COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 3688H.011
Bill No.: HB 1609
Subject: Law Enforcement Officers and Agencies; Criminal Procedure
Type: Original
Date: January 17, 2024

Bill Summary: This proposal establishes the "Preserving Freedom From Unwarranted Surveillance Act" and modifies provisions relating to the use of unmanned aircraft.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027		
General Revenue*	\$0 to (Unknown)	\$0 to (Unknown)	\$0 to (Unknown)		
Total Estimated Net					
Effect on General					
Revenue*	\$0 to (Unknown)	\$0 to (Unknown)	\$0 to (Unknown)		

*Oversight does not have any information to suggest that the civil judgements resulting from this proposal would go over the \$250,000 threshold at this time. Therefore, Oversight will assume the fund above will <u>not</u> reach that threshold.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027		
Other State and					
federal funds	\$0 to (Unknown)	\$0 to (Unknown)	\$0 to (Unknown)		
Legal Expense					
Fund**	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Colleges and					
Universities***	\$0 to (Unknown)	\$0 to (Unknown)	\$0 to (Unknown)		
Total Estimated Net					
Effect on <u>Other</u> State					
Funds	\$0 to (Unknown)	\$0 to (Unknown)	\$0 to (Unknown)		

*Oversight does not have any other information to suggest that the civil judgements resulting from this proposal would go over the \$250,000 threshold at this time. Therefore, Oversight will assume the funds above will not hit the threshold from this proposal.

**Income less cost distributions net to zero.

***Oversight assumes the fiscal impact to colleges and universities will <u>not</u> exceed the \$250,000 threshold.

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027		
Various - transfer to					
Legal Expense					
Fund**	\$0 to (Unknown)	\$0 to (Unknown)	\$0 to (Unknown)		
Total Estimated Net					
Effect on <u>All</u> Federal					
Funds	\$0 to (Unknown)	\$0 to (Unknown)	\$0 to (Unknown)		

*Oversight does not have any other information to suggest that the civil judgements resulting from this proposal would go over the \$250,000 threshold at this time. Therefore, Oversight will assume the fund above will <u>not</u> hit the threshold from this proposal.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	
Total Estimated Net				
Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

□ Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.

□ Estimated Net Effect (savings or increased revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	
Local Government	\$0 to (Unknown)	\$0 to (Unknown)	\$0 to (Unknown)	

L.R. No. 3688H.011 Bill No. HB 1609 Page **3** of **8** January 17, 2024

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

§§305.635, 305.637, 305.639, 305.641, 542.525 and 577.800 – Unmanned aircraft

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol (MHP)** state the waiver of sovereign immunity for MHP personnel in §305.641 of this proposal could result in significant financial exposure. Due to the varied nature of unmanned aircraft operations and unknown number of civil litigations resulting from this legislation, the fiscal impact to the Patrol would be unknown. General Revenue, the Highway Fund (0644) and the Water Patrol Fund (0400) represent the main funding sources for the MHP's enforcement personnel and would be the most affected.

Officials from the **Office of Administration (OA), General Services Division** assume §§305.635 to 305.641 prohibits drones from being used to "conduct surveillance or observation" of any individual without a warrant. This legislation has the potential to increase costs to the state Legal Expense Fund (LEF) for actions alleging a violation of these sections against a state agency or state employee in connection with their official duties on behalf of the state. §305.641.1 states that any aggrieved party may obtain all appropriate relief to prevent or remedy a violation, and under §305.641.3 sovereign immunity for the state is waived. Potential costs to the state are unknown.

The state self-assumes its own liability under the LEF, §105.711 RSMo. It is a self-funding mechanism whereby funds are made available for the payment of any claim or judgment rendered against the state in regard to the waivers of sovereign immunity or against employees and specified individuals. Investigation, defense, negotiation or settlement of such claims is provided by the Office of the Attorney General. Payment is made by the Commissioner of Administration with the approval of the Attorney General.

