

BILL NUMBER: HB 2197				DATE: 2/21/2024
COMMITTEE: Economic Development				
TESTIFYING:	I SUPPORT OF	☐ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORMA	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED LOBBY	/IST:			
WITNESS NAME: BRAD JONES			PHONE NUMB 619-3077	ER:
REPRESENTING: NFIB (NATIONAL FEDER	RATION OF INDEP	ENDENT BUSINESS)	TITLE:	
ADDRESS: 308 E. HIGH				
CITY: JEFFERSON CITY			STATE: MO	ZIP: 65101
EMAIL: Brad.Jones@nfib.org		ATTENDANCE: In-Person	SUBMIT D 2/21/20	ATE: 24 7:55 AM
THE INFORMATION	ON THIS FORM	I IS PUBLIC RECORI	D UNDER CHAI	PTER 610. RSMo.



BILL NUMBER: HB 2197				DATE: 2/21/2024	
COMMITTEE: Economic Develor	oment				
TESTIFYING:	☑ IN SUPPORT OF	☐ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES	
		WITNESS NAME			
REGISTERED LO	OBBYIST:				
WITNESS NAME: JAMES HARRIS			PHONE NUME 573-761-7		
REPRESENTING: OPPORTUNITY SO	DLUTIONS PROJECT		TITLE: LOBBYIS	т	
ADDRESS: 122 EAST HIGH STREET, SUITE 200					
CITY: JEFFERSON CITY			STATE: MO	ZIP: 65102	
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:	SUBMIT I 2/21/20	DATE: 124 12:00 AM	
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610. RSMo.	



BILL NUMBER: HB 2197				DATE: 2/21/2024	
COMMITTEE: Economic Develo	pment				
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES	}
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: ARNIE C."HONES	T-ABE" DIENOFF-STAT	TE PUBLIC ADVOCATE	PHONE NUME	BER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:		
ADDRESS:					
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:	
EMAIL: arniedienoff@yah	oo.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 0 2/21/20	DATE: 124 11:31 PM	
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS EOD	M IS DUBLIC PECOP	D LINDED CHY	DTED 610 PSMo	

I am Opposed to this Bill and its intension. This is a Safety Issue and does NOT make rational sense of Picking Good Rules VS. Bad Rules or Policy.



MISSOURI HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HB 2197				DATE: 2/21/	2024
COMMITTEE: Economic Develop	ment			•	
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	☑ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFO	RMATION	AL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME			
REGISTERED LO	BBYIST:				
WITNESS NAME: MICHAEL BERG				NUMBER: 14-1011	
REPRESENTING: SIERRA CLUB MISSOURI CHAPTER SIERRA CLUB MISSOURI CHAPTER POLITICAL DIRECTOR					
ADDRESS: PO BOX 432010					
CITY: SAINT LOUIS			STATE: MO		ZIP: 63143
EMAIL: Michael.Berg@sier i	raclub.org	ATTENDANCE: Written		MIT DATE: 2/2024 8:4	7 AM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

The Sierra Club opposes House Bill 1961 and House Bill 2197. These bills are an unnecessary, one-size fits all approach to regulation that denies state agencies the flexibility they need to implement and repeal rules. Instead of allowing our rulemaking processes and state agencies to operate as a welloiled machine, these bills would throw sand in the gears. They would bring the rulemaking process to an absolute halt, preventing the adoption of regulations that protect the health of Missourians and our state's environment. The premise of the bills are flawed. Administrative rules, crafted by experts, and subject to public petition for repeal or adoption are a critically important of any functioning, complex, modern society. The concept that unnecessary rules are common in the Missouri Code of State Regulations ignores this rulemaking processes established by the Missouri Administrative Procedure Act. Each of our state's regulations have been adopted after notice and comment rulemaking, and all regulations are subject to petitions to amend or repeal. You can read that specific petition for repeal provision at 536,041 RSMo. This means that after public input and expert testimony, the subject-matter experts at our state agencies decided that there was a compelling reason to implement a regulation. It also means that any person can have unnecessary regulations repealed through the same process. Second, the practical impacts of this on state agencies would be disastrous. The requirement that two rules be repealed before a new rule can take effect essentially triples the burden of rulemaking (and repeal). Instead of a single rulemaking process to decide whether or not an agency should adopt a new rule, agencies are now confronted with three separate rulemaking processes. This would be in addition to the task of identifying the two least valuable rules already on the books. This will take valuable agency resources away from day-to-day operations, permit writing, and other critical tasks. In an attempt to relieve administrative burden and streamline the rulemaking process, this bill does the opposite. The valuable work that our state agencies do to protect Missouri consumers, residents, and our environment would be undermined by these, and as a result we respectfully urge the committee to reject them.



MISSOURI HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HB 2197			DATE: 2/21/2024		
COMMITTEE: Economic Development					
TESTIFYING: □ IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO ☐	FOR INFORMA	TIONAL PURPOSES		
	WITNESS NAME				
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: PHONE NUMBER: PAMELA BARNABEE					
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: TITLE:					
ADDRESS:					
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:		
EMAIL: pamela.barnabee@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DA 2/17/202	ATE: 24 2:00 PM		

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

HB 2197 denies state agencies the flexibility they need to implement and repeal rules. They would severely impact the rule-making process, preventing the adoption of regulations that protect the health of Missourians and our state's environment. Administrative rules, crafted by experts, and subject to public petition for repeal or adoption are critically important for a government to function. Each of our state's regulations has been adopted after notice and public comment, and all regulations are subject to petitions to amend or repeal. After public input and expert testimony, the subject-matter experts at our state agencies decide that there is a compelling reason to implement a regulation. Any person can have unnecessary regulations repealed through the same process. The practical impacts of these bills on state agencies would also be damaging. The task of identifying the two least valuable rules already on the books would take valuable agency resources away from day-to-day operations and other critical tasks. In an attempt to relieve administrative burden and streamline the rulemaking process, this bill does the opposite. The valuable work that our state agencies do to protect Missouri consumers, residents, and our environment would be undermined by this bill.



BILL NUMBER: HB 2197				DATE: 2/21/2024
COMMITTEE: Economic Develop	ment			•
TESTIFYING:	☐IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	MATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED LC	BBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: SAMUEL G. LICKLI	DER		PHONE NUM 573-418- 5	
REPRESENTING: MISSOURI REALTO	DRS		TITLE:	
ADDRESS: 100 EAST HIGH				
CITY: JEFFERSON CTY			STATE: MO	ZIP: 65102
EMAIL: sglgov@aol.com		ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 2/20/2	DATE: 024 8:55 PM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

Missouri REALTORS' concern with this proposal is that we believe that entities under Professional Registration should be exempt. Generally, rules enacted by these entities are requested by the regulated professions, and the requirement that they remove two rules for each new one enacted will likely make it impossible to add new rules.



BILL NUMBER: HB 2197				DATE: 2/21/2024
COMMITTEE: Economic Develo	pment			
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	☐ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
BUSINESS/ORG	ANIZATION:			
WITNESS NAME: SARAH SCHAPPE			PHONE NUME 573-751-2	
			TITLE: DIRECTO	R
ADDRESS: 201 WEST CAPITOL AVENUE, B-8A				
CITY: JEFFERSON CITY	•		STATE: MO	ZIP: 65101
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:	SUBMIT II 2/21/20	DATE: 124 12:00 AM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D LINDER CHA	PTER 610 RSMo