

BILL NUMBER: HB 2218				DATE: 3/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Insurance Policy			·	
TESTIFYING:	☑IN SUPPORT OF	☐ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: ARNIE C."HONES	T-ABE" DIENOFF-STAT	E PUBLIC ADVOCATE	PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	DN NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			•	
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: arniedienoff@yaho	oo.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 3/6/202	OATE: 4 11:50 PM
THE INFORMAT	TION ON THIS FORM	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D LINDER CHA	PTER 610 RSMo

I am in Support of this Bill. There NEEDS to be Penalties Provided with the State Law.



BILL NUMBER: HB 2218				DATE: <b>3/6/2024</b>
COMMITTEE: Insurance Policy				
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	☑ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	MATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
BUSINESS/ORG	ANIZATION:			
WITNESS NAME: DANIEL TUCKER			PHONE NUM <b>314-598-5</b>	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO MISSOURI WORKE			TITLE:	
ADDRESS: 3347 TRACY AVE.				
CITY: KANSAS CITY			STATE: <b>MO</b>	ZIP: <b>64109</b>
EMAIL: dct041@gmail.com	1	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 3/6/20	DATE: <b>24 1:43 PM</b>

#### THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

I am writing today on behalf of the Missouri Workers Center and our opposition to HB 2218. Freedom of speech and freedom of assembly are enshrined in the 1st Amendment to our federal Constition and there's a reason for that: because the freedom to assemble is integral to maintaing a free republic and a free society. We believe that this legislation, whether explicity or by implication, will undermine our most fundamental rights to free speech and assembly. Our organization works in particular with lowwage workers who are organizing and very often have to rally, strike, and hold public demonstrations in order to hold their employers and our elected leaders to account, and we believe this legislation could have a chilling effect on workers exercise of these federal rights--therefore we see this legislation as both un-American and anti-worker.



#### WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HB 2218				DATE: <b>3/6/2024</b>	
COMMITTEE: Insurance Policy				•	
TESTIFYING:	☐IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFO	RMATIONAL PURPO	SES
		WITNESS NAME			
BUSINESS/ORGA	NIZATION:				
WITNESS NAME: DANY LACIO			PHONE I	NUMBER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION PROMO MISSOURI	I NAME:		TITLE: <b>EQUA</b>	LITY ORGANIZR	
ADDRESS:					
CITY: SPRINGFIELD			STATE: MO	ZIP: <b>65807</b>	
EMAIL: dany@promoonline	e.org	ATTENDANCE: Written		MIT DATE: /2024 2:04 PM	

#### THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

We are writing to express our strong opposition to HB 2218, legislation that aims to penalize individuals for participating in peaceful protests. As an organization that advocates for a marginalized community and values the fundamental principles of democracy, freedom of expression, and the right to assemble, we believe this legislation poses a threat to our constitutional rights and undermines the very foundations of our democratic society. We are an organization that sometimes engages in protest as a way to exercise our first amendment, a constitutionally right to free speech. Our organization we takes steps to hold peaceful and nonviolent protests, rallies and other free speech activities. But we are very concerned about the attempt to hold an organization reliable for the actions of any person attending or at the protest. From the beginning of our country's history, America has been made better by protests. People coming together to demand change is how we fought segregation, how we ended child labor, protected the environment and expanded the right to vote. Most protests are peaceful and the way to address protesting is to fix the causes of it, not to attempt to silence the people's voices. Penalizing individuals for exercising their right to peaceful assembly not only contradicts the principles upon which our nation was founded but also sets a dangerous precedent that could stifle dissent and discourage civic engagement. It is a betrayal of the spirit of our constitution, which protects freedom of assembly and freedom of speech as some of the most sacred rights to be protected. HB 2218 would diminish that fundamental American freedom. While we acknowledge the importance of maintaining public order and safety, existing laws already address instances where protests escalate into violence or criminal activities. Introducing additional penalties for protestors runs the risk of disproportionately silencing marginalized voices and minority groups who often rely on peaceful demonstrations to bring attention to social injustices. Under HB 2218, taking to the streets for actions like union picket lines, rallies for healthcare, or marches for Black Lives Matter could result in severe penalties. HB 2218 is an attempt to silence our voices. That is downright unAmerican we won't stand for it!



#### WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HB 2218				DATE: 3/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Insurance Policy				
TESTIFYING:	SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORM	MATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: DAVID L DEROSSETT			PHONE NUM	IBER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:			TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: dderosse@drury.edu		ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 3/6/20	DATE: <b>24 3:17 PM</b>

#### THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

More Missourians in recent years have been exercising their 1st amendment rights and participating in our democracy by making their voices heard in the streets, particularly when unpopular or unjust policies are proposed or passed. Legislation designed to undermine, stifle, or suppress dissent, including of public protest, is by definition anti-democratic. The avalanche of anti-protest bills and bills to undermine Missouri's century old initiative petition process clearly have this goal and are nakedly anti-democratic. Missouri voters know it! HB 2218 is the latest in a string of 18 anti-protest bills that have been introduced in Missouri since 2017. Legislators who have proposed and supported these bills are either fearful or disdainful (or both) of Missouri voters who push back against unpopular policies. HB 2218 would take a step toward criminalizing citizen rights to engage in collective action, including demonstrations, civil disobedience, and potentially even legally permitted rallies, by making organizers legally culpable for disruption or property damage that occurs during a protest event, regardless of who the perpetrators are. This is potentially unconstitutional, but at the very least the bill is designed to undermine effects of protest and to render civil disobedience, a key tactic in struggles for social change since the Civil Rights Movement, all but impossible. With HB 2218, taking to the streets for actions like union picket lines, rallies for healthcare, an increased minimum wage, or marches for racial justice could result in severe penalties and potentially jail time for organizers. There is no evidence of a trend of protestors in Missouri becoming more violent or present an imminent danger to the safety of the general public. But that concern is not really why bills like HB 2218 are being proposed. This is a clear attempt to silence our voices and criminalize democracy and Missouri citizens will not stand for it!



BILL NUMBER: HB 2218		DA' 3/6	TE: <b>6/2024</b>
COMMITTEE: Insurance Policy		٠	
<b>TESTIFYING</b> : □IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO ☐FC	R INFORMATIO	NAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: JAI BYRD		PHONE NUMBER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: johnathanbyrd33@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DATE: 3/6/2024 11	:25 PM

#### THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

Testimony Against HB 2218As people who believe in the power of peaceful protest to bring about meaningful change, I vehemently oppose HB 2218. This bill, rather than addressing the root causes of social issues, seeks to intimidate and punish organizers of protests, regardless of their actual involvement in any damages. Protests are a vital tool for expressing dissent and advocating for change. They have historically been used to amplify marginalized voices and push for progress. However, HB 2218 threatens to undermine this fundamental right by holding organizers liable for damages caused by individuals or groups unrelated to the protest's goals. I have seen how opposition forces have infiltrated and manipulated peaceful movements in the past, using violence and destruction to discredit the cause. HB 2218 plays into this strategy by unfairly targeting organizers who may have no control over such actions. Instead of fostering dialogue and understanding, this bill creates a chilling effect on free speech and assembly. It discourages people from exercising their constitutional rights out of fear of being held responsible for the actions of others. I urge lawmakers to reject HB 2218 and focus on addressing the underlying issues that lead to protests. Let us not stifle dissent, but rather embrace it as a necessary component of a democratic society. Thank you for considering our testimony.



### WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HB 2218			DATE: 3/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Insurance Policy			
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPO	ORT OF IN OPPOSITION	ON TO ☐FOR INFORM	MATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAM	IE .	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION	<b> :</b>		
WITNESS NAME: JAMALA ROGERS		PHONE NUM <b>314-367-</b> 5	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: ORGANIZATION FOR BLACK ST	TRUGGLE	TITLE: DIRECTO	PR
ADDRESS: 1401 ROWAN AVENUE			
CITY: ST. LOUIS		STATE: MO	ZIP: <b>63112</b>
EMAIL: jamala7@yahoo.com	ATTENDANCE: <b>Written</b>	SUBMIT 3/6/202	DATE: <b>24 9:56 PM</b>

### THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

Re HB2218, the Organization for Black Struggle is appalled that such anti-democratic legislation is being proposed. The right to assemble is a fundamental right of all citizens. Further, I'm not sure how one determines "who" is liable for damage at a protest when organizers can't control who comes to a public protest. They are being whose intent is to cause trouble. I don't think groups who are organizing non-violent protests should be held accountable for the actions of saboteurs. This goal of this kind of legislation is to muffle the voices of dissent and to put a chill on their actions. We oppose HB2218.