Oversight notes that the proposal makes local political subdivisions and colleges and universities subject to civil suits and therefore, Oversight will reflect possible costs to all state funds (including federal funds for transfers to the LEF). Oversight also notes that the LEF does not cover costs for local political subdivisions or colleges and universities in most cases.

Bill as a Whole

Officials from the **Office of Attorney General (AGO)** assume any potential litigation costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing personnel and resources. However, the AGO may seek additional appropriations if there is a significant increase in litigation or investigation.

L.R. No. 3688H.011 Bill No. HB 1609 Page **4** of **8** January 17, 2024

Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight assumes the AGO will be able to perform any additional duties required by this proposal with current staff and resources and will reflect no fiscal impact to the AGO for fiscal note purposes.

Officials from Office of Administration - Administrative Hearing Commission, the Department of Commerce and Insurance, the Department of Higher Education and Workforce Development, the Department of Natural Resources, the Department of Corrections, the Department of Labor and Industrial Relations, the Department of Public Safety, Divisions of: Alcohol and Tobacco Control, Capitol Police, Fire Safety, Director's Office, Missouri Veterans Commission, and the State Emergency Management Agency, the Department of Social Services, the Missouri Department of Agriculture, the Missouri Department of Conservation, the Missouri Department of Transportation, the Missouri National Guard, the Office of State Public Defender, the University of Missouri System, the City of Kansas City, the Phelps County Sheriff's Office, the Kansas City Police Department, the St. Louis County Police Department, the Little Blue Valley Sewer District, the Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District, the South River Drainage District, the St. Charles County Pwsd #2, the Wayne County Pwsd #2, Northwest Missouri State University, the University of Central Missouri, the State Tax Commission, the Missouri Office of Prosecution Services, the Office of the State Courts Administrator and the Hancock Street Light District each assumed the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect no fiscal impact for these organizations.

Oversight notes officials from the **Morgan County Pwsd #2** responded to our request for a statement of fiscal impact but did not provide any information in their response.

Oversight only reflects the responses received from state agencies and political subdivisions; however, other cities, counties, local law enforcement agencies, fire protection districts, colleges and universities, utilities and cooperatives were requested to respond to this proposed legislation but did not. A listing of political subdivisions included in the Missouri Legislative Information System (MOLIS) database is available upon request.

FISCAL IMPACT – State Government	FY 2025 (10 Mo.)	FY 2026	FY 2027
GENERAL REVENUE			
Cost – MHP and other state agencies(§§305.635, 305.637, 305.639,305.641) Potential contributions toLegal Expense Fund to pay judgementsfrom civil suits p. 3	<u>\$0 to</u> (Unknown)	<u>\$0 to</u> (Unknown)	<u>\$0 to</u> (Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE	<u>\$0 to</u> (Unknown)	<u>\$0 to</u> (Unknown)	<u>\$0 to</u> (Unknown)
OTHER STATE FUNDS			
<u>Cost</u> – (§§305.635, 305.637, 305.639, 305.641) Transfers to Legal Expense Fund to pay for successful civil suits p. 3	<u>\$0 to</u> (Unknown)	<u>\$0 to</u> (Unknown)	<u>\$0 to</u> (Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS	<u>\$0 to</u> <u>(Unknown)</u>	<u>\$0 to</u> <u>(Unknown)</u>	<u>\$0 to</u> (Unknown)
LEGAL EXPENSE FUND			
Income – (§§305.635, 305.637, 305.639, 305.641) Transfers in to cover costs of payouts from civil suits won by litigants p. 3	\$0 to Unknown	\$0 to Unknown	\$0 to Unknown
<u>Cost</u> – All State Agencies (§§305.635, 305.637, 305.639, 305.641) Potential increase of payouts from civil suits won by litigants claiming violation of provisions p. 3	<u>\$0 to</u> (Unknown)	<u>\$0 to</u> (Unknown)	<u>\$0 to</u> (Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON THE LEGAL EXPENSE FUND	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES			
<u>Cost</u> - (§§305.635, 305.637, 305.639,			
305.641) Increase in potential	\$0 to	\$0 to	\$0 to
judgements p. 3	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON	<u>\$0 to</u>	<u>\$0 to</u>	<u>\$0 to</u>
COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES	<u>(Unknown)</u>	<u>(Unknown)</u>	<u>(Unknown)</u>
FEDERAL FUNDS			
<u>Cost</u> – (§§305.635, 305.637, 305.639,			
305.641) Transfers to Legal Expense			
Fund to pay for successful civil suits	<u>\$0 to</u>	<u>\$0 to</u>	<u>\$0 to</u>
from litigants p. 3	<u>(Unknown)</u>	<u>(Unknown)</u>	<u>(Unknown)</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON	<u>\$0 to</u>	<u>\$0 to</u>	<u>\$0 to</u>
FEDERAL FUNDS	<u>(Unknown)</u>	<u>(Unknown)</u>	<u>(Unknown)</u>

