# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION 

FISCAL NOTE
L.R. No.: 3809H.01I

Bill No.: HB 1653
Subject: Criminal Procedure; Criminal Procedure
Type: Original
Date: January 8, 2024

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies the offense of making a false report.
FISCAL SUMMARY

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| FUND | FY 2025 | FY 2026 | FY 2027 | Fully <br> AFFECTED |
|  | Implemented <br> (FY 2029) |  |  |  |
| General <br> Revenue* | $(\$ 16,148)$ | Could exceed <br> $(\$ 39,531)$ | Could exceed <br> $(\$ 50,402)$ | Could exceed <br> $(\$ 73,414)$ |
| Total Estimated <br> Net Effect on <br> General <br> Revenue | Could exceed <br> $\mathbf{( \$ 1 6 , 1 4 8 )}$ | Could exceed <br> $\mathbf{( \$ 3 9 , 5 3 1 )}$ | Could exceed <br> $\mathbf{( \$ 5 0 , 4 0 2 )}$ | Could exceed <br> $\mathbf{( \$ 7 3 , 4 1 4 )}$ |

*The bill could create claims and damages that could potentially be asserted against the state or other entities (if their employees made false reports). If such claims were successfully pursued, it could result in additional costs to the state or local political subdivisions. Oversight assumes the number of claims would be minimal and would not reach the $\$ 250,000$ threshold.

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FUND <br> AFFECTED | FY 2025 | FY 2026 | FY 2027 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Fully } \\ \text { Implemented } \\ \text { (FY 2029) } \end{array}$ |
| Other State Funds | \$0 to (Unknown) | \$0 to (Unknown) | \$0 to (Unknown) | \$0 to (Unknown) |
| Legal Expense Fund (0692)* | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Colleges and Universities | \$0 to (Unknown) | \$0 to (Unknown) | \$0 to (Unknown) | \$0 to (Unknown) |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \text { to } \\ \text { (Unknown) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \text { to } \\ \text { (Unknown) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \text { to } \\ \text { (Unknown) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\$ 0$ to (Unknown) |

*Indicates numbers that net to zero. Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| FUND <br> AFFECTED | FY 2025 | FY 2026 | FY 2027 | Fully <br> Implemented <br> (FY 2029) |
| Federal Funds | $\$ 0$ to (Unknown) | $\$ 0$ to (Unknown) | $\$ 0$ to (Unknown) | $\$ 0$ to (Unknown) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Estimated <br> Net Effect on <br> All Federal | \$0 to | \$0 to <br> Funds | (Unknown) | \$0 to <br> (Unknown) |
| (Unknown) | \$0 to <br> (Unknown) |  |  |  |


| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| FUND <br> AFFECTED | FY 2025 | FY 2026 | FY 2027 | Fully <br> Implemented <br> (FY 2029) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Estimated <br> Net Effect on <br> FTE |  |  |  |  |  |

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed $\$ 250,000$ in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.

Estimated Net Effect (savings or increased revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| FUND <br> AFFECTED | FY 2025 | FY 2026 | FY 2027 | Fully <br> Implemented <br> (FY 2029) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Local <br> Government | \$0 or Unknown <br> to (Unknown) | \$0 or Unknown <br> to (Unknown) | \$0 or Unknown <br> to (Unknown) | \$0 or Unknown <br> to (Unknown) |

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

## ASSUMPTION

## $\$ 575.080$ - Offense of making a false report

Officials from the Department of Corrections (DOC) state this proposal modifies the offense of making a false report.

The bill modifies penalties associated with the offense of making a false report. The changes are summarized in the following table. They create a new class E felony and a new class B felony offense.

| Previous Charge | Age | Type | New Charge |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Class B <br> misdemeanor |  | Report of misdemeanor | Class B misdemeanor |
|  |  | Report of felony | Class E felony |
|  |  | Class B felony |  |
|  | $<18$ | First offense | Status Offense |
|  |  | Class C misdemeanor |  |

For each new nonviolent class E felony, the department estimates one person could be sentenced to prison and two to probation. The average sentence for a nonviolent class E felony offense is 3.4 years, of which 2.1 years will be served in prison with 1.4 years to first release. The remaining 1.3 years will be on parole. Probation sentences will be 3 years.

