BILL NUMBER: HB 1163				DA <b>4/</b>	TE: <b>5/2023</b>
COMMITTEE: Elementary and Secondary Education					
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR	INFORMATIO	ONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: JULIE CHANEY FA	WITNESS NAME: PHONE NUMBER: PHONE NUMBER:				
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TI	TLE:	
ADDRESS:					
CITY:			S	TATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:		SUBMIT DATE: 4/5/2023 12	2:00 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.					



BILL NUMBER: HB 1163				DAT <b>4/5</b>	E: <b>/2023</b>
COMMITTEE: Elementary and Secondary Education					
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		RMATIO	NAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: KAYCE MACHINO			PHONE	NUMBER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIC	DN NAME:		TITLE:		
ADDRESS:					
CITY:			STATE:		ZIP:
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:	SUB 4/5	MIT DATE: /2023 12:	00 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.					



BILL NUMBER: HB 1163				DATE: <b>4/5/2023</b>
COMMITTEE: Elementary and So	econdary Education			
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: KIM LINHARES			PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: mcnmom0721@gr	mail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT E 4/5/202	DATE: 3 8:31 PM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.				
I am a parent of a three children. I am in support of HB 1163.				



BILL NUMBER: HB 1163				DATE: <b>4/5/2023</b>
COMMITTEE: Elementary and So	econdary Education			
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		MATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: KRISTIN REHG			PHONE NUM	/BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			·	
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: kristinrehg@yaho	o.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 4/5/20	DATE: 123 12:34 PM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CH	APTER 610, RSMo.
When a student has disabilities, a team consisting of the parents or guardians, teachers, administrators, specialists, and school psychologists work together to develop an IEP (Individualized Education Program) for the student. Parents or guardians must sign the IEP so that it can go into				

Education Program) for the student. Parents or guardians must sign the IEP so that it can go into effect. After the IEP is implemented, the school can make changes at any time. While developing the IEP should be a collaborative effort, there are times the parents or guardians do not agree with what the school decides to change. They may choose not to sign it, but it still goes into effect after ten days. Parents or guardians have only ten days to file due process to stop the amended IEP from being implemented. Some states have passed laws requiring parental consent for changes made to the IEP. By making sure all parties are in agreement, this law encourages collaboration by the IEP team.



BILL NUMBER: HB 1163				DATE: <b>4/5/2023</b>
COMMITTEE: Elementary and S	econdary Education			
TESTIFYING:	▼ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: LARA WAKEFIEL	D		PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			I	
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: wakefieldconsulta om	ationservices@gmail.c	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT E 4/4/202	DATE: 23 1:42 PM
Dear Committee, My name is Dr. Lara Wakefield and I'm a private practice advocate for children with disabilities and I live in Boone County. I have over 400 clients who have children with IEPs. I support this bill because parents currently do not have certain consent rights related to their child's IEPs that are necessary for making sure their child receives an appropriate education. This bill would provide those desperately needed rights. Ten other states have adopted this language in to their laws already. Currently, my clients are forced into situations in their child's educational programming and have no way to fight it, unless they file a due process or other type of legal action against the district. Most of				
where there are le school that had w had the parental of to these placement As Missouri grow would be in align changes in their of schools and famil the student. It wo provisions propos	ess qualified staff or un rooden seclusion boxes consent provisions in th nts. Instead, they had to s in its ability to ensure ment with that moveme child's IEP. They would lies collaborate and wo uld be a much better in sed in this bill, than to o	they get bullied into acce certified staff in several i s where their children we his bill, the parents would o file lawsuits which have that parents have more nt. Parents would finally have equal decision-mal rk together as equal part vestment in our children continue the current path ase reasons, I support thi	nstances! They w re locked into dai I have had the po been in the cour say in their child' have a voice in co king power as the icipants, there are s futures to have where parents an	rere placed in a ly. If they would have wer to not consent ts for 3 years now. s education, this bill ertain proposed school staff. When better outcomes for the parental consent re either left without