FISCAL IMPACT – Local Government	FY 2025 (10 Mo.)	FY 2026	FY 2027
LOCAL POLITICAL			
SUBDIVISIONS			
<u>Cost</u> – LPS (§§305.635, 305.637,			
305.639, 305.641) Potential increase of			
payouts from civil suits won by			
litigants claiming violation of	<u>\$0 to</u>	<u>\$0 to</u>	<u>\$0 to</u>
provisions p. 3	<u>(Unknown)</u>	<u>(Unknown)</u>	<u>(Unknown)</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON			
LOCAL POLITICAL	<u>\$0 to</u>	<u>\$0 to</u>	<u>\$0 to</u>
SUBDIVISIONS	<u>(Unknown)</u>	<u>(Unknown)</u>	<u>(Unknown)</u>

FISCAL IMPACT – Small Business

This proposal should not fiscally impact small businesses.

L.R. No. 3688H.011 Bill No. HB 1609 Page **7** of **8** January 17, 2024

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This bill establishes the "Preserving Freedom from Unwarranted Surveillance Act".

The bill provides that no state agency or county or municipal law enforcement agency may use a drone or other unmanned aircraft, as defined in the bill, to gather evidence or other information pertaining to criminal conduct, except to the extent authorized in a warrant. A law enforcement agency may use a drone or unmanned aircraft in response to state, national, or local emergency situations, as described in the bill.

No person, entity, or state agency may use a drone or other unmanned aircraft to conduct surveillance or observation of any individual or property, as described in the bill, without the consent of that individual or property owner. A person, entity, or state agency shall only launch or operate a drone or other unmanned aircraft within the operating limitations provided in 14 CFR 107.51. No person, entity, or state agency shall launch or operate a drone or other unmanned aircraft within the property without the consent of the property owner or occupant.

Any aggrieved party may in a civil action obtain all appropriate relief to prevent or remedy a violation of the provisions of this bill.

No information obtained in violation of the provisions of this bill shall be admissible as evidence in a criminal proceeding.

Sovereign immunity for the state is waived for any civil action resulting from a violation of the provisions of this bill.

This bill repeals the ability for the highest ranking law enforcement chief or officer to approve the placement of a camera on private property. (§§305.635, 305.637, 305.639, 305.641)

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of Administration Attorney General's Office Department of Commerce and Insurance Department of Higher Education and Workforce Development Department of Natural Resources Department of Corrections Department of Labor and Industrial Relations Department of Public

HWC:LR:OD

L.R. No. 3688H.011 Bill No. HB 1609 Page **8** of **8** January 17, 2024

Department of Social Services Missouri Department of Agriculture Missouri Department of Conservation Missouri Department of Transportation Missouri National Guard Office of the State Public Defender University of Missouri System City of Kansas City Phelps County Sheriff's Office Kansas City Police Department St. Louis County Police Department Little Blue Valley Sewer District Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District Morgan County Pwsd #2 South River Drainage District St. Charles County Pwsd #2 Wayne County Pwsd #2 Northwest Missouri State University University of Central Missouri Missouri Office of Prosecution Services Office of the State Courts Administrator State Tax Commission Hancock Street Light District

rere mo

Julie Morff Director January 17, 2024

Cunt

Ross Strope Assistant Director January 17, 2024