## Change in prison admissions and probation openings with legislation-Class E Felony (nonviolent)

|  | FY2025 | FY2026 | FY2027 | FY2028 | FY2029 | FY2030 | FY2031 | FY2032 | FY2033 | FY2034 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Admissions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current Law | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| After Legislation | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Probation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current Law | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| After Legislation | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Change (After Legislation - Current Law) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Admissions | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Probations | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cumulative Populations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prison | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Parole |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Probation | 2 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Impact |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prison Population | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Field Population | 2 | 4 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Population Change | 3 | 6 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
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Given the seriousness of class B felony offenses and that the introduction of a completely new class B felony offense is a rare event, the department assumes the admission of one person per year to prison following the passage of the legislative proposal.

Offenders committed to prison with a class B felony as their most serious sentence, have an average sentence length of 9.0 years and served, on average, 3.4 years in prison prior to first release. The department assumes one third of the remaining sentence length will be served in prison as a parole return, and the rest of the sentence will be served on supervision in the community.

Change in prison admissions and probation openings with legislation-Class B Felony

|  | FY2025 | FY2026 | FY2027 | FY2028 | FY2029 | FY2030 | FY2031 | FY2032 | FY2033 | FY2034 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Admissions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current Law | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| After Legislation | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Probation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current Law | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| After Legislation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Change (After Legislation - Current Law) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Admissions | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Probations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative Populations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prison | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Parole |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| Probation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Impact |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prison Population | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Field Population |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| Population Change | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 9 |

## Combined Cumulative Estimated Impact

The combined cumulative estimated impact on the department is 7 additional offenders in prison and 7 additional offenders on field supervision by FY 2029.
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Change in prison admissions and probation openings with legislation

|  | FY2025 | FY2026 | FY2027 | FY2028 | FY2029 | FY2030 | FY2031 | FY2032 | FY2033 | FY2034 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Admissions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current Law | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| After Legislation | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Probation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current Law | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| After Legislation | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Change (After Legislation - Current Law) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Admissions | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Probations | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cumulative Populations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prison | 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Parole | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 |
| Probation | 2 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Impact |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prison Population | 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Field Population | 2 | 4 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 11 |
| Population Change | 4 | 8 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 18 |


|  | \# to prison | Cost per year | Total Costs for prison | Change in probation \& parole officers | Total cost for probation and parole | \# to <br> probation <br> \& parole | Grand Total - <br> Prison and <br> Probation <br> (includes 2\% <br> inflation) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year 1 | 2 | $(\$ 9,689)$ | $(\$ 16,148)$ | 0 | \$0 | 2 | $(\$ 16,148)$ |
| Year 2 | 4 | $(\$ 9,689)$ | $(\$ 39,531)$ | 0 | \$0 | 4 | $(\$ 39,531)$ |
| Year 3 | 5 | $(\$ 9,689)$ | $(\$ 50,402)$ | 0 | \$0 | 7 | $(\$ 50,402)$ |
| Year 4 | 6 | $(\$ 9,689)$ | $(\$ 61,692)$ | 0 | \$0 | 7 | (\$61,692) |
| Year 5 | 7 | $(\$ 9,689)$ | $(\$ 73,414)$ | 0 | \$0 | 7 | $(\$ 73,414)$ |
| Year 6 | 7 | $(\$ 9,689)$ | $(\$ 74,882)$ | 0 | \$0 | 8 | $(\$ 74,882)$ |
| Year 7 | 7 | $(\$ 9,689)$ | $(\$ 76,380)$ | 0 | \$0 | 9 | $(\$ 76,380)$ |
| Year 8 | 7 | $(\$ 9,689)$ | $(\$ 77,907)$ | 0 | \$0 | 10 | $(\$ 77,907)$ |
| Year 9 | 7 | $(\$ 9,689)$ | $(\$ 79,465)$ | 0 | \$0 | 11 | $(\$ 79,465)$ |
| Year 10 | 7 | $(\$ 9,689)$ | $(\$ 81,055)$ | 0 | \$0 | 11 | $(\$ 81,055)$ |

