# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### **FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 3335H.01I Bill No.: HB 2135

Subject: Workers Compensation; Employees - Employers; Department of Labor and

Industrial Relations; Labor and Management; Drugs and Controlled Substances;

Health Care

Type: Original

Date: January 16, 2024

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies provisions relating to marijuana use and workers'

compensation.

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027		
<b>Total Estimated Net</b>					
<b>Effect on General</b>					
Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027		
<b>Total Estimated Net</b>					
Effect on Other State					
Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0		

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

L.R. No. 3335H.011 Bill No. HB 2135 Page **2** of **5** January 16, 2024

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027		
<b>Total Estimated Net</b>					
Effect on All Federal					
Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)						
FUND AFFECTED	ND AFFECTED FY 2025 FY 2026 FY 2					
<b>Total Estimated Net</b>						
Effect on FTE	0	0	0			

	imated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in an	y
(	the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.	

☐ Estimated Net Effect (savings or increased revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of
the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED FY 2025 FY 2026 FY 202					
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0		

L.R. No. 3335H.011 Bill No. HB 2135 Page **3** of **5** January 16, 2024

#### **FISCAL ANALYSIS**

## **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Department of Labor and Industrial Relations (DOLIR)** note their Division of Worker's Compensation believes the impact will be minimal but absorbable.

**Oversight** notes that additional comments regarding impact were provided by DOLIR, via email, stating:

**DOLIR** also stated the impact to the Division of Workers' Compensation upon passage of HB 2135 and HB 1990 would be minimal. In the bill, Section 287.120.6(1) stipulates that if marijuana is used in conjunction with the injury sustained in the workplace, the compensation and death benefit shall be reduced by fifty percent, so long as marijuana usage is against company policy. Section 287.120.6(2) states that if marijuana usage is the proximate cause of injury, then the compensation and death benefit is forfeit entirely.

Workers' Compensation insurance carriers and self-insured entities may see a savings in the amount of benefits which are dispersed each year as a result of the above mentioned reduction/forfeiture of benefits. The Division of Workers' Compensation does not pay out workers' compensation benefits (with the exception of Second Injury Fund payments), and would not be affected by the reduction/forfeiture of benefits. In the event that more disputes over workers' compensation claims occur as a result of the law change, the Division may see an increase in adjudications which must be performed by administrative law judges. The Division does not anticipate the volume of any increase in disputes to require additional staffing at this time. If the volume of disputes increases more than anticipated, the Division may request additional appropriations to absorb the impact.

**Oversight** assumes DOLIR is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of activity each year. Oversight assumes DOLIR could absorb the costs related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require additional staffing and duties at substantial costs, DOLIR could request funding through the appropriation process.

Officials from the **Office of Administration (OA)** assume Section 287.120.6 – of this legislation adds a provision to include the use of marijuana or a positive marijuana drug test, or refusal to take a marijuana drug test as not permissible in the workplace when the employer has adopted a drug free workplace policy. The legislation also makes the provisions of this section subject to Article XIV, Section 1 of the Constitution of the state of Missouri relating to medical use of marijuana and the nondiscrimination provision of subdivision 15 of subsection 7 of Article XIV Section 1 of the Constitution of the State of Missouri. The cost to the State of Missouri is unknown.

L.R. No. 3335H.011 Bill No. HB 2135 Page **4** of **5** January 16, 2024

Section 287.140.15 – adds a provision that does not require an employer to reimburse or cause to be reimbursed an employee any costs associated with the medical use of marijuana. It is unknown how this legislation would financially impact the State of Missouri.

**Oversight** notes upon further discussions with the OA, via e-mail, OA notes the state does not currently pay for medical marijuana as part of treatment in workers' compensation cases; therefore, it is expected that the state would not see a change in costs associated with medical marijuana in workers' compensation cases. However, since this legislation would be subject to judicial interpretation, any potential costs or avoidance of costs are unknown at this time.

**Oversight** notes OA assumes that at this time the OA does not anticipate changes in the payments towards worker compensation cases above the current volume. Therefore, **Oversight** assumes OA could absorb the costs related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require additional staffing and duties at substantial costs, OA could request funding through the appropriation process.

Officials from the **Department of Natural Resources**, the **Department of Natural Resources**, and the **Missouri Department of Transportation** each assume the assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for above respective organizations.

Officials from the University of Missouri System and the University of Central Missouri both assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for above respective organizations.

Officials from the **City of Kansas City** and **City of Osceola** both assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for local political subdivisions.

FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027
(10 Mo.)		
\$0	\$0	<u>\$0</u>
		(10 Mo.)

FISCAL IMPACT – Local Government	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027
	(10 Mo.)		
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

L.R. No. 3335H.01I Bill No. HB 2135 Page **5** of **5** January 16, 2024

## FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

Small businesses could have a higher level of protection in workers compensation cases involving employees using marijuana as a result of this proposal.

## **FISCAL DESCRIPTION**

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

# **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Office of Administration
Department of Labor and Industrial Relations
Department of Natural Resources
Missouri Department of Transportation
University of Missouri System
University Of Central Missouri
City of Kansas City
City of Osceola

Julie Morff Director

January 16, 2024

mere worlf

Ross Strope Assistant Director January 16, 2024