BILL NUMBER: HB 1163				DATE: <b>4/5/2023</b>
COMMITTEE: Elementary and S	econdary Education			
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF		FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: LUKE BARBER			PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: luke.t.barber@um	sl.edu	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT I 4/5/202	DATE: 23 1:14 AM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FORM	I IS PUBLIC RECORD	UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
I support this bill with Modification. While Parental Consent is okay True consent should come from Students with disabilities when they are able to understand and have the knowledge about their rights and responsibilities. We often know ourselves best. As a Student with a Disability , My parents thought they knew what was best for me But there were times I knew myself better than they did . I knew I				

needed certain supports and things to function as well as what I wanted to do after High school. While not everything will work out, often learning by trial and error I eventually figured out what would work for Post Secondary(after Highschool graduation). While my Transitional IEP had the Classic Stereotypes - Not Cut out for College or Not Employable ... These have been proven wrong. Therefore for those of the Transitional Age (16+) Student Sign off should also be required.



BILL NUMBER: HB 1163				DATE: <b>4/5/2023</b>
COMMITTEE: Elementary and Se	econdary Education			
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		RMATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: MANDY NOLAN			PHONE NU	JMBER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:		IT DATE: 023 12:00 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.				



BILL NUMBER: HB 1163				DATE: <b>4/5/2023</b>
COMMITTEE: Elementary and Se	econdary Education			
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		MATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: MARGARET HORN	N		PHONE NU	IMBER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIC	DN NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: maggieglauber@g	mail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written		IT DATE: 023 1:28 PM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.				
As a parent of children who have Individual Education Plans I feel it is super important to include families in the process. Families are the ones who keep track of all of the child's medical, educational				

families in the process. Families are the ones who keep track of all of the child's medical, educational and school related evaluations and information. This has come in handy when our kids have moved from elementary school to middle. We know what services have worked and which ones were not right for our children. By not including parents/families in the IEP process you miss out on key team members with specific and individualized information about the child. Without parents present an IEP loses the "I" or individualized attention necessary to make appropriate decisions about the child.



BILL NUMBER: HB 1163				DATE <b>4/5/2</b>	
COMMITTEE: Elementary and So	econdary Education			•	
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		RMATION	IAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: MICHELLE RIBAU	DO (SON ANDY)		PHONE	NUMBER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:		
ADDRESS:			<b>I</b>		
CITY:			STATE:		ZIP:
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:		BMIT DATE: /2023 12:0	00 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.					



BILL NUMBER: HB 1163			DATE: <b>4/5/2023</b>
COMMITTEE: Elementary and Secondary Education			
<b>TESTIFYING: IN</b> SUPPORT OF		FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: MIKE AND MARY FITZGERALD		PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: mfitzgerald1809@yahoo.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 4/5/202	DATE: 23 2:47 PM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM Representatives, April 5, 2023We are writi			
Education Plan, or IEP. This is a plan or pridentified under federal law and can attens specialized instruction and related service because we do not consider our local put far failed to follow his IEP.With this petition comes to IEPs.You see, when we as parer Of those staff present, most might have or taught him for a few months. If we're luck several years. But the fact remains that mexperts on his needs and his future college process, parents have many legal rights a school can take certain actions, it must im parents, including us, have experienced a complex language, the concealment of ed related services that our son is entitled to like a card game where we only hold one of holds the rest of the cards. The district us services and supports to enable him to les system is stacked against parents, ensuri hand. Missouri loses because our kids wir college and trade schools, find good jobs and dignity.As it stands now in Missouri, making. There have been times when we consider our concerns. To redress this im process to get the changes needed. This adversarial relationship that destroys related against the parents, the student and their this, including post-traumatic stress disor the right to consent in IEP decisions? Dis using plain language to explain our rights informed decision-makers in every step or streed and the step and the st	d an elementary or seconda es. We live in Kirkwood. Our olic high school safe for him on, we as parents are seeking its enter an IEP meeting, we nly just met our son, while of y, then some have provided y husband and I and, most of ge plans. During the evaluation of protections, including In form us and get our written and protections, including In form us and get our written are unfair tactics that benefit lucational options, and the of receive under the law. In our card. The school district know arn grade-level work. In Miss ing that most of the time the th disabilities then become a , pay taxes and lead lives de parents and students do not disagreed with the IEP plan abalance, we would have to I puts an undue financial burd tionships, hurting the IEP te to take this step, some district siblings, denying services. rder arising from school-bas tricts would then work harder and responsibilities. The pa	ry educationa son is a virtu, while the sc g to level the are outnumb others in the r therapy to him of all, our son on and specie formed conse consent. How the school d lenial of appro- to deny our s souri, the spe ir kids end up adults withou fined by inde thave power and the distri- hire a lawyer add the distri- hire a lawyer add the distri- hire a lawyer add the distri- hire a lawyer add the distri- hire a lawyer and the distri- hire a lawyer add the distri- hire a distri- hire	al school to obtain ual student at home hool district has so playing field when it bered by district staff. foom may only have m in school for are the only true al education ent. Before the wever, what many istrict: the use of opriate supports and on the related ecial education b with the losing it the skills to enter ependence, purpose in IEP decision- ct refused to and go to due es. It also creates an process becomes a onded by retaliating sonally experienced /hat if parents had informed consent by udents would be fully