If this impact statement has changed from statements submitted in previous years, it could be due to an increase/decrease in the number of offenders, a change in the cost per day for institutional offenders, and/or an increase in staff salaries.

If the projected impact of legislation is less than 1,500 offenders added to or subtracted from the department's institutional caseload, the marginal cost of incarceration will be utilized. This cost of incarceration is $\$ 26.545$ per day or an annual cost of $\$ 9,689$ per offender and includes such costs as medical, food, and operational E\&E. However, if the projected impact of legislation is 1,500 or more offenders added or removed to the department's institutional caseload, the full cost of incarceration will be used, which includes fixed costs. This cost is $\$ 99.90$ per day or an annual cost of $\$ 36,464$ per offender and includes personal services, all institutional $\mathrm{E} \& \mathrm{E}$,
medical and mental health, fringe, and miscellaneous expenses. None of these costs include construction to increase institutional capacity.

DOC's cost of probation or parole is determined by the number of P\&P Officer II positions that are needed to cover its caseload. The DOC average district caseload across the state is 51 offender cases per officer. An increase/decrease of 51 cases would result in a cost/cost avoidance equal to the salary, fringe, and equipment and expenses of one P\&P Officer II.
Increases/decreases smaller than 51 offender cases are assumed to be absorbable.
In instances where the proposed legislation would only affect a specific caseload, such as sex offenders, the DOC will use the average caseload figure for that specific type of offender to calculate cost increases/decreases.

Oversight does not have any information contrary to that provided by DOC. Therefore, Oversight will reflect DOC's impact for fiscal note purposes.

Officials from the Office of Administration (OA) state this bill creates a civil action against a person who commits the offense of making a false report. A person who is a victim of an offense under this statute may bring an action to recover damages, equitable relief, attorney's fees, and punitive damages in some instances. This provision has the potential to increase costs to the state Legal Expense Fund (LEF) for actions alleging violation of this provision against a state employee in connection with their official duties on behalf of the state. This change will be subject to judicial construction; therefore, the cost impact to the state is unknown.

Because this bill creates a possible new cause of action, Oversight will show a net $\$ 0$ direct fiscal impact for the LEF, and a possible $\$ 0$ to (unknown) fiscal impact to General Revenue and other state funds. Oversight notes this possible litigation exposure as described by OA could also apply to colleges and universities, federal funds, as well as local political subdivisions.

Officials from the Office of Attorney General (AGO) assume any additional litigation costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing personnel and resources. However, the AGO may seek additional appropriations if there is a significant increase in litigation or investigation.

Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight assumes the AGO will be able to perform any additional duties required by this proposal with current staff and resources and will reflect no fiscal impact to the AGO for fiscal note purposes.

Officials from the Department of Public Safety - (Fire Safety and Missouri Highway Patrol), the Department of Social Services, the Missouri Office of Prosecution Services, the Office of the State Courts Administrator, the Office of the State Public Defender, the Phelps County Sheriff's Department, the Kansas City Police Department, and the St. Louis County Police Department each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective
organizations. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

Oversight notes that violations of $\S 575.080$ could result in fines or penalties. Oversight also notes per Article IX Section 7 of the Missouri Constitution fines and penalties collected by counties are distributed to school districts. Fine varies widely from year to year and are distributed to the school district where the violation occurred. Oversight will reflect a positive fiscal impact of $\$ 0$ to Unknown to local school districts. For simplicity, Oversight will not reflect the possibility that fine revenue paid to school districts may act as a subtraction in the foundation formula.

