# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### **FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 4476H.01I Bill No.: HB 2210

Subject: Crimes and Punishment; Criminal Procedure; Victims of Crime

Type: Original

Date: February 6, 2024

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies provisions relating to criminal conduct.

## **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMA	ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND							
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027					
General Revenue	(\$8,074)	(\$19,766)	(\$20,161)					
<b>Total Estimated Net</b>								
<b>Effect on General</b>								
Revenue	(\$8,074)	(\$19,766)	(\$20,161)					

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS								
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027					
<b>Total Estimated Net</b>								
Effect on Other State								
Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0					

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

L.R. No. 4476H.01I Bill No. HB 2210 Page **2** of **6** February 6, 2024

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS								
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027					
<b>Total Estimated Net</b>								
Effect on All Federal								
Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0					

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)							
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027				
<b>Total Estimated Net</b>							
Effect on FTE	0	0	0				

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in an	y
of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.	

☐ Estimated Net E	ffect (savings or inci	reased revenues) expected	I to exceed \$250,000 in any of
the three fiscal y	ears after implement	tation of the act or at full	implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS								
FUND AFFECTED FY 2025 FY 2026 FY 2								
<b>Local Government</b>	Local Government \$0 \$0							

#### **FISCAL ANALYSIS**

#### ASSUMPTION

#### §575.150 – Criminal conduct

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** state this proposal modifies provisions relating to criminal conduct. Section 575.150 is expanded to include a class E felony penalty for the offense of resisting arrest or detention as defined in subdivision 1 or 2 in subsection 1 when the person fleeing does so by operating a motor vehicle.

As these are new crimes, there is little direct data on which to base an estimate, and as such, the department estimates an impact comparable to the creation of a new class E felony.

For each new nonviolent class E felony, the department estimates one person could be sentenced to prison and two to probation. The average sentence for a nonviolent class E felony offense is 3.4 years, of which 2.1 years will be served in prison with 1.4 years to first release. The remaining 1.3 years will be on parole. Probation sentences will be 3 years.

The cumulative impact on the department is estimated to be 2 additional offenders in prison and 7 additional offenders on field supervision by FY 2027.

Change in prison admissions and probation openings with legislation-Class E Felony (nonviolent)

	FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029	FY2030	FY2031	FY2032	FY2033	FY2034
New Admissions										
Current Law	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
After Legislation	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Probation										
Current Law	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
After Legislation	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Change (After Legislation	- Current La	w)								
Admissions	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Probations	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
<b>Cumulative Populations</b>										
Prison	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Parole			1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Probation	2	4	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Impact										
Prison Population	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Field Population	2	4	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Population Change	3	6	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9

	# to prison	Cost per year	Total Costs for <b>prison</b>	Change in probation & parole officers	Total cost for probation and parole	# to probation & parole	Grand Total - Prison and Probation (includes 2% inflation)
Year 1	1	(\$9,689)	(\$8,074)	0	\$0	2	(\$8,074)
Year 2	2	(\$9,689)	(\$19,766)	0	\$0	4	(\$19,766)
Year 3	2	(\$9,689)	(\$20,161)	0	\$0	7	(\$20,161)
Year 4	2	(\$9,689)	(\$20,564)	0	\$0	7	(\$20,564)
Year 5	2	(\$9,689)	(\$20,975)	0	\$0	7	(\$20,975)
Year 6	2	(\$9,689)	(\$21,395)	0	\$0	7	(\$21,395)
Year 7	2	(\$9,689)	(\$21,823)	0	\$0	7	(\$21,823)
Year 8	2	(\$9,689)	(\$22,259)	0	\$0	7	(\$22,259)
Year 9	2	(\$9,689)	(\$22,704)	0	\$0	7	(\$22,704)
Year 10	2	(\$9,689)	(\$23,159)	0	\$0	7	(\$23,159)

If this impact statement has changed from statements submitted in previous years, it could be due to an increase/decrease in the number of offenders, a change in the cost per day for institutional offenders, and/or an increase in staff salaries.