were more transparent about choices? We parents and students would then be equal decision-makers in the meetings about inclusion and class placement. We think it would be more likely that decisions would be made in the best interest of students, because now their voices would also be respected. Students would then have the opportunity to learn self-advocacy at an early age and the IEP process could finally be centered on the one person that matters most — the student!We live in St.Louis County. Our local district, Kirkwood, works with the Special School district to provide a free and appropriate education. The Special School District is a huge bureaucracy serving 22 partner districts with an annual budget in 2022 of nearly \$500 million — by far the largest budget of any Missouri school district.During the last SSD Board of Governors meetings, SSD was asked to operate at a deficit for several years because they had saved too much money. How was that possible? From our conversations with many parents countywide, and our own personal experience, we believe it is due to SSD denying initial evaluations, re-evaluations and related services, and reducing the scope and quality of services even when they are approved. Last September, the SSD administrator denied my son the right to a re-evaluation in all areas to prepare for the IEP and to document the need for accommodations for community college. In that meeting, known as a Review of Existing Data, or RED meeting, we were out-voted by SSD. We had to then request an Independent Educational Evaluation, or IEE. We were told we wouldn't receive the evaluation report until May, a full eight months after the initial RED meeting. We needed the math testing results to advocate for appropriate math instruction for this current year consequently he is not taking a math course this year. SSD's attempt to save money by denying evaluations means our son will need an extra year of high school. In conclusion, we are asking that you give parents consent, and that you give our kids both a voice and a choice in their educational futures. Districts will then have to do better to provide a free and appropriate education and support for students with disabilities. We will have stronger IEP teams because parents and students will have a real seat at the IEP table!Thank you for your time and attention.Sincerely,Mary and Mike Fitzgerald



BILL NUMBER: HB 1163				DATE: <b>4/5/2023</b>
COMMITTEE: Elementary and So	econdary Education			
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: MILDRED CHANE	Y		PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			<b>I</b>	
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: mildredsuechaney	y@yahoo.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT I 4/5/202	DATE: 23 3:57 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.				



BILL NUMBER: HB 1163				DA <sup>-</sup> <b>4/</b> {	TE: 5 <b>/2023</b>
COMMITTEE: Elementary and So	econdary Education				
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR	INFORMATIC	NAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: PAUL COVINGTO	N		PH	IONE NUMBER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		רוד	TLE:	
ADDRESS:					
CITY:			ST	ATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:	•	SUBMIT DATE: 4/5/2023 12	:00 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.					