Oversight only reflects the responses received from state agencies and political subdivisions; however, other local law enforcement, fire protection districts, and ambulance/EMS were requested to respond to this proposed legislation but did not. A listing of political subdivisions included in the Missouri Legislative Information System (MOLIS) database is available upon request.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { FISCAL IMPACT } \\ & \text { - State } \\ & \text { Government } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { FY } 2025 \\ & (10 \mathrm{Mo} .) \end{aligned}$ | FY 2026 | FY 2027 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Fully } \\ \text { Implemented } \\ \text { (FY 2029) } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GENERAL REVENUE |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{\text { Cost }- \text { DOC }}{(\S 575.080)}$ <br> Increased incarceration costs | $(\$ 16,148)$ | $(\$ 39,531)$ | $(\$ 50,402)$ | $(\$ 73,414)$ |
| $\frac{\text { Transfer Out }}{(\S 575.080)}-\text { OA }$ <br> Potential increase in transfer to LEF | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \text { to } \\ \text { (Unknown) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \text { to } \\ \text { (Unknown) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \text { to } \\ \text { (Unknown) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \text { to } \\ \text { (Unknown) } \end{array}$ |
| ESTIMATED <br> NET EFFECT <br> ON GENERAL <br> REVENUE | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Could exceed } \\ (\$ 16,148) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Could exceed } \\ (\$ 39,531) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Could exceed } \\ (\$ 50,402) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Could exceed } \\ (\$ 73,414) \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