BILL NUMBER: <b>HB 2218</b>			DATE: 8 <b>/6/2024</b>
COMMITTEE: Insurance Policy		•	
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORMAT	IONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION:			
WITNESS NAME: JAMALA ROGERS		PHONE NUMBER 314-307-3083	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: YOUTH COUNCIL FOR POSITIVE DEVELO	PMENT	TITLE: DIRECTOR	
ADDRESS: PO BOX 5277			
CITY: SAINT LOUIS		STATE: MO	ZIP: <b>63115</b>
EMAIL: ycpd_stl@yahoo.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DATI 3/6/2024 1	

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

The Youth Council for Positive Development stands in staunch opposition to HB 2218. It is unclear as to what problem it is a remedy for and seems like it will create more problems. It is the right for any person to assemble in a peaceful manner for whatever the person. We hope you will reconsider the merits of this legislation.



# WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HB 2218				DATE: <b>3/6/2024</b>
COMMITTEE: Insurance Policy				
TESTIFYING:	☐IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
BUSINESS/ORGA	NIZATION:			
WITNESS NAME: KAY MILLS			PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION MISSOURI JOBS WI	NAME: TH JUSTICE VOTER A	ACTION	TITLE:	
ADDRESS: 2725 CLIFTON AVE.				
CITY: ST. LOUIS			STATE: MO	ZIP: <b>63139</b>
EMAIL: kay@mojwj.org		ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT I 3/6/202	DATE: 24 12:32 PM

## THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

As an organization that sometimes engages in protest as a way to exercise our first amendment, constitutionally protected right to free speech, we are opposed to HB2218.We find his bill anti-Democratic and an attempt to silence voices that are marginalized - voices that our society needs to hear from. We take steps to hold peaceful and nonviolent protests, rallies and other free speech activities. But we are very concerned about an attempt to hold an organization or a person liable for the action of any person attending or at a protest.



BILL NUMBER: HB 2218				DAT 3/6	E: / <b>2024</b>
COMMITTEE: Insurance Policy				•	
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INF	ORMATIO	NAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: KORTNIE HUDDLE	STON		PHON	E NUMBER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	N NAME:		TITLE:		
ADDRESS:			•		
CITY:			STATE	<u>:</u> :	ZIP:
EMAIL: kortniehuddleston	@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written		JBMIT DATE: <b>/6/2024 9:1</b>	18 PM

#### THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

I'm reaching out to voice my firm opposition to HB2218 (Morse), which pertains to protests and liability for damages. While I recognize the importance of maintaining public safety, this legislation takes an overly aggressive stance that undermines core rights and freedoms. Protests stand as a vital pillar of our democracy, offering citizens a platform to voice their concerns and advocate for change. However, by instituting strict liability for any damages arising from a protest, this bill casts a chilling shadow over freedom of speech and assembly. Furthermore, the bill's language is overly vague and expansive. The term "property damage" is broad and could encompass minor incidents beyond the control of protest organizers. Holding them accountable for such damages is unjust and disproportionate. Additionally, penalizing individuals for obstructing an interstate highway or emergency vehicle, irrespective of intent or circumstances, is draconian and fails to acknowledge the nuances of protest dynamics. Often, protesters resort to such actions as a last resort to draw attention to pressing issues that are being overlooked by those in authority. Instead of quashing dissent and punishing those who exercise their constitutional rights, we should strive for constructive dialogue and seek solutions that tackle the underlying causes of social unrest. This bill establishes a perilous precedent and jeopardizes the very foundation of our democracy.



BILL NUMBER: HB 2218				DATE: <b>3/6/2024</b>	
COMMITTEE: Insurance Policy					
TESTIFYING:	$\square$ IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSE	S
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: MALIK SIMS			PHONE NUME	BER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION	N NAME:		TITLE:		
ADDRESS:					
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:	
EMAIL: donnellsimsmaurio	ce@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 0 3/6/202	OATE: 24 9:45 PM	
THE INFORMAT	TON ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D LINDER CHA	DTER 610 RSMc	

I'm writing to express my concerns about HB2218. The language is vague for such a valued constitutional right as freedom of assembly. I urge you to put the brakes on this misdirected legislation.



### WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HB 2218			DATE: <b>3/6/2024</b>
COMMITTEE: Insurance Policy			
TESTIFYING:	OF IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORMAT	TONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: MARCEL HAGENS		PHONE NUMBER	₹:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: marcel@actionstl.org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DAT 3/6/2024	E: 11:22 PM

#### THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

Chair Cristofanelli and the members of the Policy Committee, My name is Marcel Hagens. I am the policy coordinator for the Action St. Louis Power Project and I submitting my testimony in opposition of HB 2218. Protests are embedded in the very fabric of the American Story. From this country's inception, when citizens felt as though their needs weren't met and their voices weren't being heard they utilized protest as a tool to draw attention to their needs. The language as interpreted seeks to criminalize this very much American institution and it isn't lost on us that this bill and previous versions on similar language began appearing when the most marginalized of us began to ulitize protesting to bring awareness to the injustices that have taking place over the last decade. The LGBTQIA+ community in Missouri has been subject to these chambers disregarding their rights and their existence. Black people has been told that aggressive policing in their communities is what's best for them. Women have been told that they don't have the freedom over their bodies. Each of these communities have utilized the means of protesting to speak for themselves and empower the stories of these communities and with this language, you are telling them that speaking up for themselves is a crime. We at the Action St. Louis Power Project reject this notion and implore this committee to do the same. Please vote no on HB 2218.Marcel Hagens (They/Them)Policy Coordinator Action St. Louis **Power Project** 



#### WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HB 2218			DATE: <b>3/6/2024</b>
COMMITTEE: Insurance Policy			
<b>TESTIFYING:</b> IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION:			
WITNESS NAME: MEGAN BALDRIDGE		PHONE NUME <b>660-441-4</b>	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: THE GLO CENTER		PRESIDEI OF DIREC	NT OF THE BOARD
ADDRESS: 1707 S FAIRWAY AVE			
CITY: SPRINGFIELD		STATE: MO	ZIP: <b>65804</b>
EMAIL: president@glocenter.org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 0 3/6/202	DATE: 24 6:24 PM

#### THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

We are writing to express our strong opposition to HB 2218, legislation that aims to penalize individuals for participating in peaceful protests. As an organization that advocates for a marginalized community and values the fundamental principles of democracy, freedom of expression, and the right to assemble, we believe this legislation poses a threat to our constitutional rights and undermines the very foundations of our democratic society. We are an organization that sometimes engages in protest as a way to exercise our first amendment, a constitutionally right to free speech. Our organization takes steps to hold peaceful and nonviolent protests, rallies and other free speech activities. But we are very concerned about the attempt to hold an organization reliable for the actions of any person attending or at the protest. From the beginning of our country's history, America has been made better by protest. People coming together to demand change is how we fought segregation, how we ended child labor, protected the environment and expanded the right to vote. Most protests are peaceful and the way to address protesting is to fix the causes of it, not to attempt to silence the people's voices. Penalizing individuals for exercising their right to peaceful assembly not only contradicts the principles upon which our nation was founded but also sets a dangerous precedent that could stifle dissent and discourage civic engagement. It is a betrayal of the spirit of our constitution, which protects freedom of assembly and freedom of speech as some of the most sacred rights to be protected. HB 2218 would diminish that fundamental American freedom. While we acknowledge the importance of maintaining public order and safety, existing laws already address instances where protests escalate into violence or criminal activities. Introducing additional penalties for protestors runs the risk of disproportionately silencing marginalized voices and minority groups who often rely on peaceful demonstrations to bring attention to social injustices. Under HB 2218, taking to the streets for actions like union picket lines, rallies for healthcare, or marches for Black Lives Matter could result in severe penalties. HB 2218 is an attempt to silence our voices. That is downright un-American and we won't stand for it!Thank you,The GLO Center



#### WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HB 2218				DATE: 3/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Insurance Policy				
TESTIFYING:	$\square$ IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		MATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED LO	OBBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: MICHAEL BERG			PHONE NUM <b>314-644-1</b>	
REPRESENTING: SIERRA CLUB MIS	SSOURI CHAPTER			CLUB MISSOURI R POLITICAL PR
ADDRESS: PO BOX 432010				
CITY: SAINT LOUIS			STATE: MO	ZIP: <b>63143</b>
EMAIL: Michael.Berg@sie	rraclub.org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 3/6/20	DATE: <b>24 10:20 AM</b>