If the projected impact of legislation is less than 1,500 offenders added to or subtracted from the department's institutional caseload, the marginal cost of incarceration will be utilized. This cost of incarceration is \$26.545 per day or an annual cost of \$9,689 per offender and includes such costs as medical, food, and operational E&E. However, if the projected impact of legislation is 1,500 or more offenders added or removed to the department's institutional caseload, the full cost of incarceration will be used, which includes fixed costs. This cost is \$99.90 per day or an annual cost of \$36,464 per offender and includes personal services, all institutional E&E, medical and mental health, fringe, and miscellaneous expenses. None of these costs include construction to increase institutional capacity.

DOC's cost of probation or parole is determined by the number of P&P Officer II positions that are needed to cover its caseload. The DOC average district caseload across the state is 51 offender cases per officer. An increase/decrease of 51 cases would result in a cost/cost avoidance equal to the salary, fringe, and equipment and expenses of one P&P Officer II. Increases/decreases smaller than 51 offender cases are assumed to be absorbable.

In instances where the proposed legislation would only affect a specific caseload, such as sex offenders, the DOC will use the average caseload figure for that specific type of offender to calculate cost increases/decreases.

**Oversight** does not have any information contrary to that provided by DOC. Therefore, Oversight will reflect DOC's impact for fiscal note purposes.

L.R. No. 4476H.01I Bill No. HB 2210 Page **5** of **6** February 6, 2024

Officials from the **Office of Attorney General (AGO)** assume any potential litigation costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources. However, the AGO may seek additional appropriations if the proposal results in a significant increase in litigation or investigation.

**Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight assumes the AGO will be able to perform any additional duties required by this proposal with current staff and resources and will reflect no fiscal impact to the AGO for fiscal note purposes.

Officials from the **Missouri Office of Prosecution Services (MOPS)** assume the proposal will have no measurable fiscal impact on MOPS. The creation of additional responsibilities under 595.209.1(4) [to notify victims in 24.035 or 29.15 cases] for county prosecutors and the circuit attorney which may, in turn, result in additional costs, which are difficult to determine.

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator (OSCA)** state there may be some impact but there is no way to quantify that currently. Any significant changes will be reflected in future budget requests.

Officials from the Department of Public Safety – (Office of the Director and Missouri Highway Patrol), the Department of Revenue, the Department of Social Services, the Missouri Department of Transportation, the Joint Committee on Administrative Rules, the Office of the Secretary of State, the Office of the State Public Defender, the Phelps County Sheriff's Department, the Kansas City Police Department, and the St. Louis County Police Department each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

FISCAL IMPACT – State Government	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027
	(10 Mo.)		
GENERAL REVENUE			
Costs – DOC (§575.150) Increased			
incarceration costs	(\$8,074)	(\$19,766)	(\$20,161)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON			
GENERAL REVENUE	<u>(\$8,074)</u>	<u>(\$19,766)</u>	<u>(\$20,161)</u>

FISCAL IMPACT – Local Government	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027
	(10 Mo.)		
	ì		
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

L.R. No. 4476H.01I Bill No. HB 2210 Page **6** of **6** February 6, 2024

### FISCAL IMPACT – Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

#### FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This bill adds to the definition of "persistent offender" one who has been previously found guilty of a dangerous felony as defined in Section 556.061, RSMo.

Currently, the offense of resisting arrest, detention, or stop is a class E felony if the person resisting does so by fleeing and creates a substantial risk of serious physical injury or death. This bill adds that it is also a class E felony if a person flees by operating a motor vehicle.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

#### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Attorney General's Office
Department of Corrections
Department of Public Safety
Department of Revenue
Department of Social Services
Joint Committee on Administrative Rules
Missouri Department of Transportation
Missouri Office of Prosecution Services
Office of the State Courts Administrator
Office of the Secretary of State
Office of the State Public Defender
Phelps County Sheriff's Department
Kansas City Police Department
St. Louis County Police Department

Julie Morff Director

February 6, 2024

Ross Strope Assistant Director February 6, 2024