BILL NUMBER: HB 1163				DATE: <b>4/5/2023</b>
COMMITTEE: Elementary and So	econdary Education			
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: REBECCA UCCEL	LO		PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	DN NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: Piximama2010@g	mail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT E 4/5/202	DATE: 23 6:46 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.				
I support this bill because parents need to be an integral part of the IEP process and the team.				



BILL NUMBER: HB 1163			DATE: <b>4/5/2023</b>
COMMITTEE: Elementary and Secondary Education			
TESTIFYING: VIN SUPPORT OF		DR INFORMA	TIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED LOBBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: ROBYN SCHELP		PHONE NUMBE 660-441-32	
REPRESENTING: KIDS WIN MISSOURI			OF POLICY AND Y
ADDRESS: 3909 SHERMAN CT			
CITY: COLUMBIA		STATE: <b>MO</b>	ZIP: 65203
EMAIL: rschelp@kidswinmissouri.org	ATTENDANCE: In-Person	SUBMIT DA 4/5/2023	ATE: 8 7:55 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM	IS PUBLIC RECORD UN	DER CHAF	PTER 610, RSMo.
Kids Win Missouri supports HB 1163 and Missouri's students with disabilities.Kids be a collaborative process between all the administrators, parents, and the student. It change the placement of a student agains disagreement, there should be time and eff the student.It is important to note that this school district. It simply creates a more conchanges to the IEP/504 plan. Also, the dist attempts to come to an agreement are exherts to placement.	Win Missouri believes that the members of the IEP team, ind Jnder current law, a school di t the will of the parent, guardi fort made to seek an agreeme bill does not remove all deci- bilaborative process. Parental rict has the ability to seek me	e IEP/504 pla cluding teac strict is able an, or stude ent that is in sion making consent is r ediation or du	n process should hers, to strip services or nt. When there is a the best interest of power from the not required for all ue process when all



BILL NUMBER: HB 1163				DATE: <b>4/5/2023</b>
COMMITTEE: Elementary and S	econdary Education			•
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION		IATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: TARA ARNETT			PHONE NUM	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: taraearnett@gmai	MAIL: ATTENDANCE: SUBMIT DATE: 4/4/2023 2:08 PM			
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC REC		APTER 610, RSMo.
placed in the prog fight that. My only figures. I previous school district be buildings. None o	n and is in a self-contain gramming he is in, I hor or option is a due process sly have lived in fear that cause of his diagnosis. If them end well and in the of this. There is no reco	nestly didn't agree wi as fight that would co at my son could be p I have seen several fact, I know of severa	th it. But there was n ost me thousands of a laced in a program o of his peers along th al that are no longer i	o recourse for me to dollars, likely into 5 utside of our public e way placed in these n the public school

case where nothing is guaranteed. There is no collaboration with parents when these placement changes are made. It's dropped on our laps and there is no choice except accepting the placement, withdrawing from school or filing a stay put motion within 10 days - which means you have only 10 days to find representation and payment options for this representation. It simply stacks the cards against parents and students and gives schools way too much power without any need for any collaboration. I hope that you will vote in favor of support of this bill.



BILL NUMBER: HB 1163				DATE: <b>4/5/2023</b>
COMMITTEE: Elementary and Second	econdary Education			
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: TERESA L SCOBE	E		PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: teresascobee@gn	nail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT I 4/4/202	ATE: 3 9:54 AM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FORM	IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
minimum of six te and I was an obse vernacular and wh end, many of my r the power to move repeatedly restrain disrobed in those asked them to allo the office saying s how to help my so could still do as th majority of parent wish someone wo advocate before w	achers and administrate erver. The meetings were nen I asked questions an requests were considered forward with their plan ned and locked in the so rooms because he was ow me to come to the sa school policy prohibited on, my voice meant noth ney deemed appropriate s to understand. After y ould rewrite it but that's a we saw change for the bo	's. In previous IEP meeti ors. They say we were a e not collaborative. They nd/or voiced concerns the do but denied and they has despite a parent's cond chool's SAFE ROOMs. H so stressed out with the fe room to help him call for the from entering school ing because my signature. IEPs are written in lega ears of working with IEF another story and anoth etter. But thousands of p	"team" but really d' talk about the hey'd say "we will ave the final say cerns/objections. e repeatedly uring it "professional" n they refused an ol grounds. When alize and not in pl s I still don't und er bill. We ultimat barents don't know	, they were a team IEP in their consider it." In the in IEPs. They have Our son was ated, defacated and methods. When I d made me wait in I tried to tell them ant nothing. They ain language for the erstand it fully and ely had to hire an
		or themselves. I hope no	b parent ever has	to go through what
	mily went through. The	or themselves. I hope no school consistenly tells ht kids I'm an extremely	me I should liste	