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

The Sierra Club opposes House Bill 2218, which would have a chilling affect on protected first amendment rights. The bill would place legal liability for damages on a highway to "any person who sponsors or organizes a protest or demonstration". If the person leads an organization, would the organization be liable for the actions of any individual who claims to have been part of the protest, or inspired by the protest? Who is going to determine who the "leader" is? There are already Missouri laws addressing issues of trespassing and property damage. A bill to augment liability for those who act out of belief is an attack on people's rights. This is not a direction that we should go in.



## WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HB 2218			ATE: <b>/6/2024</b>
COMMITTEE: Insurance Policy		·	
<b>TESTIFYING:</b> □IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORMATI	ONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: MICHAEL DREYER		PHONE NUMBER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: mdreyer93@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DATE 3/6/2024 9	:16 PM

#### THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

I am writing to express my strong opposition to HB2218 (Morse) regarding protests and liability for damages. While I understand the need to maintain public safety, this bill takes a heavy-handed approach that undermines fundamental rights and freedoms. Protests have been a cornerstone of our democracy, allowing citizens to voice their concerns and advocate for change. However, by imposing strict liability for any damages that occur during a protest, this bill creates a chilling effect on free speech and assembly. Furthermore, the language of the bill is vague and overreaching. The term "property damage" is broad and could encompass minor incidents that are beyond the control of protest organizers. Holding them liable for such damages is unjust and disproportionate. Moreover. penalizing individuals for blocking an interstate highway or emergency vehicle, regardless of intent or circumstances, is draconian and ignores the complexities of protest dynamics. Many times, protesters are forced into such actions as a last resort to draw attention to urgent issues that are being ignored by those in power.Rather than stifling dissent and punishing those who exercise their constitutional rights, we should be working towards constructive dialogue and finding solutions that address the root causes of social unrest. This bill sets a dangerous precedent and threatens the very fabric of our democracy. I urge you to reconsider this misguided proposal and uphold the principles of free speech, assembly, and dissent that are essential to a healthy and vibrant society.



## WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HB 2218				DATE: <b>3/6/2024</b>
COMMITTEE: Insurance Policy				
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED LO	OBBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: SARAH FELTS			PHONE NUMB 314-531-75	—· ·
	PLANNED PARENTHOO ITHWEST MISSOURI	D OF THE ST. LOUIS	POLICY & MANAGER	POLITICAL R
ADDRESS: 4251 FOREST PAR	RK AVE			
CITY: ST. LOUIS			STATE: MO	ZIP: <b>63108</b>
EMAIL: sarah.felts@ppslr.	.org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 3/6/202	ATE: <b>4 5:13 PM</b>
THE INCOMM	TION ON THIS EODS	A IO BUBLIO BEGOR	D LINEED OLIA	DTED 040 DOM

#### THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

From the beginning of our country's history, America has been made better by protest. People coming together to demand change is how we fought segregation, how we ended child labor, protected the environment and expanded the right to vote. The way to address protest is to fix the causes of it, not to attempt to silence it. HB 2218 is an attempt to silence marginalized voices — please vote no.



BILL NUMBER: HB 2218			DATE: <b>3/6/2024</b>
COMMITTEE: Insurance Policy		•	
<b>TESTIFYING:</b> □IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO ☐ F	FOR INFORMA	TIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: SUSAN GIBSON		PHONE NUMBE	R:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: Onesuegibson@protonmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DA 3/6/2024	TE: 12:59 PM

#### THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

I sometimes engage in protest as a way to exercise my 1st Amendment Constitutionally protected right to free speech. The bill is an anti-American attempt to silence voices that are marginalized, that society needs to hear from. I take steps to hold peaceful and nonviolent protests, rallies and other free speech activities. I am concerned about an attempt to hold an organization or a person liable for the action of any person attending or at a protest. This bill would make it easy for an infiltrator who opposes an action to derail the action and do real harm to the organizers. From the beginning of our country's history, America has been made better by protest. People coming together to demand change is how we fought segregation, how we ended child labor, protected the environment and expanded the right to vote. The way to address protest is to fix the causes of it, not to attempt to silence it. The bill would diminish what has been a fundamental freedom for Americans for generations. It is a betrayal of the spirit of our Constitution, which protects freedom of assembly and freedom of speech as some of the most sacred rights to be protected.



