# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

## **FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 4407S.12A

Bill No.: SS for SCS for HCS for HB Nos. 2134 & 1956 with SA2

Subject: Agriculture; Department of Natural Resources; Water Resources and Water

Districts; Environmental Protection; Lakes, Rivers and Waterways

Type: Original Date: May 7, 2024

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies and creates new provisions relating to water

pollution.

## **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027		
General Revenue	(\$109,402)	(\$98,852)	(\$100,829)		
<b>Total Estimated Net</b>					
<b>Effect on General</b>					
Revenue	(\$109,402)	(\$98,852)	(\$100,829)		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027		
Water Pollution					
Permit Fee Fund	\$0 to \$28,000	\$0 to \$28,000	\$0 to \$28,000		
<b>Total Estimated Net</b>					
Effect on Other State					
Funds	\$0 to \$28,000	\$0 to \$28,000	\$0 to \$28,000		

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

Bill No. SS for SCS for HCS for HB Nos. 2134 & 1956 with SA2

Page **2** of **6** May 7, 2024

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027		
<b>Total Estimated Net</b>					
Effect on All Federal					
Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027		
General Revenue	1 FTE	1 FTE	1 FTE		
<b>Total Estimated Net</b>					
<b>Effect on FTE</b>	1 FTE	1 FTE	1 FTE		

☐ Est	mated Net Effect (expen	ditures or reduced revenue	es) expected to exceed \$250,000 in a	ny
of t	he three fiscal years after	implementation of the act	et or at full implementation of the act.	

☐ Estimated Net E	Effect (savings	or increased	revenues)	expected to	exceed	\$250,000 in	any of
the three fiscal y	years after imp	olementation	of the act	or at full im	plementa	tion of the a	ıct.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2027			
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 4407S.12A Bill No. SS for SCS for HCS for HB Nos. 2134 & 1956 with SA2 Page **3** of **6** May 7, 2024

## **FISCAL ANALYSIS**

## **ASSUMPTION**

**Oversight** was unable to receive some of the agency responses in a timely manner due to the short fiscal note request time. Oversight has presented this fiscal note on the best current information that we have or on prior year information regarding a similar bill. Upon the receipt of agency responses, Oversight will review to determine if an updated fiscal note should be prepared and seek the necessary approval to publish a new fiscal note.

Sections 644.016, 644.041, 644.051 and 644.145 – Water Pollution

In response to a previous version, officials from the **Department of Natural Resources (DNR)** assumed the following regarding this proposal:

Proposed changes to §644.041.2 RSMo (new section), the department's Water Protection Program would likely need to contract groundwater impact assessments or monitoring programs with the department's Missouri Geological Survey, for an estimated 10 sites per year, with a cost per assessment site of \$1,468, for a total annual estimated cost of \$14,678. Reviewing compliance with the additional requirements of this proposed language would likely be incorporated into the current review with negligible fiscal impact and would be absorbed with current funding.

§644.051.6, codifies the current status of land application for sites and materials that were previously under the fertilizer permit exemption. This is the current regulatory status of permitting land application of materials previously licensed under the Missouri Fertilizer law. The department is currently processing these permits, which has significantly increased the workload of the permitting team in particular, but has also impacted the enforcement, inspection, and engineering teams, resulting in slower process times, and increasing current backlog. In order to maintain statutory requirements and effective permit processes, there is a need for **one FTE** (**Environmental Program Analyst**) at \$57,768 annually. (NDI was requested for this FTE; does not include fringe and indirect, see fund summary for expense and equipment costs) Revenue increase could range from **\$0** to \$28,000 for these facilities.

Overall Impact: Gain in revenue of \$0 to \$28,000 Absorbed costs of groundwater impact assessments: \$14,678 1 FTE - \$57,768 (does not include fringe and indirect; see fund summary for expense and equipment costs)

**Oversight** does not have information to the contrary and therefore, Oversight will reflect the estimates as previously provided by DNR.

L.R. No. 4407S.12A Bill No. SS for SCS for HCS for HB Nos. 2134 & 1956 with SA2 Page **4** of **6** May 7, 2024

Officials from the **Missouri Department of Agriculture** assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note.

In response to a previous version, officials from the **Missouri Department of Conservation** assumed the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organization. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note.

Officials from the **South River Drainage District** assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note.

In response to a previous version, officials from the Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District, Wayne County Public Supply Water District #2 and St. Charles County Public Water Supply District #2 each assumed the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note.

In response to a previous version, officials from the Morgan County Public Water Supply District #2 assumed this proposal would have a fiscal impact but did not indicate what that impact would be.

**Oversight** only reflects the responses received from state agencies and political subdivisions; however, other local political subdivisions were requested to respond to this proposed legislation but did not. A listing of political subdivisions included in the Missouri Legislative Information System (MOLIS) database is available upon request.

## **Rule Promulgation**

Officials from the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules** assume this proposal is not anticipated to cause a fiscal impact beyond its current appropriation.

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** note many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$5,000. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, the SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the office can sustain with its core budget. Therefore, the SOS reserves the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

L.R. No. 4407S.12A Bill No. SS for SCS for HCS for HB Nos. 2134 & 1956 with SA2 Page  $\bf 5$  of  $\bf 6$  May 7, 2024

## Senate Amendment 2

Oversight assumes this amendment will not have a fiscal impact.

FISCAL IMPACT – State Government	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027
GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
Costs - DNR			
Personnel Service	(\$57,768)	(\$58,923)	(\$60,102)
Fringe Benefits	(\$34,788)	(\$35,484)	(\$36,193)
Expense & Equipment	(\$16,846)	(\$4,445)	(\$4,534)
Total Costs - DNR	(\$109,402)	(\$98,852)	(\$100,829)
FTE Change	1 FTE	1 FTE	1 FTE
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON THE GENERAL REVENUE FUND	(\$109,402)	(\$98,852)	(\$100,829)
Estimated Net FTE Change on the			
General Revenue Fund	1 FTE	1 FTE	1 FTE
WATER POLLUTION PERMIT FEE FUND (0568)			
Revenue – DNR – water pollution			
permit fees - §644.051	\$0 to \$28,000	\$0 to \$28,000	\$0 to \$28,000
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON THE WATER POLLUTION PERMIT FEE FUND	<u>\$0 to \$28,000</u>	\$0 to \$28,000	\$0 to \$28,000
FISCAL IMPACT – Local Government	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027

<u>\$0</u>

<u>\$0</u>

<u>\$0</u>

L.R. No. 4407S.12A Bill No. SS for SCS for HCS for HB Nos. 2134 & 1956 with SA2 Page **6** of **6** May 7, 2024

## FISCAL IMPACT – Small Business

Certain small businesses that require a permit could be impacted by this proposal.

## FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This proposal creates new provisions relating to water pollution.

This proposal has an emergency clause.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

#### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Natural Resources
Office of the Secretary of State
Missouri Department of Agriculture
Missouri Department of Conservation
Joint Committee on Administrative Rules
South River Drainage District
Wayne County Public Supply Water District #2
Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District
Morgan County Public Water Supply District #2
St. Charles County Public Water Supply District #2

Julie Morff Director

May 7, 2024

Ross Strope Assistant Director May 7, 2024