

BILL NUMBER: HB 1609				DATE: 2/5/2024
COMMITTEE: Special Committee	e on Homeland Securit	У		
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: ARNIE C "HONEST-ABE" DIENOFF-STATE PUBLIC ADVOCATE				ER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: TITLE:				
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: arniedienoff@yah	oo.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 2/5/202	ATE: 4 11:31 PM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.				
I am in Support of this Bill and Protecting Private Owner Rights and holding Officials responsible.				



BILL NUMBER: HB 1609				DATE: 2/5/2024
COMMITTEE: Special Committee	e on Homeland Securit	ty .		
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: BECKY BICKHAUS	S		PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIC	DN NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: doniibecky@yaho	o.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT E 2/2/202	DATE: 24 4:21 PM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
Absolutely				



BILL NUMBER: HB 1609				DATE: 2/5/2024
COMMITTEE: Special Committee	e on Homeland Security	1	•	
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED LO	OBBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: BENJAMIN J. TRA	VLOS		PHONE NUME 573-893-14	
REPRESENTING: MISSOURI FARM BUREAU			DIRECTOR OF STATE	
ADDRESS: 701 S. COUNTRY				
CITY: JEFFERSON CITY			STATE: MO	ZIP: 65109
EMAIL: ben.travlos@mofb	o.org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 2/5/202	ATE: 24 10:58 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.				
aerial surveillance	of private property exc	ted policies that read, "We cept in the case of nationa	I security or to f	ind missing

aerial surveillance of private property except in the case of national security or to find missing persons." and "We support legislation strengthening property rights by requiring government officials to obtain search warrants before entering any private property, except in the case of national security or to find missing persons."



BILL NUMBER: HB 1609				DATE: 2/5/2024	
COMMITTEE: Special Committee	COMMITTEE: Special Committee on Homeland Security				
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES	
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: DON BICKHAUS			PHONE NUM	BER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	DN NAME:		TITLE:		
ADDRESS:					
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:	
^{EMAIL:} doniibecky@yaho	o.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT I 2/2/202	DATE: 24 3:48 PM	
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.					
Absolutely!100% in favor of our right as law abiding citizens to have our privacy protected from ANY					

activities can easily be used to trample upon our private lives. Keep the camel's nose outside the tent.



BILL NUMBER: HB 1609			DATE: 2/5/2024	
COMMITTEE: Special Committee on Homeland Security	1			
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES	
	WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: MICHAEL		PHONE NUMB	ER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: TITLE:				
ADDRESS:				
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:	
EMAIL: MichaelWesten.3up@protonmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 2/5/202	ATE: 4 7:42 PM	
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.				
I OPPOSE HB 1609 as originally drafted. My concern language on page 2 that states, "(2) No person, entity, or state agency shall launch or operate a drone or other unmanned aircraft within the property line of private property without the consent of the property owner or occupant."The current language				

line of private property without the consent of the property owner or occupant."The current language seems to indicate that a person not in law enforcement (drone hobbyist) would be prohibited from flying a drone in a straight line from point A to point B if the flight path crosses over private property. This would mean the drone would have to follow public roads and sidewalks to navigate around private property. This is problematic for several reasons, 1 of which being drones are not allowed to fly over people or vehicles. This bill essentially declares all airspace over private property restricted airspace, which I oppose. But if this is the case, will private property owners be legally allowed to attack any drone they see flying over their property??I agree with the provisions restricting the use of drones by law enforcement, but I OPPOSE the provisions restricting the use of drones by ordinary citizens and drone hobbyists.



BILL NUMBER: HB 1609				DATE: 2/5/2024
COMMITTEE: Special Committee	e on Homeland Securit	ty .		
TESTIFYING:	IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
BUSINESS/ORG	ANIZATION:			
WITNESS NAME: JOHN YEAST			PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO			TITLE: CHIEF PIL	.ot
ADDRESS: 2000 SALT RIVER				
CITY: ST. PETERS			STATE: MO	ZIP: 63376
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:	SUBMIT [2/5/202	DATE: 24 12:00 AM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.



BILL NUMBER: HB 1609			DATE: 2/5/2024
COMMITTEE: Special Committee on Homeland Security	/		
TESTIFYING:	IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: SUSAN GIBSON		PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: Onesuegibson@protonmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT [2/2/202	DATE: 24 8:17 PM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.			
In 2024, there's no excuse for using outdated terminology. Drones are either crewed or uncrewed.			