L.R. No. 3809H.01I

Bill No. HB 1653
Page 8 of 11
January 8, 2024

| FISCAL IMPACT - State Government | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { FY } 2025 \\ & (10 \mathrm{Mo} .) \end{aligned}$ | FY 2026 | FY 2027 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Fully } \\ \text { Implemented } \\ \text { (FY 2029) } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OTHER STATE FUNDS |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \frac{\text { Cost Increase }-}{(\S 575.080)} \\ & \text { Potential increase } \\ & \text { in transfer to LEF } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \text { to } \\ \text { (Unknown) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \text { to } \\ \text { (Unknown) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \text { to } \\ \text { (Unknown) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \text { to } \\ \text { (Unknown) } \end{array}$ |
| ESTIMATED <br> NET EFFECT <br> ON OTHER <br> STATE FUNDS | (Unknown) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \$0 to } \\ \text { (Unknown) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \$0 to } \\ \text { (Unknown) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \$0 to } \\ \text { (Unknown) } \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { LEGAL } \\ & \text { EXPENSE FUND } \\ & (0692) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Transfer In }- \\ & (\S 575.080) \text { From } \\ & \text { General Revenue } \end{aligned}$ | \$0 to Unknown | \$0 to Unknown | \$0 to Unknown | \$0 to Unknown |
| $\frac{\text { Cost increase }-}{(\S 575.080)}$ Increase in LEF payouts | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \text { to } \\ \text { (Unknown) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \text { to } \\ \text { (Unknown) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \text { to } \\ \text { (Unknown) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \text { to } \\ \text { (Unknown) } \end{array}$ |
| ESTIMATED <br> NET EFFECT <br> ON THE LEGAL <br> EXPENSE FUND | $\underline{\underline{\$ 0}}$ | $\underline{\underline{\$ 0}}$ | $\underline{\underline{\$ 0}}$ | $\underline{\underline{\$ 0}}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
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| FISCAL IMPACT - State Government | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { FY } 2025 \\ & (10 \mathrm{Mo} .) \end{aligned}$ | FY 2026 | FY 2027 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Fully } \\ \text { Implemented } \\ \text { (FY 2029) } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { COLLEGES } \\ \text { AND } \\ \text { UNIVERSITIES } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \frac{\text { Cost increase }-}{(\S 575.080)} \\ & \text { Increase in LEF } \\ & \text { payouts } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \frac{\$ 0 \text { to }}{(\text { Unknown })} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \text { to } \\ \text { (Unknown) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \text { to } \\ \text { (Unknown) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \frac{\$ 0 \text { to }}{(\text { Unknown })} \end{array}$ |
| ESTIMATED <br> NET EFFECT <br> ON COLLEGES <br> AND <br> UNIVERSITIES | $\begin{array}{r} \underline{\$ 0 \text { to }} \\ \text { (Unknown) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \underline{\$ 0 \text { to }} \\ (\text { Unknown }) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \underline{\$ 0 \text { to }} \\ (\text { Unknown }) \end{array}$ | (Unknown) |
| FEDERAL FUNDS |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \frac{\text { Cost increase }-}{(\S 575.080)} \\ & \text { Increase in LEF } \\ & \text { payouts } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \text { to } \\ \text { (Unknown) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \text { to } \\ \text { (Unknown) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \text { to } \\ \text { (Unknown) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \begin{array}{l} \$ 0 \text { to } \\ \text { (Unknown) } \end{array} \end{array}$ |
| ESTIMATED <br> NET EFFECT <br> TO FEDERAL <br> FUNDS | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \$0 to } \\ \text { (Unknown) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { S0 to } \\ (\text { Unknown }) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \$0 to } \\ (\text { Unknown }) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \$0 to } \\ \hline \text { (Unknown) } \end{array}$ |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { FISCAL IMPACT } \\ & \hline \text { - Local } \\ & \text { Government } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FY } 2025 \\ & \text { (10 Mo.) } \end{aligned}$ | FY 2026 | FY 2027 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Fully } \\ \text { Implemented } \\ \text { (FY 2029) } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LOCAL <br> GOVERNMENT |  |  |  |  |
| Revenue - School districts (§575.080) <br> Fines from violations | \$0 to Unknown | \$0 to Unknown | \$0 to Unknown | \$0 to Unknown |
| Cost - (§575.080) <br> Increase in staff and legal costs | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \text { to } \\ \text { (Unknown) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \text { to } \\ \text { (Unknown) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \text { to } \\ \text { (Unknown) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \text { to } \\ \text { (Unknown) } \end{array}$ |
| ESTIMATED <br> NET EFFECT <br> ON LOCAL <br> GOVERNMENT | \$0 or Unknown to (Unknown) | \$0 or Unknown to (Unknown) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$0 or Unknown } \\ & \underline{\text { to }(\text { Unknown })} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \$0 or Unknown } \\ \text { to (Unknown) } \end{gathered}$ |

## FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

## FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This bill specifies that a person commits the offense of making a false report if he or she knowingly makes a false report or causes a false report to be made to a law enforcement officer, security officer, fire department or other organization, official, or volunteer with reckless disregard of causing bodily harm to any person as a direct result of an emergency response.

The offense of making a false report is a class B misdemeanor, but it is a class E felony if the report is a false report of a felony offense. The offense is a class B felony if the report results in death or serious physical injury as a proximate result of lawful conduct arising out of the response. A child who violates this section is guilty of a status offense for the first offense and a class C misdemeanor for a second or subsequent violation. The child must appear before a juvenile court or, in lieu, complete 30 hours of community service or pay a fine not to exceed \$250.

This legislation does not impose liability on a person who contacts law enforcement to report unlawful conduct or conflict with the Communication Decency Act or the Civil Rights Act.

A person who is a victim of the offense may bring a civil action against the person who made the false report and may recover damages or other equitable relief, as well as reasonable attorneys' fees. A person who makes a false report under this bill for the purpose of infringing on another person's rights, unlawfully discriminating against another person, causing another person to be expelled from a place the person is lawfully located, or damaging another person's reputation or financial, economic, consumer, or business prospects or interests may be required to pay punitive damages in addition to any other damages allowed.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.
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