COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 5635H.03C

Bill No.: HCS for HB 2895

Subject: Elections; Federal - State Relations

Type: Original Date: May 3, 2024

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies provisions related to elections.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND								
FUND	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028				
AFFECTED								
General				(Could exceed				
Revenue*	(\$8,074)	(\$19,766)	(\$30,241)	\$6,441,128)				
Total Estimated								
Net Effect on								
General				(Could exceed				
Revenue	(\$8,074)	(\$19,766)	(\$30,241)	\$6,441,128)				

^{*}Proportional costs of approximately \$6.4 million in March 2028 (FY 2028) for holding a Presidential Preference Primary Election plus DOC incarceration costs for tampering with an election official.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS								
FUND	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028				
AFFECTED								
Total Estimated								
Net Effect on								
Other State								
Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

L.R. No. 5635H.03C Bill No. HCS for HB 2895 Page **2** of **9** May 3, 2024

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS									
FUND	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028					
AFFECTED									
Total Estimated									
Net Effect on									
All Federal									
Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0					

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)								
FUND	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028				
AFFECTED								
Total Estimated								
Net Effect on								
FTE	0	0	0	0				

- ⊠ Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.
- ☐ Estimated Net Effect (savings or increased revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS									
FUND	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028					
AFFECTED									
Local									
Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	(Unknown)					

L.R. No. 5635H.03C Bill No. HCS for HB 2895 Page **3** of **9** May 3, 2024

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

<u>Sections 115.105, 115.123, 115.351, 115.776, 115.904 – Reinstating the Presidential Preference Primary (PPP)</u>

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** state this bill would reinstate the presidential preference primary (PPP) election which was previously held in March of any presidential election year to the first Tuesday after the first Monday of April.

The estimated cost of a statewide election is up to \$8 million, based on the cost of the 2022 primary and general election reimbursements. However, due to the date coinciding with the municipal election, the state will share costs proportionally with all political subdivisions holding elections on that day. The SOS estimates that the state would bear anywhere from 50-80% of the overall cost depending on the number and placement of local elections. Assuming the overall cost of the election would be comparable to the \$8 million previously quoted, the state would be responsible for \$4 million to \$6.4 million in proportional cost shares in each presidential election fiscal year, beginning in FY28 (outside the three-year fiscal note cycle). However, it should be noted that this cost could rise since each jurisdiction will require an increased number of ballot styles to accommodate both the partisan presidential primary and their nonpartisan municipal races.

Oversight notes section 115.785 states "All costs of a presidential preference primary shall be paid by the state, except that, pursuant to section 115.065, costs shall be shared proportionately by the state and any political subdivisions and special districts holding an election on the same day as any such primary. For any county with more than five hundred polling places, the state shall assist in assuring adequate poll workers and equipment. Therefore, Oversight will reflect the potential proportional election cost for reimbursement to local political subdivisions in FY 2028.

In addition, the **Office of the Secretary of State** stated this bill would require the SOS to assist in assuring adequate poll workers and equipment for counties containing more than 500 polling places. For the most recent general election held in November 2022, no county claimed to operate more than 500 polling places. However, at least two counties did claim more than 500 precincts at that election, so there may be a potential cost if these counties were forced to open additional polling places. The scope of such potential cost is unknown.

Oversight notes there is no way to determine if particular counties will be operating more than 500 polling places. Therefore, Oversight will also reflect a \$0 to unknown cost to the state for the potential cost of poll workers and equipment for counties containing more than 500 polling places.

L.R. No. 5635H.03C Bill No. HCS for HB 2895 Page **4** of **9** May 3, 2024

The Office of the Secretary of State also assumed many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$5,000. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, the SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the office can sustain with the core budget. Therefore, the SOS reserves the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

Oversight assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could require additional resources.

In response to a previous version, HB 2895 (2024), officials from the **Platte County Board of Elections** assume the cost to hold a Presidential Preference Primary in 2020 was \$84,155.70. The cost to hold a future Presidential Preference Primary should be near but probably higher than the 2020 amount.

Officials from the **Greene County Clerk's Office** assume SOS' costs for March 2020 was \$192,162. The total cost of the March 2020 election was \$266,341. As the State does not pay for machine rental, poll pad rental, and other portions of election costs, the County costs were approximately \$74,178 for the machine rental, poll pad rental, a portion of clerk salary, a portion of ballot supplies. With the updated increased costs since 2020, a presidential preference primary will cost the State approximately 326,346.17 of allowable costs.