understands my children's needs and the strategies that work to help keep them calm so they can learn. We need the schools to hear our voice. We will not truly be an "IEP team" until we have equal say in our children's IEPs. Please help us gain true and just IEP team status by voting in favor of this bill! Thank you!



BILL NUMBER: HB 1163				DATE: <b>4/5/2023</b>
COMMITTEE: Elementary and Se	econdary Education			
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED LO	OBBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: TRACEY BLOCH			PHONE NUME 865-230-0	
REPRESENTING: MISSOURI DISABI	LITY EMPOWERMENT	FOUNDATION	TITLE:	
ADDRESS: 1408 KEAGAN CO	URT			
CITY: COLUMBIA			STATE: <b>MO</b>	ZIP: 65203
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:	SUBMIT DATE: 4/5/2023 12:00 AM	
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.



BILL NUMBER: HB 1163			TE: <b>5/2023</b>
COMMITTEE: Elementary and Secondary Education			
TESTIFYING: VIN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		ONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED LOBBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: TRACEY BLOCH		PHONE NUMBER: 865-230-0177	
REPRESENTING: MISSOURI DISABILITY EMPOWERMENT F	OUNDATION		LEGISLATIVE
ADDRESS: 1408 KEAGAN CT			
CITY: COLUMBIA		STATE: MO	ZIP: 65203
EMAIL: tracey@moempower.org	ATTENDANCE: In-Person	SUBMIT DATE: 4/4/2023 8:	35 PM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM	IS PUBLIC RECORD	UNDER CHAPTE	ER 610, RSMo.
what Representative Peters has already sa collaboration, and they serve as an active changes need to be made, the parents are children with IEPs and have never faced a where we look at all the options and work imagine having it any other way.Unfortuna has been inundated for years with cries fo same consideration to be collaborators. The nothing to do with their child's needs or accord only 10 days before the change is implement process or state complaints, but one is ve and many parents do not know how to do reached a decision and the parent success being the first thing parents do in a disagr IEP process a truly collaborative effort is a proposed, it is written into a document call saying, "consent is NOT REQUIRED for the where the proposed changes are listed with where it again says, "Consent NOT REQUI this form in agreement and waive the 10-d do not agree, my non-signature will not ma parent objections.So, what about the parent doesn't understand the process enough to towards agreement? In situations like this consent by filing due process against the the agreed upon areas in place and the are found. If the hearing officer finds that the or changes may be made regardless of the parent of the process of the parent of the parent? In situations like this consent by filing due process against the parent of the parent parent of the parent parent parent of the parent p	participant in finalizing t notified, and agreement situation where a chang together to choose the b tely, that is not how it wo r help from parents acrosche hey have been notified of chievement. This leaves ented. There are safegua ry costly while the other either one. Last school y s rate to win the complain eement, it would be bette an excellent way to make led a Prior Written Notice ese actions to be carried th reasons for the change RIED for action to be carried th reasons for the change atter – the changes will g nt that doesn't agree with o give informed consent , schools still retain the p parent. In the meantime, eas of disagreement will change is not a violation	he IEP for their child is sought. I persona e was made without est one for my child orks for all schools. ss the state who we f a significant chang parents without any rds in place for pare focuses on procedure ear, only 15 due pro nt is abysmal. If we er for everyone invo this happen.When a e. At the top of the for out." The middle of e. At the bottom is a ried out." I do have to changes to be imple to into place in 10 da h the changes or the no matter how hard power to override a the new IEP will go remain unchanged to	I. When mid-year ally have two a conversation ren. I cannot My organization re not given the le that had veto power and onts, such as due iral violations, cess complaints can avoid this lved. Making the a change is orm is a note the form is signature box the option to sign emented, but if I ays regardless of a parent who the LEA works parent's non- into effect with until resolution is