BILL NUMBER: HB 2218				DATE: <b>3/6/2024</b>
COMMITTEE: Insurance Policy				
TESTIFYING:	$\square$ IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
BUSINESS/ORG	ANIZATION:			
WITNESS NAME: TORI SCHAFER			PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION ACLU OF MISSOU			DD FOR P CAMPAIG	OLICY AND NS
ADDRESS: 906				
CITY: SAINT LOUIS			STATE: MO	ZIP: <b>63101</b>
EMAIL: tschafer@aclu-mo	o.org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 0 3/6/202	OATE: 14 7:42 PM

#### THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

The ACLU of Missouri opposes HB 2218. Bills that impact the First Amendment should always be read with a detailed amount of scrutiny. This bill attempts to create liability for "any person who sponsors and organizes a protest." By reading this bill, it's unclear who exactly could be considered an "organizer" or "sponsor." Would every organization that reposted a social media invite to the protest be liable? Would the mom who organized her book club to attend the protest be liable? Bills like this can chill the First Amendment, by unconstitutionally restricting the right to protest, and are applicably undemocratic. As Missourians, we should encourage citizens to utilize their First Amendment rights. Moreover, there are already penalties for individuals who destroy property or impede emergency vehicles. The ACLU of Missouri strongly encourages the committee to vote no on HB 2218. This bill discourages citizens from utilizing their First Amendment right, and it does not benefit Missourians.



# WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HB 2218			DATE: <b>3/6/2024</b>
COMMITTEE: Insurance Policy			
<b>TESTIFYING</b> : □IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORMAT	TIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: VEE SANCHEZ		PHONE NUMBER	₹:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: veesanchez30@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DA 3/6/2024	TE: 11:02 PM

#### THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

Dear Committee Members,I am writing to express my strong opposition to HB 2218, which threatens to infringe upon our fundamental right to protest and free speech protected by the First Amendment of the United States Constitution. This bill, if passed, would allow civil lawsuits against organizers or sponsors of protests that result in property damage or personal injuries, regardless of their intent or involvement in causing such damage.Protest has long been a cornerstone of American democracy, allowing citizens to peacefully assemble and voice their concerns. Attempts to suppress this right, such as those seen in HB 2218, are deeply concerning and run counter to the values of our nation.HB 2218 would not only deter individuals and organizations from exercising their constitutional rights but also have a chilling effect on dissent and public discourse. It is essential that we protect the right to protest as a vital tool for holding government and institutions accountable.I urge you to reject HB 2218 and uphold our fundamental rights to free speech and assembly. Thank you for considering my testimony.Sincerely,Vee Sanchez



### WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HB 2218				DATE: 3/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Insurance Policy				
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	☑ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	IATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED L	OBBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: ZACK DUNN			PHONE NUM <b>314-739-7</b>	
REPRESENTING: MISSOURI KANSA	AS LABORERS DISTRIC	T COUNCIL	TITLE:	
ADDRESS: 951 CORPORATE PARKWAY				
CITY: WENTZVILLE			STATE: <b>MO</b>	ZIP: <b>63385</b>
EMAIL: zdunn@mkldc.org	l	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 3/5/202	DATE: <b>24 7:03 PM</b>

#### THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

My name is Zack Dunn and I'm providing testimony on behalf of the Missouri and Kansas Laborers District Council. As a union, we represent construction craft laborers. Our members perform concrete work, demolition, asbestos and lead abatement, and we represent public employees across the state. In total, we have 12,000 members in Missouri. We are testifying in opposition to the bill today for a couple reasons. First, we believe the bill to be incredibly vague. There are no definitions for what constitutes property damage. No definition for organize. No definition for protest or demonstration. It's hard to fully appreciate a bills impact with so much out for interpretation. The second reason for opposition is the fact that as a union, we organize workers at their workplace. There are times where we may have to picket, strike, or hold a rally. To what extent would this bill be able to be used to attack labor organizing? We want to ensure workers can support their families with a great career in the construction industry. This bill could make it harder to achieve that. We appreciate your time and respectfully encourage you to oppose this bill.