Officials from the **St. Louis City Board of Elections** state this proposal would cost \$500,000.

In response to a previous version, HB 2895 (2024), officials from the **St. Louis County Board of Elections** assumes the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organization.

Oversight notes section 115.785 states all costs of a presidential preference primary shall be paid by the state and for any county with more than five hundred polling places, the state shall assist in assuring adequate poll workers and equipment. Therefore, the fiscal note will reflect the cost and reimbursement to local election authorities netting to zero.

Oversight will reflect an unknown cost to local election authorities for election expenses (machine rental, poll pad rental, a portion of clerk salary, and a portion of ballot supplies) not covered by state as mentioned above by the Greene County Clerk's Office.

L.R. No. 5635H.03C Bill No. HCS for HB 2895 Page **5** of **9** May 3, 2024

Section 115.635 – Tampering with an Election Official

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** state this proposal modifies provisions relating to elections. Section 115.635 is modified to include descriptions of offenses related to tampering with an election official. The penalty associated with these offenses is deemed a misdemeanor, unless actions result in death or bodily injury to an election official or their family, in which case the penalty is a class B felony.

As misdemeanors fall outside the purview of the Department of Corrections, they will not be analyzing their projected impact. Thus, as it relates to DOC, the intent of the bill is to create one new class B felony offense. As these are new crimes, there is little direct data on which to base an estimate, and as such, the department estimates an impact comparable to the creation of a new class B felony.

Given the seriousness of class B felony offenses and that the introduction of a completely new class B felony offense is a rare event, the department assumes the admission of one person per year to prison following the passage of the legislative proposal.

Offenders committed to prison with a class B felony as their most serious sentence, have an average sentence length of 9.0 years and served, on average, 3.4 years in prison prior to first release. The department assumes one third of the remaining sentence length will be served in prison as a parole return, and the rest of the sentence will be served on supervision in the community.

The cumulative impact on the department is estimated to be 5 additional offenders in prison and 4 additional offenders on field supervision by FY 2033.

Change in prison admissions and probation openings with legislation-Class B Felony

	FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029	FY2030	FY2031	FY2032	FY2033	FY2034
New Admissions										
Current Law	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
After Legislation	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Probation										
Current Law	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
After Legislation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Change (After Legislation	n - Current La	w)								
Admissions	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Probations										
Cumulative Populations										
Prison	1	2	3	4	5	5	5	5	5	5
Parole						1	2	3	4	4
Probation										
Impact										
Prison Population	1	2	3	4	5	5	5	5	5	5
Field Population						1	2	3	4	4
Population Change	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	9

L.R. No. 5635H.03C Bill No. HCS for HB 2895 Page **6** of **9** May 3, 2024

	# to prison	Cost per year	Total Costs for prison	Change in probation & parole officers	Total cost for probation and parole	# to probation & parole	Grand Total - Prison and Probation (includes 2% inflation)
Year 1	1	(\$9,689)	(\$8,074)	0	\$0	0	(\$8,074)
Year 2	2	(\$9,689)	(\$19,766)	0	\$0	0	(\$19,766)
Year 3	3	(\$9,689)	(\$30,241)	0	\$0	0	(\$30,241)
Year 4	4	(\$9,689)	(\$41,128)	0	\$0	0	(\$41,128)
Year 5	5	(\$9,689)	(\$52,438)	0	\$0	0	(\$52,438)
Year 6	5	(\$9,689)	(\$53,487)	0	\$0	1	(\$53,487)
Year 7	5	(\$9,689)	(\$54,557)	0	\$0	2	(\$54,557)
Year 8	5	(\$9,689)	(\$55,648)	0	\$0	3	(\$55,648)
Year 9	5	(\$9,689)	(\$56,761)	0	\$0	4	(\$56,761)
Year 10	5	(\$9,689)	(\$57,896)	0	\$0	4	(\$57,896)

^{*} If this impact statement has changed from statements submitted in previous years, it could be due to an increase/decrease in the number of offenders, a change in the cost per day for institutional offenders, and/or an increase in staff salaries.