BILL NUMBER: HB 1163				DATE: <b>4/5/2023</b>
COMMITTEE: Elementary and S	econdary Education			
TESTIFYING:	IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: CARRIE TURNER			PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			·	
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: ATTENDANCE: Written			SUBMIT DATE: 4/4/2023 9:35 AM	
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.				
special education	processes includes up	when IEP consent is requision the initial evaluation ment is necessary, conse	for consideration	of eligibility when

initial special education services, and consent is required for the initial special education placement. Obtaining written parental consent for IEP revisions in the bill (initial placement, annual placement, placement change, removal of a service or services) is extreme. The IEP process, in itself, allows for parent participation and involvement related to the special education programming for the student. The IDEA law focuses on the importance of a student's progress based on his/her special education programming. Based on this bill, parents may want something added to the IEP that is not necessary for the student to make progress on his/her IEP goals (as is the requirement of IDEA). This situation alone may cause the parent to refuse to provide consent for the IEP or for parts of the IEP. This is highly concerning given the requirement for the student to receive a FAPE (Free Appropriate Public Education). It is the district's responsibility to ensure FAPE is in place regardless of the parent's request. This bill, if passed, potentially contradicts federal law, as it relates to FAPE. State law can not conflict with federal law. This bill indicates that only the parts of the IEP that the parents and district agree upon shall be implemented. Thus, the parts of the IEP not agreed upon will not be implemented. Then the student's last IEP will remain in effect in the areas of disagreement. This is not manageable for parents or special education teachers. Instructionally, there needs to be one document driving the programming for the student. It will be extremely confusing to know which IEP to refer to for random parts of the documents. The Department of Elementary and Secondary Education works to create state forms based on laws and regulations. Legislation should not mandate required content on a given form. There is already a form in place for Prior Written Notice, including consent. I have concerns with the bill in relation to students who have significant behaviors. If parents want the student to remain in the general classroom and not access the special education programming and/or services per the special education eligibility and appropriate services, then the parent can refuse to provide consent. In this situation, the student could potentially cause harm to other students and create a classroom environment that is consistently disrupted. If this happens, then the students in this classroom will be significantly impacted both with the potential for physical injury and also will have a lack of learning as instruction will be interrupted regularly. This situation again is concerning. It creates a disruptive environment and also a situation where the student with disabilities may not be receiving a FAPE simply because the parent chose to not provide consent to appropriate programming through the IEP process.



BILL NUMBER: HB 1163				DATE: <b>4/5/2023</b>	
COMMITTEE: Elementary and Secondary I	Education				
TESTIFYING: IN SU	PPORT OF IN OPP	OSITION TO		IATIONAL PURPOSE	
	WITNES	S NAME			
USINESS/ORGANIZATI	ON:				
WITNESS NAME: DR. KARLA ARNOLD			PHONE NUMBER: 816-217-7479		
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: MISSOURI COUNCIL OF ADMINISTRATORS OF SPECIAL EDUCATION			TITLE: DIRECTOR INNOVATION AND LEARNING		
ADDRESS: 4324 NORTHEAST 59TH TEF	RACE				
CITY: KANSAS CITY			STATE: MO	ZIP: 64119	
EMAIL:	ATTENDAN	ICE:		SUBMIT DATE: 4/5/2023 12:00 AM	