If the projected impact of legislation is less than 1,500 offenders added to or subtracted from the department's institutional caseload, the marginal cost of incarceration will be utilized. This cost of incarceration is \$26.545 per day or an annual cost of \$9,689 per offender and includes such costs as medical, food, and operational E&E. However, if the projected impact of legislation is 1,500 or more offenders added or removed to the department's institutional caseload, the full cost of incarceration will be used, which includes fixed costs. This cost is \$99.90 per day or an annual cost of \$36,464 per offender and includes personal services, all institutional E&E, medical and mental health, fringe, and miscellaneous expenses. None of these costs include construction to increase institutional capacity.

DOC's cost of probation or parole is determined by the number of P&P Officer II positions that are needed to cover its caseload. The DOC average district caseload across the state is 51 offender cases per officer. An increase/decrease of 51 cases would result in a cost/cost avoidance equal to the salary, fringe, and equipment and expenses of one P&P Officer II. Increases/decreases smaller than 51 offender cases are assumed to be absorbable.

In instances where the proposed legislation would only affect a specific caseload, such as sex offenders, the DOC will use the average caseload figure for that specific type of offender to calculate cost increases/decreases.

Oversight does not have any information contrary to that provided by DOC. Therefore, Oversight will reflect DOC's impact for fiscal note purposes.

L.R. No. 5635H.03C Bill No. HCS for HB 2895 Page **7** of **9** May 3, 2024

In response to a similar proposal, HCS HB 2140 (2024), officials from the Missouri Highway Patrol, the Office of the State Public Defender the Office of the State Courts Administrator, the Jackson County Election Board, the Platte County Board of Elections, and the St. Louis County Board of Elections each assumed the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations for this proposal.

Oversight assumes this proposal will not create the number of new cases required to request additional FTE for the SPD and that the SPD can absorb the additional caseload required by this proposal with current staff and resources. Therefore, Oversight will reflect no fiscal impact to the SPD for fiscal note purposes. However, if multiple bills pass which require additional staffing and duties, the SPD may request funding through the appropriation process.

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol**, **Missouri Office of Prosecution Services** and the **St. Louis County Board of Elections** each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

Oversight only reflects the responses received from state agencies and political subdivisions; however, other local election authorities and county clerks were requested to respond to this proposed legislation but did not. A listing of political subdivisions included in the Missouri Legislative Information System (MOLIS) database is available upon request.

FISCAL IMPACT – State	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028
Government	(10 Mo.)			
GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
<u>Costs</u> – DOC §115.638 -				
Increased in incarceration costs	(\$8,074)	(\$19,766)	(\$30,241)	(\$41,128)
<u>Cost</u> - SOS §115.785				
Reimbursement of local election				(Could exceed
authority election costs for PPP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6,400,000)
<u>Cost</u> – SOS §115.785				
Additional poll workers and				\$0 to
equipment	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	(Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT				
ON GENERAL REVENUE				(Could exceed
FUND	<u>(\$8,074)</u>	<u>(\$19,766)</u>	<u>(\$30,241)</u>	<u>\$6,441,128)</u>

Page **8** of **9** May 3, 2024

FISCAL IMPACT – Local	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028
Government	(10 Mo.)			
LOCAL POLITICAL				
SUBDIVISIONS				
Revenue Gain - Local Election				
Authorities - §115.785				
reimbursement of election costs				Could
for PPP				exceed
				\$6,40
	\$0	\$0	\$0	0,000
<u>Cost</u> - Local Election				
Authorities §115.785 - holding				(Could
PPP election	\$0	\$0	\$0	exceed
				\$6,400,000)
<u>Cost</u> – Local Election				
Authorities				
Election expenses not covered by				
State	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	(Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT				
ON LOCAL POLITICAL				
SUBDIVISIONS	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>(Unknown)</u>

FISCAL IMPACT – Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This proposal modifies provisions relating to elections, with penalty provisions.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 5635H.03C Bill No. HCS for HB 2895 Page **9** of **9** May 3, 2024

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the Secretary of State Department of Corrections Missouri Office of Prosecution Services Platte County Board of Elections St. Louis City Board of Elections St. Louis County Board of Elections Greene County Clerk's Office

Julie Morff Director

May 3, 2024

Ross Strope Assistant Director May 3, 2024